

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT (THAI)	I
ABSTRACT (ENGLISH)	III
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	V
TABLE OF CONTENTS	VI
LIST OF TABLES	X
LIST OF FIGURES	XII
 CHAPTER	
I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Rationale of the study	1
1.2 Aims of thesis	4
1.3 The scopes of the thesis.....	5
1.4 Expected results.....	5
1.5 The research places	5
 II LITERATURE REVIEWS.....	6
2.1 Alloyed white cast irons for abrasive wear resistance materials	6
2.1.1 Low-alloyed or pearlitic white cast iron.....	7
2.1.2 Ni-hard cast iron	7
2.1.3 High chromium cast iron.....	8
2.2 Alloyed white cast iron for roll materials	8
2.3 Multi-alloyed white cast iron	11
2.3.1 Alloying elements in multi-alloyed white cast iron.....	12
2.3.2 Solidification sequence	15
2.3.3 Type and morphology of carbide	19
2.3.3.1 MC carbide	19
2.3.3.2 M ₂ C carbide.....	21

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	Page
2.3.3.3 M_7C_3 carbide	24
2.3.4 Effect of solidification rate on type and morphology of carbide.....	25
2.3.5 Carbide fraction	25
2.3.6 As-cast matrix of multi-alloyed white cast iron	26
2.3.7 Solid state transformation	26
2.3.8 Heat treatment behavior	28
2.4 Abrasive wear resistance	31
2.4.1 Mechanism of abrasive wear	31
2.4.2 Classification of abrasive wear	32
2.4.3 Abrasive wear resistance of alloyed white cast iron.....	34
2.5 Niobium in alloyed white cast iron.....	41
III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	47
3.1 Preparation of specimens	47
3.2 Heat treatment processes.....	49
3.2.1 Heat treatment condition to investigate heat treatment behavior.....	49
3.2.2 Heat treatment condition of test piece to perform carry out abrasive wear test	50
3.3 Microstructural Examination	52
3.4 Hardness measurement.....	52
3.5 Measurement of volume fraction of austenite	53
3.6 Abrasive wear test	55
3.6.1 Preparation of surface of wear test specimens	55
3.6.2 Suga abrasive wear test	55
3.6.3 Rubber wheel abrasive wear test	57
IV RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	60

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	Page
4.1 Effect of Nb content in as-cast condition.....	60
4.1.1 Effect of Nb content on microstructure	60
4.1.1.1 Effect of Nb content on primary phase and eutectic structure	60
4.1.1.2 Effect of Nb content on matrix structure	72
4.1.2 Effect of Nb content on area fraction of carbides and matrix structure.....	76
4.1.3 Effect of Nb addition on macro-hardness and micro-hardness in as-cast condition.....	78
4.2 Effect of Nb addition on heat treatment behavior.....	80
4.2.1 Relationship between heat treatment conditions and variation of matrix structure in as-hardened specimens	80
4.2.2 Behavior of hardness and volume fraction of retained austenite (V_γ) in as-hardened specimens	88
4.2.3 Effect of tempering temperature on hardness and volume fraction of retained austenite (V_γ).....	93
4.2.4 Effect of volume fraction of retained austenite (V_γ) on tempered hardness	100
4.2.5 Effect of Nb on the maximum tempered hardness (H_{Tmax})...	103
4.2.6 Degree of secondary hardening	114
4.3 Effect of Nb addition and heat treatment conditions on abrasive wear resistance.....	117
4.3.1 Suga abrasive wear test (two-body type).....	122
4.3.1.1 Wear test results of specimens hardened from 1050°C	122
4.3.1.2 Wear test results of specimens hardened from 1100°C	128
4.3.2 Rubber wheel abrasive wear test (three-body type).....	134

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	Page
4.3.2.1 Wear test results of specimens hardened from 1050°C	134
4.3.2.2 Wear test results of specimens hardened from 1100°C	141
4.3.3 Comparison of abrasive wear severity between Suga and rubber wheel abrasive wear tests.	147
4.3.4 Effect of Nb addition on wear rate.....	150
4.3.5 Effect of hardness on wear rate.....	156
4.3.6 Relationship between wear rate and volume fraction of retained austenite (V_γ) in heat-treated state	161
4.3.7 Observation of worn surface.....	169
 V CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	 179
5.1 Effect of Nb addition on solidification behavior.....	179
5.2 Effect of Nb addition on heat treatment behavior.....	180
5.3 Effect of Nb addition on abrasive wear behavior	181
5.4 Recommendations.....	182
 REFERENCES.....	 183
 APPENDIX A	 195
 APPENDIX B.....	 198
 APPENDIX C.....	 203
 APPENDIX D	 206
 BIOGRAPHY	 226

