

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT (THAI)	I
ABSTRACT (ENGLISH)	II
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	III
TABLE OF CONTENTS	IV
LIST OF TABLES	VIII
LIST OF FIGURES	X
CHAPTER	
I INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 General Introduction	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Research Objectives	3
1.4 Scope and limitations	4
1.4.1 Scope	4
1.4.2 limitations	5
1.5 Conception	5
1.6 Research Benefits	6
1.7 Structure of thesis.....	6
II LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1 Introduction.....	8
2.2 Literature Overview	9
2.3 Overview of Feature Techniques, Model Selection, Evaluation Techniques, and Feature Selection in Electrical Load Forecasting	14
2.4 Comprehensive Overview of Modeling, Feature Engineering, Evaluation, Feature Selection, and Explainability in Load Forecasting	18
2.5 Research Gaps in Load Forecasting Framework	22

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	Page
III Day-Ahead Load Forecasting Using Supervised Deep Learning Techniques with Day-Aware Data Arrangemen	24
3.1 Introduction.....	24
3.2 Data Collection and Preparation.....	25
3.3 Feature Extraction.....	26
3.4 Feature Engineering	26
3.4.1 Feature Transformation / Binarization	27
3.4.2 Time-based Feature Engineering / Ordinal Time Block.....	27
3.4.3 Time Component Extraction.....	28
3.4.4 Time Series Features / Lag Features	28
3.4.5 Ambient Temperature.....	28
3.4.6 Dew Point.....	29
3.5 Advanced RNN Architectures.....	29
3.5.1 LSTM Architecture	29
3.5.2 Bi-LSTM Architecture.....	32
3.5.3 GRU Architecture	32
3.5.4 Bi-GRU Architecture.....	32
3.6 Workflow of the Proposed Method	33
3.7 Performance Factor	34
3.8 Simulation Results	35
3.8.1 Experimental setup.....	35
3.8.2 Model performance in validation and model training	37
3.8.3 Performance of each model on weekday and weekend loads ...	39

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	Page
3.8.4 Performance of LSTM model on 12 test sets	44
3.9 Summary of the daily forecast.....	47
IV Enhanced Data-Driven Load Forecasting Framework for High PV	
Penetration Electrical System: A Case Study of SUT's Campus.....	48
4.1 Introduction.....	48
4.2 Data Collection and Preparation.....	48
4.3 Feature Modeling and Representation of Electrical Load Patterns.....	50
4.3.1 SUT's Campus Data	50
4.3.2 New England (ISO-NE) Data	50
4.4 Feature Selection and Mutual Information	51
4.5 Modeling Approaches and Baseline Methods.....	52
4.5.1 Attention-LSTM Model	53
4.5.2 CNN-LSTM Model	55
4.5.3 DNN Model	56
4.5.4 Moving average	56
4.6 Time Series Cross-Validation (TSCV).....	57
4.7 Shapley Additive Explanation (SHAP).....	58
4.8 Forecasting Process Description.....	59
4.9 Load Forecasting Framework.....	62
4.10 Simulation Results and Discussion with SUT's Campus Data	63
4.10.1 Implementation and Experimental Setup	63
4.10.2 Mutual Information Scores of SUT Data.....	66
4.10.3 Daily Load Forecasting Performance.....	67
4.10.4 Test Set Load Forecasting Performance.....	71
4.10.5 SHAP-Based Feature Importance Analysis	72

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	Page
4.10.6 Comparative Analysis of Feature Importance Models and Methods.....	73
4.11 Simulation Result and Discussion with ISO New England Data	76
4.11.1 Mutual Information Scores of ISO-NE Data	76
4.11.2 Daily Load Forecasting Performance.....	77
4.11.3 Test Set Load Forecasting Performance.....	80
4.11.4 SHAP-Based Feature Importance Analysis	81
4.11.4 Comparative Analysis of Feature Importance Models and Methods.....	82
4.12 Summary of Results.....	84
V CONCLUSION	85
5.1 Conclusion	85
5.2 Suggestions.....	50
REFERENCES	93
APPENDIX A Performance of LSTM Model on 12 Test Sets	94
APPENDIX B Implementation and Experimental Setup.....	96
APPENDIX C Test Set Load Forecasting Performance.....	101
APPENDIX D SHAP-Based Feature Importance Analysis.....	107
APPENDIX E List of publications.....	113
BIOGRAPHY.....	131

