

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT (THAI).....	I
ABSTRACT (ENGLISH).....	III
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	IV
TABLE OF CONTENTS	VI
LIST OF TABLES	IX
LIST OF FIGURES	X
CHAPTER	
I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 BACKGROUND	1
1.2 OBJECTIVES	3
1.3 SCOPE.....	3
1.4 EXPECTED BENEFITS.....	4
II LITERATURE REVIEWS.....	5
2.1 LITERATURE REVIEWS	5
2.1.1 Literature Review: Effects of Lighting on Depth Camera Performance	5
2.1.2 Drone For Inspecting Inside Building With Object Avoidance And Mapping System (Pawarut Karaked, 2023).....	5
2.1.3 LIO-SAM: Tightly-coupled Lidar Inertial Odometry via Smoothing and Mapping (Tixiao ,S ,. 2020).....	7
2.1.4 A survey on vision-based UAV navigation (Lu, Xue, Xia, & Zhang).....	8

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	Page
2.1.5 Development of Autonomous Electric Golf Cart System for Passenger Transportation Between Building (Wongsathon Angkhem, 2023)	9
2.1.6 A Multi-State Constraint Kalman Filter for Vision-aided Inertial Navigation (Mourikis, A., 2007)	9
2.2 ROBOT	11
2.2.1 F1TNEH	11
2.3 VEDDER ELECTRONIC SPEED CONTROLLER VESC	12
2.4 LOW LEVEL.....	14
2.5 ROBOT OPERATING SYSTEM ROS	15
2.6 SIMULTANEOUS LOCALIZATION AND MAPPING (SLAM)	18
2.6.1 Coordinate frame conventions.....	19
2.6.2 Simultaneous Localization and Mapping (SLAM).....	21
2.6.3 LiDAR SLAM.....	24
2.6.4 Visual SLAM	25
III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	28
3.1 METHODS OF DATA COLLECTION.....	28
3.2 PROCEDURE.....	29
3.2.1 Study and Literature review.....	29
3.2.2 Configure Jetson and Peripherals	30
3.2.3 Test all sensors.....	31
3.2.4 SLAM testing and configuration parameter.....	31
3.2.5 Merge system	31
3.3 THE OVERALL SYSTEM OPERATION.....	32
3.3.1 Computation Unit: NVIDIA Jetson NX.....	32

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	Page
3.3.2 Hardware Configuration.....	33
3.3.3 Software Architecture.....	36
3.3.4 Integration of LiDAR Localization and Depth Mapping.....	38
IV RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	40
4.1 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	40
4.1.1 Comparing LiDAR SLAM and RTAB-Map SLAM Against a Ground-Truth Path.....	42
4.1.2 Loop Closure Experimental Results.....	53
4.1.3 Robustness Under Camera Failure During Operation.....	54
V CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION.....	59
5.1 CONCLUSION.....	59
5.2 RECOMMENDATION.....	60
REFERENCES.....	61
APPENDIX.....	82
BIOGRAPHY.....	73

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 Comparison of the advantages and disadvantages of each method	10
4.1 Experimental Localization Data and Absolute Error Measurements test 1	44
4.2 Localization Error Summary Table test 1	44
4.3 Experimental Localization Data and Absolute Error Measurements test 2.....	46
4.4 Localization Error Summary Table test 2	46
4.5 Experimental Localization Data and Absolute Error Measurements test 3	48
4.6 Localization Error Summary Table test 3	48
4.7 Experimental Localization Data and Absolute Error Measurements test 4	49
4.8 Localization Error Summary Table test 4	50
4.9 Experimental Localization Data and Absolute Error Measurements test 5	51
4.10 Localization Error Summary Table test 5	51
4.11 Comparative Error Analysis of RTAB-Map and Odom_SLAM over Five test Runs	52

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 The drone's movement path during the positioning system test in case the stereo camera failed to function (Pawrut K., 2023).	6
2.2 Drone trajectory in positioning system test in case LIDAR fails to function. (Pawrut K., 2023).	7
2.3 Mapping results (Xu, X, 2022).	8
2.4 An example of creating an outdoor map using 3D LiDAR (Wongsathon A., 2023)	9
2.5 illustrates the experimental F1TENTH autonomous vehicle platform used in this study. The system integrates a 2D LiDAR sensor and an RGB-D depth camera for perception, an NVIDIA Jetson NX for onboard computation, and a VESC motor controller for vehicle actuation.....	11
2.6 ROS2 shows a ROS 2 transform message representing the robot pose relative to the odometry frame, including position and orientation information used in the localization process.....	12
2.7 Wheel Mobile Robot Model four-wheel mobile (Zunaidi, I, 2006)	13
2.8 The ROS Master Source (ROS, 2024).	16
2.9 RViz2 terminal	18
2.10 Illustration of multiple reference frames used in a robotic system, showing the relationships between different coordinate transformations within the robot structure (Foote, 2013).....	19

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

Figure	Page
2.11 Diagram illustrating the coordinate frame hierarchy in a mobile robot, including the map, odometry, base footprint, base link, stabilized base, and laser sensor frames, and their relative transformations within the ROS tf framework (ROS) (M. Quigley., 2009).	20
2.12 illustrates the overall process of Full SLAM, (thrun, 2007)	23
2.13 Example of LiDAR SLAM usage	24
2.14 Illustration of the angles used for computing the distance (A. Zaarane, 2020)..	26
2.15 Example of two cameras mounted as a stereo camera (A. Zaarane, 2020).....	26
3.1 Procedure	29
3.2 System architecture of the F1TENTH platform, illustrating the data flow and control connections between the NVIDIA Jetson Xavier NX, LiDAR sensor, VESC motor and steering controller, onboard actuators, and the pit/host computer via SSH and HDMI interfaces.	30
3.3 The Overall system operation	32
3.4 NVIDIA Jetson NX	33
3.5 VESC.....	34
3.6 2D LiDAR	35
3.7 D435i.....	35
3.8 Coordinate frame hierarchy of the proposed system visualized using the ROS TF tree. The diagram illustrates the transformation relationships between the global map frame, odometry frame, robot base frame, LiDAR frame, and RGB-D camera frames.	36
3.9 Simplified ROS TF frame structure showing the transformation chain from the global map frame to odom, base_link, and the LiDAR (laser) frame.....	37
3.10 Loosely-coupled sensor fusion concept.....	39
4.1 Comparing LiDAR SLAM and RTAB-Map Against a Ground-Truth Path test.....	41

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

Figure	Page
4.2 Experimental Environment Setup for Robustness Evaluation Experiment 2	41
4.3 Comparing LiDAR SLAM and RTAB-Map Against a Ground-Truth Path test 1	43
4.4 Comparing LiDAR SLAM and RTAB-Map Against a Ground-Truth Path test 2	45
4.5 Comparing LiDAR SLAM and RTAB-Map Against a Ground-Truth Path test 3	47
4.6 Comparing LiDAR SLAM and RTAB-Map Against a Ground-Truth Path test 4	49
4.7 Comparing LiDAR SLAM and RTAB-Map Against a Ground-Truth Path test 5	50
4.8 presents the loop closure performance of the SLAM system during a closed loop.	54
4.9 Robustness Under Camera Failure During Operation	55
4.10 Robustness Under Camera Failure During Operation During the Moment of camera failure	56
4.11 The system back on, the propose system resumes generating point cloud data.	57