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 Hardness of carbide and matrix structure in multi-alloyed white cast iron (Wu, 2000).	12
2.2 Solidification sequence of multi-alloyed white cast iron with different chemical composition (Wu et al., 1996).	18
2.3 Alloy concentration in carbides and matrix of multi-alloyed white cast iron with basic chemical composition (Wu et al., 1995).	19
2.4 Wear mechanism in abrasion (Gates & Gore, 1995).	34
2.5 Alloy composition of abrasion-resistant white cast irons (Laird et al., 2000). ...	34
3.1 Chemical composition and C_{bal} value of test specimens.	49
3.2 Heat treatment conditions to investigate heat treatment behavior.	50
3.3 Heat treatment for abrasive wear specimens.	51
3.4 Etchant, etching method and observation point.	52
3.5 X-ray diffraction conditions for measurement the volume fraction of retained austenite (V_γ).	54
3.6 Experimental conditions for the Suga abrasive wear test.	57
3.7 Experimental conditions for the Rubber wheel abrasive wear test.	59
4.1 Area fraction of matrix and carbide phases in multi-alloyed white cast iron with different Nb content.	77
4.2 Macro-hardness and micro-hardness of multi-alloyed white cast iron with different Nb content in as-cast condition.	79
4.3 Area fraction of secondary carbide precipitated in the as-hardened specimen.	86
4.4 Macro-hardness, micro-hardness and volume fraction of retained austenite (V_γ) in as-hardened specimens.	91
4.5 Area fraction of secondary carbide precipitated in the H_{Tmax} specimen.	109
4.6 Macro-hardness, micro-hardness and volume fraction of retained austenite (V_γ) in H_{Tmax} specimens.	112
4.7 Degree of secondary hardening (ΔH_{sm}) of tempered specimens.	116

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

Table	Page
4.8 Selected tempering temperatures for $L-H_{T_{max}}$, $H_{T_{max}}$ and $H-H_{T_{max}}$ in each specimen.....	119
4.9 Macro-hardness, Micro-hardness and volume fraction of retained austenite (V_{γ}) of heat-treated specimens for abrasive wear test. Austenitizing temperature: 1050°C.....	119
4.10 Macro-hardness, Micro-hardness and volume fraction of retained austenite (V_{γ}) of heat-treated specimens for abrasive wear test. Austenitizing temperature: 1100°C.....	121
4.11 Wear rate (R_w) of heat-treated specimens. Suga abrasive wear test under a load of 9.8 N (1 kgf). Austenitizing: 1050°C.	128
4.12 Wear rate (R_w) of heat-treated specimens. Suga abrasive wear test under a load of 9.8 N (1 kgf). Austenitizing: 1100°C.	134
4.13 Wear rate (R_w) of heat-treated specimens. Rubber wheel abrasive wear test under a load of 85.3 N (8.7 kgf). Austenitizing: 1050°C.	141
4.14 Wear rate (R_w) of heat-treated specimens. Rubber wheel abrasive wear test under a load of 85.3 N (8.7 kgf). Austenitizing: 1100°C.	147
4.15 Specific wear rate of the 2.58%Nb specimen under different heat treatment conditions after austenitizing at 1100°C.	149