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 Overview of Feature Techniques, Model Selection, Evaluation Techniques, and Feature Selection in Electrical Load Forecasting.....	10
2.2 Comprehensive Overview of Modeling, Feature Engineering, Evaluation, Feature Selection, and Explainability in Load Forecasting Research.....	12
3.1 Performance Factor	34
3.2 Model parameter settings	36
3.3 Evaluation results of model performance in validation metrics and model training time.....	37
3.4 Performance of each model on weekday load	42
3.5 Performance of each model on weekend load	42
3.6 Model Performance on Validation and Average Performance on 12 Test Sets	46
4.1 Parameter Setting	64
4.2 Selecting the appropriate hyperparameter.....	65
4.3 Details of parameter adjustment via indicators.....	65
4.4 Performance of Each Model on 10 Test Sets.....	67

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

Table	Page
4.5 Overall Test Set Performance Metrics.....	72
4.6 Feature Importance Ranking of NN Model by SHAP and MI.....	74
4.7 The best result for each model in daily forecasting.....	78
4.8 Overall Test Set Performance Metrics of ISO-NE Data.....	81
4.9 Feature Importance Ranking of ISO-NE Data.....	83
A.1 Performance of LSTM Model on 12 Test Sets.....	94

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
3.1 LSTM architecture	30
3.2 Bi-LSTM architecture	31
3.3 GRU architecture	32
3.4 Bi-GRU architecture	33
3.5 The Proposed Load Forecasting Workflow	33
3.6 Electrical load forecasting on validation datasets of (a) LSTM, (b) GRU, (c) Bi-LSTM and (d) Bi-GRU models.....	39
3.7 One-day-ahead electricity load forecast for each model on weekdays.....	41
3.8 One-day-ahead electricity load forecast for each model on weekends.....	41
3.9 Forecasting Result – Test Set 3: Exam Period.....	45
3.10 Forecasting Result – Test Set 6: Start of the Semester.....	45
4.1 Preparation of Actual Electricity Load Data	49
4.2 Attention-LSTM architecture	54
4.3 Expanding Window.....	57
4.4 Process Description	61
4.5 The Complete Forecasting Framework	63

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

Figure	Page
4.6 Mutual Information Scores of SUT Data.....	66
4.7 The Lowest-Performing Forecast Results in the Initial Semester Test Set.....	68
4.8 The Lowest-Performing Forecast Results in the Rainy Season Test Set.....	68
4.9 The Best-Performing Forecasting Results in the Test Set during the Exam Period.....	69
4.10 SHAP Value of Each Model: Bi-LSTM	73
4.11 Mutual Information Scores of ISO-NE Data	77
4.12 Comparison of the best forecasting results of the CNN-LSTM model.....	79
4.13 SHAP Value of Each Model of ISO-NE Data: CNN-LSTM	82
C.1 Performance evaluation graph of the model's test suite: a) Moving average, b) DNN, c) LSTM, d) Bi-LSTM, e) CNN-LSTM, and f) Attention-LSTM	101
C.2 Performance evaluation graph of the model's test suite: a) Bi-LSTM (Top 3 Features of SHAP) and b) Bi-LSTM (Top 3 Features of MI).....	103
C.3 Performance evaluation results for test data set of ISO-NE Data: a) Moving average, b) DNN, c) LSTM, d) Bi-LSTM, e) CNN-LSTM, f) Attention-LSTM and g) Top 3 Features	104
D.1 SHAP Value of Each Model of SUT's Data: a) Moving average, b) DNN, c) LSTM, d) Bi-LSTM, e) CNN-LSTM, and f) Attention-LSTM	107
D.2 SHAP Value of Each Model of ISO-NE Data: a) Moving average, b) DNN, c) LSTM, d) Bi-LSTM, e) CNN-LSTM, and f) Attention-LSTM	110