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 Relative abrasion resistance of different classes of alloys and bulk hardness (Zum Gahr, 1987).	6
2.2 Example of rolls for steel rolling (Hashimoto, 2008).	9
2.3 Finishing mill layout (Morikawa et al., 2014).	9
2.4 Development of roll materials (Hashimoto, 2008).	11
2.5 Quasi-binary phase diagram of Fe-5%Cr-5%W-5%Mo-5%V-5%Co-C system calculated using ThermoCalc program.	16
2.6 Solidification sequence of multi-alloyed white cast iron with basic composition (2%C-5%Cr-5%Mo-5%W-5%V-5%Co), (a) Thermal analysis curve and (b) Transition of microstructure by quench test (Wu et al., 1996).	17
2.7 Quasi-binary section diagram of multi-alloyed white cast iron, (a) (Fe-5%Cr-5%Mo-5%W-5%V-5%Co)-C and (b) (Fe-5%Cr-5%Mo-5%W-5%Co)-V-C (Wu et al., 1997).	18
2.8 Comparison of two-dimensional and three-dimensional microstructure of MC carbide in multi-alloyed white cast iron by OM and SEM (Wu et al., 1995).	20
2.9 Effect of V and C contents on type and morphology of carbides crystallized from melt in multi-alloyed white cast iron (Wu et al., 1995).	21
2.10 Comparison of two-dimensional microstructure of M ₂ C carbide in multi-alloyed white cast iron by OM and SEM (Wu et al., 1995).	22
2.11 Effect of Weq value and carbon content on type and morphology of carbides crystallized from melt in multi-alloyed white cast iron (Wu et al., 1995).	23
2.12 Schematic expression for decomposition processes of M ₂ C carbide to M ₆ C carbide (Lee et al., 1998).	24
2.13 Comparison of two-dimensional microstructure of M ₇ C ₃ carbide in multi-alloyed white cast iron by OM and SEM (Wu et al., 1995).	24
2.14 Type of crystallized carbides corresponding to solidification rate and carbon content (Matsubara et al., 1994).	25

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

Figure	Page
2.15 Effect of carbon content on carbide volume fraction (V_c) of multi-alloyed white cast iron with basic alloy composition (Wu, 2000).....	26
2.16 The CCT diagrams of multi-alloyed white cast iron with different carbon content (Fe-5%Cr-5%Mo-5%W-5%V-2%Co-C alloys) (Matsubara et al., 1999).....	28
2.17 Relationship between the tempering temperature, volume fraction of retained austenite (V_γ) and hardness of multi-alloyed white cast iron with different alloying elements. (C effect: (a) and (b), Cr effect: (c) and (d) Mo effect: (e) and (f) (Matsubara et al., 2000; Meebupha et al., 2017; Opapaiboon et al., 2019a).....	30
2.18 Schematic drawing of model showing abrasive wear by single grit with cone shape (Booser, 1997).	31
2.19 Schematic drawing of abrasive wear type. (a) Two-body type and (b) Three-body type (Kovarikova et al., 2009).	33
2.20 Schematic drawing of wear due to different distribution and size of carbides. (a) too small size carbides, (b) effective size carbides and (c) continuous or net-like carbides (Theisen, 2008).	35
2.21 Effect carbide volume fraction (CVF) on hardness and wear loss of high Cr cast irons with a) austenitic matrix and b) martensitic matrix (Zum Gahr & Doane, 1980).	36
2.22 Effect of carbide volume fraction (CVF) on volume wear rate of high Cr cast irons with different matrix structure (Doğan et al., 1997).	37
2.23 Effect of hardness on wear rate of high Cr cast irons (Doğan et al., 1997).	37
2.24 Relationship between wear loss and testing time of white cast irons alloyed with 20% carbide forming elements. (No.1: Fe-3%C-10%Cr-5%Mo-5%W, No. 2 : Fe-3%C-10%V-5%Mo-5%W, No. 3 : Fe-3.5%C-17%Cr-3%V) (Matsubara et al., 2001).	38
2.25 Effect of cobalt content on wear rate (R_w) of multi-alloyed white cast irons heat treated with different conditions (Sasaguri et al., 2010).	39

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

Figure	Page
2.26 Effect of carbon (C) on wear rate (R_w) of multi-alloyed white cast iron with basic alloy composition with different heat treatment conditions. (Austenitizing: 1100°C). (As-H: as-hardened, T_{HTmax} : maximum tempered hardness, $L-T_{HTmax}$: lower than maximum tempered hardness and $H-T_{HTmax}$: higher than maximum tempered hardness) (Inthidech et al., 2021a).	40
2.27 Effect of chromium (Cr) on wear rate (R_w) of multi-alloyed white cast iron with basic alloy composition with different heat treatment conditions. (Austenitizing: 1373K (1100°C)). (H_{Tmax} : maximum tempered hardness, $L-H_{Tmax}$: lower than maximum tempered hardness, $H-H_{Tmax}$: higher than maximum tempered hardness) (Opapaiboon et al., 2019b).	41
2.28 SEM images of NbC morphologies in high Cr cast iron with varying Nb contents (Lighvan, 2021).	43
2.29 Calculated isopleth Fe-2.1%C-4.5%V-4.3%Mo-4.6%Cr-4.8%W-Nb by ThermoCalc program (Boccalini et al., 2008).	44
2.30 As-cast microstructure of multi-alloyed white cast iron with 1.9%Nb (Boccalini et al., 2008).	45
2.31 Schematic view of abrasive wear mechanism (Kusumoto et al., 2017).	45
2.32 Typical aspect of taper section produced by FIB; (a) upper view and (b) side view (de Mello & Polycarpou, 2010).	46
3.1 Schematic illustration of the CO ₂ -bonded sand mold prepared for test specimens.	48
3.2 Schematic drawings of processes for making abrasive wear specimens from Y-block ingots for wear test.	48
3.3 Schematic illustration showing the heat treatment processes.	50
3.4 Schematic illustration showing how to determine three tempering temperatures from tempered hardness curves.	51
3.5 X-ray diffraction pattern of specimen No. 1 (Nb-free) in the as-hardened state with 15%V _γ (austenitizing temperature: 1050°C).	55
3.6 Schematic drawing of Suga abrasive wear tester.	56
3.7 Schematic drawing of rubber wheel abrasion tester.	58

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

Figure	Page
4.1 Microstructural features of as-cast specimens with different Nb; (a) No.1 (Nb-free), (b) No.2 (0.70%Nb), (c) No.3 (1.05%Nb) and (d) No.4 (2.58%Nb).	64
4.2 Schematic representation of the solidification path for the specimen No.1 (Nb-free) and No.2 (0.70%Nb).	65
4.3 Schematic representation of the solidification path for the specimen No.3 (1.05%Nb) and No.4 (2.58%Nb).	65
4.4 EDS mapping analysis results of specimen No.1 (Nb-free) in as-cast condition.	67
4.5 EDS mapping analysis results of specimen No.2 (0.70%Nb) in as-cast condition.	68
4.6 EDS mapping analysis results of specimen No.3 (1.05%Nb) in as-cast condition.	69
4.7 EDS mapping analysis results of specimen No.4 (2.58%Nb) in as-cast condition.	70
4.8 Alloy concentration of eutectic MC carbides as a function of Nb content.	71
4.9 Alloy concentration of eutectic M ₂ C carbides as a function of Nb content.	71
4.10 EDS line scanned profile of primary MC carbide (MC _p) in specimen; (a) 1.05%Nb and (b) 2.58%Nb.	72
4.11 Matrix microstructure of as-cast specimens with different Nb; (a) No.1 (Nb-free), (b) No.2 (0.70%Nb), (c) No.3 (1.05%Nb) and (d) No.4 (2.58%Nb).	75
4.12 Effect of Nb content on the area fractions of the matrix and carbide phases in as-cast specimens.	77
4.13 Relationship between Macro- and Micro-hardness and Nb content in as-cast condition.	79
4.14 Matrix microstructure of as-hardened specimens with different Nb; (a) No.1 (Nb-free), (b) No.2 (0.70%Nb), (c) No.3 (1.05%Nb) and (d) No.4 (2.58%Nb). Austenitizing temperature: 1050°C.	83

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

Figure	Page
4.15 Matrix microstructures of as-hardened specimens with different Nb; (a) No.1 (Nb-free), (b) No.2 (0.70%Nb), (c) No.3 (1.05%Nb) and (d) No.4 (2.58%Nb). Austenitizing temperature: 1100°C.	85
4.16 Relationship between Nb content and area fraction of secondary carbide precipitated in the as-hardened specimens.	86
4.17 Matrix alloy concentration in as-hardened specimens with different Nb content.; (a) 1050°C austenitizing and (b) 1100°C austenitizing.	87
4.18 Relationship between macro-hardness, volume fraction of retained austenite (V_γ) and Nb content in as-hardened condition.	91
4.19 Relationship between micro-hardness and Nb content in as-hardened specimens.	92
4.20 Relationship between micro-hardness and volume fraction of retained austenite (V_γ) in as-hardened condition.	92
4.21 Relationship between macro-hardness, micro-hardness, volume fraction of retained austenite (V_γ) and tempering temperature of specimen No.1 (Nb-free); (a) Austenitizing temperature: 1050°C and (b) Austenitizing temperature: 1100°C.	96
4.22 Relationship between macro-hardness, micro-hardness, volume fraction of retained austenite (V_γ) and tempering temperature of specimen No.2 (0.70%Nb); (a) Austenitizing temperature: 1050°C and (b) Austenitizing temperature: 1100°C.	97
4.23 Relationship between macro-hardness, micro-hardness, volume fraction of retained austenite (V_γ) and tempering temperature of specimen No.3 (1.05%Nb); (a) Austenitizing temperature: 1050°C and (b) Austenitizing temperature: 1100°C.	98
4.24 Relationship between macro-hardness, micro-hardness, volume fraction of retained austenite (V_γ) and tempering temperature of specimen No.4 (2.58%Nb); (a) Austenitizing temperature: 1050°C and (b) Austenitizing temperature: 1100°C.	99

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

Figure	Page
4.25 Schematic diagram illustrating carbide reactions during tempering from as-hardened state of matrix in multi-alloyed white cast iron (Opapaiboon et al., 2024).	100
4.26 Relationship between hardness and volume fraction of retained austenite (V_γ) in tempered condition; (a) Macro-hardness and (b) Micro-hardness.	102
4.27 Relationship between micro-hardness and tempering temperature of specimens with less than 10% V_γ	103
4.28 Matrix microstructures of H_{Tmax} specimens with different Nb content; (a) No.1 (Nb-free), (b) No.2 (0.70%Nb), (c) No.3 (1.05%Nb) and (d) No.4 (2.58%Nb). Austenitizing temperature: 1050°C.	106
4.29 Matrix microstructures of H_{Tmax} specimens with different Nb content; (a) No.1 (Nb-free), (b) No.2 (0.70%Nb), (c) No.3 (1.05%Nb) and (d) No.4 (2.58%Nb). Austenitizing temperature: 1100°C.	108
4.30 Relationship between Nb content and area fraction of secondary carbide precipitated in the H_{Tmax} specimens.	109
4.31 Relationship between maximum tempered hardness (H_{Tmax}) volume fraction of retained austenite (V_γ) at H_{Tmax} and Nb content.	112
4.32 Relationship between micro-hardness and Nb content in H_{Tmax} specimens.	113
4.33 Relationship between maximum tempered hardness (H_{Tmax}) and volume fraction of retained austenite (V_γ) in as-hardened condition.	114
4.34 Effect of Nb content on degree of secondary hardening of matrix (ΔH_{sm}).	116
4.35 Relationship between degree of secondary hardening (ΔH_{sm}) and volume fraction of retained austenite (V_γ) in as-hardened condition.	117
4.36 Relationship between wear loss (W_l) and wear distance (W_d) of specimen No.1 (Nb-free). Suga abrasive wear test. Load: 9.8 N (1 kgf).	124
4.37 Relationship between wear loss (W_l) and wear distance (W_d) of specimen No.2 (0.70%Nb). Suga abrasive wear test. Load: 9.8 N (1 kgf).	125
4.38 Relationship between wear loss (W_l) and wear distance (W_d) of specimen No.3 (1.05%Nb). Suga abrasive wear test. Load: 9.8 N (1 kgf).	126

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

Figure	Page
4.39 Relationship between wear loss (W_l) and wear distance (W_d) of specimen No.4 (2.58%Nb). Suga abrasive wear test. Load: 9.8 N (1 kgf).....	127
4.40 Relationship between wear loss (W_l) and wear distance (W_d) of specimen No.1 (Nb-free). Suga abrasive wear test. Load: 9.8 N (1 kgf).	130
4.41 Relationship between wear loss (W_l) and wear distance (W_d) of specimen No.2 (0.70%Nb). Suga abrasive wear test. Load: 9.8 N (1 kgf).	131
4.42 Relationship between wear loss (W_l) and wear distance (W_d) of specimen No.3 (1.05%Nb). Suga abrasive wear test. Load: 9.8 N (1 kgf).	132
4.43 Relationship between wear loss (W_l) and wear distance (W_d) of specimen No.4 (2.58%Nb). Suga abrasive wear test. Load: 9.8 N (1 kgf).....	133
4.44 Relationship between wear loss (W_l) and wear distance (W_d) of specimen No.1 (Nb-free). Rubber wheel abrasive wear test. Load: 85.3 N (8.7 kgf).	137
4.45 Relationship between wear loss (W_l) and wear distance (W_d) of specimen No.2 (0.70%Nb). Rubber wheel abrasive wear test. Load: 85.3 N (8.7 kgf).	138
4.46 Relationship between wear loss (W_l) and wear distance (W_d) of specimen No.3 (1.05%Nb). Rubber wheel abrasive wear test. Load: 85.3 N (8.7 kgf).	139
4.47 Relationship between wear loss (W_l) and wear distance (W_d) of specimen No.4 (2.58%Nb). Rubber wheel abrasive wear test. Load: 85.3 N (8.7 kgf).	140
4.48 Relationship between wear loss (W_l) and wear distance (W_d) of specimen No.1 (Nb-free). Rubber wheel abrasive wear test. Load: 85.3 N (8.7 kgf).	143
4.49 Relationship between wear loss (W_l) and wear distance (W_d) of specimen No.2 (0.70%Nb). Rubber wheel abrasive wear test. Load: 85.3 N (8.7 kgf).	144

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

Figure	Page
4.50 Relationship between wear loss (W_l) and wear distance (W_d) of specimen No.3 (1.05%Nb). Rubber wheel abrasive wear test. Load: 85.3 N (8.7 kgf).	145
4.51 Relationship between wear loss (W_l) and wear distance (W_d) of specimen No.4 (2.58%Nb). Rubber wheel abrasive wear test. Load: 85.3 N (8.7 kgf).	146
4.52 Cross-sectional structure of the SiC abrasive paper fixed on the aluminum wheel used in the Suga abrasive wear test (Aungsupaitoon, 2008).	149
4.53 Schematic illustration of the wear mechanism in the rubber wheel abrasive wear test. (Aungsupaitoon, 2008).	149
4.54 Relationship between wear rate (R_w) and Nb content of specimens evaluated by the Suga abrasive wear test under a load of 9.8 N (1 kgf); (a) 1050°C Austenitizing and (b) 1100°C Austenitizing.	154
4.55 Relationship between wear rate (R_w) and Nb content of specimens evaluated by the rubber wheel abrasive wear test under a load of 85.3 N (8.7 kgf); (a) 1050°C Austenitizing and (b) 1100°C Austenitizing.	155
4.56 Relationship between wear rate (R_w) and hardness of specimens evaluated by the Suga abrasive wear test under a load of 9.8 N (1 kgf); (a) Macro-hardness and (b) Micro-hardness.	159
4.57 Relationship between wear rate (R_w) and hardness of specimens evaluated by the rubber wheel abrasive wear test under a load of 85.3 N (8.7 kgf); (a) Macro-hardness and (b) Micro-hardness.	160
4.58 Relationship between wear rate (R_w) and volume fraction of retained austenite (V_γ) of all the specimens determined by the Suga abrasive wear test under a load of 9.8 N (1 kgf).	165
4.59 Relationship between wear rate (R_w) and volume fraction of retained austenite (V_γ) of all the specimens determined by the rubber wheel abrasive wear test under a load of 85.3 N (8.7 kgf).	165

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

Figure	Page
4.60 Relationship between wear rate (R_w) and micro-hardness for specimens with a volume fraction of retained austenite (V_γ) less than 8% (Suga abrasive wear test).	166
4.61 Relationship between wear rate (R_w) and micro-hardness for specimens with a volume fraction of retained austenite (V_γ) less than 8% (Rubber wheel abrasive wear test).	166
4.62 Relationship between wear rate (R_w) and volume fraction of retained austenite in as-hardened state ($V_{\gamma\text{-AsH}}$) determined by the Suga abrasive wear test.	167
4.63 Relationship between wear rate (R_w) and volume fraction of retained austenite in as-hardened state ($V_{\gamma\text{-AsH}}$) determined by the rubber wheel abrasive wear test.	167
4.64 Relationship between wear rate (R_w) and volume fraction of retained austenite at $H_{T\text{max}}$ ($V_{\gamma\text{-HTmax}}$) determined by the Suga abrasive wear test.	168
4.65 Relationship between wear rate (R_w) and volume fraction of retained austenite at $H_{T\text{max}}$ ($V_{\gamma\text{-HTmax}}$) determined by the rubber wheel abrasive wear test.	168
4.66 SEM microphotographs of worn surface after Suga abrasive wear test in 2.58%Nb specimen hardened from 1100°C austenitizing. (Lowest wear rate).....	172
4.67 SEM microphotographs of worn surface after Suga abrasive wear test in 1.05%Nb specimen hardened from 1100°C austenitizing. (Highest wear rate)	174
4.68 SEM microphotographs of worn surface after rubber wheel abrasive wear test in 1.05%Nb specimen hardened from 1100°C austenitizing. (Lowest wear rate).....	176
4.69 SEM microphotographs of worn surface after rubber wheel abrasive wear test in 2.58%Nb specimen hardened from 1100°C austenitizing. (Higher wear rate).....	178