

REFERENCES

- Ädel, A. (2006). *Metadiscourse in L1 and L2 English*. John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Ädel, A. (2010). Just to give you kind of a map of where we are going: A taxonomy of metadiscourse in spoken and written academic English. *Nordic Journal of English Studies*, 9 (2), 69-97. <https://doi.org/10.35360/njes.218>
- Ädel, A. (2012). 'What I want you to remember is...'. *English Text Construction*, 5 (1), 101-127. <https://doi.org/10.1075/etc.5.1.06ade>
- Ädel, A. (2023). Adopting a 'move' rather than a 'marker' approach to metadiscourse: A taxonomy for spoken student presentations. *English for Specific Purposes*, 69, 4-18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2022.09.001>
- Ädel, A., & Erman, B. (2012). Recurrent word combinations in academic writing by native and non-native speakers of English: A lexical bundles approach. *English for Specific Purposes*, 31(2), 81-92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2011.08.004>
- Ädel, A., & Mauranen, A. (2010). Metadiscourse: Diverse and divided perspectives. *Nordic Journal of English Studies*, 9(2), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.35360/njes.215>
- Abu-El-Enein, A. H. (2011). *Difficulties encountering English majors in giving academic oral presentations during class at Al-Aqsa University* [Doctoral thesis, Islamic University of Gaza]. Al Manhal Platform. <https://library.iugaza.edu.ps/thesis/96026.pdf>.
- Aggabao, R. (2020). Grammatical Structures in the Written and Oral Mode of ESL Students. *Journal of English Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics*. <https://doi.org/10.32996/JELTAL.2020.2.5.6>.
- Aguilar, M. (2008). *Metadiscourse in Academic Speech: A relevance-theoretic approach*. Peter Lang. <https://doi.org/10.3726/978-3-0351-0403-5>
- Aguilar, M. (2016). Seminars. In K. Hyland, & P. Shaw (Eds.), *The Routledge handbook of English for academic purposes* (pp. 359-371). Routledge.
- Akoto, O. Y. (2020). Metadiscourse within a discipline: A study of introduction and literature review chapters of sociology masters' theses. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 10(2), 471-480. <https://doi.org/10.17509/ijal.v10i2.28588>
- Al-Harun, M. O., Islam, K. M., & Rahman, M. A. (2016). Challenges in Oral presentation in English for the freshers at tertiary level. *Green University Review of Social Sciences*, 3(1), 137-157.
- Al-Mudhaffari, M., Hussin, S., & HoAbdullah, I. (2020). Interactional strategies in L2 writing: An exploration of hedging and boosting strategies in applied linguistics

- research articles. *International Journal of Arabic-English Studies*, 20(1), 171–186. <https://doi.org/10.33806/ijaes2000.20.1.9>
- Amnuai, W. (2012). *A comparative study of English applied linguistics research articles between Thai and internationally published journals: Moves and formulaic sequences* (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from <http://203.158.6.11:8080/sutir/handle/123456789/4094>
- Amnuai, W. (2019). Analyses of Rhetorical Moves and Linguistic Realizations in Accounting Research Article Abstracts Published in International and Thai-Based Journals. *SAGE Open*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244018822384>
- Amnuai, W., & Wannaruk, A. (2013). Investigating move structure of English applied linguistics research article discussions published in international and Thai journals. *English Language Teaching*, 6(2), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v6n2p1>
- Amnuai, W., Wimuttisuksuntorn, W., & Wuttikanokkarn, T. (2023). Rhetorical moves and metadiscourse in English abstracts of research articles and masters' theses. *Journal of English Language and Linguistics*, 4(2), 29–46. <https://doi.org/10.14456/jel.2023.13>
- Anthony, L. (2020). Programming for Corpus Linguistics. In M. Paquot & S. T. Gries (Eds.) *Practical Handbook of Corpus Linguistics*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-46216-19>
- Asadnia, F., & Atai, M. R. (2022). Examining the effectiveness of an online EAP course in developing researchers' virtual conference presentation skills. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 60(3), 101–184. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2022.101184>
- Asfina, R., Kadarisman, A. E., & Astuti, U. P. (2018). Hedges used by Indonesian ELT students in written and spoken discourses. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 7(3), 650–658. <https://doi.org/10.17509/ijal.v7i3.9815>
- Assassi, T. (2016). Formulaic language for improving communicative competence. *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 2, 163–176. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2814817>
- Aston, G. (2001). Text categories and corpus users: A response to David Lee. *Language Learning and Technology*, 5(3), 73–76.
- Bailey, D. (2022). Interactivity during Covid-19: Mediation of learner interactions on social presence and expected learning outcome within video conference EFL courses. *Journal of Computers in Education*, 9(2), 291–313. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40692-021-00204-w>

- Baker, P., & Chen, Y-H. (2010). Lexical bundles in L1 and L2 academic writing. *Language Learning and Technology*, 14(2), 30-49. <http://llt.msu.edu/vol14num2/chenbaker.pdf>
- Bankowski, E. (2010). Developing skills for effective academic presentations in EAP. *International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education*, 22(2), 187-196. http://ijlcnet.com/journals/ijlc/Vol_1_No_2_December_2013/1.pdf.
- Barrett, N. E., & Liu, G. Z. (2016). Global trends and research aims for English academic oral presentations: Changes, challenges, and opportunities for learning technology. *Review of Educational Research*, 86(4), 1227-1271. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654316628296>.
- Bastola, M.N., & Ho, V. (2022). “Don’t become so much high sounding”: Power dynamics in master’s thesis viva. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 60(September), 101180. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2022.101180>
- Bastola, M.N., & Ho, V. (2023). Rhetorical structure of literature review chapters in Nepalese PhD dissertations: Students’ engagement with previous scholarship. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 65(July), 101271. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2023.101271>
- Bax, S., Nakatsuhara, F., & Waller, D. (2019). Researching L2 writers’ use of metadiscourse markers at intermediate and advanced levels. *System*, 83, 79–95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2019.02.010>
- Bazerman, C. (1988). *Shaping written knowledge: The genre and activity of the experimental article in Science*. University of Wisconsin Press.
- Beauvais, P. (1989) A speech-act theory of metadiscourse. *Written Communication*, 6(1), 11-30.
- Belica, C. (1996). Analysis of temporal changes in corpora. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, 1(1), 61-73.
- Bergqvist, H. (2017). The role of “perspective” in epistemic marking. *Lingua*, 186, 5–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lingua.2015.02.008>
- Bestgen, Y. (2020). Comparing Lexical Bundles across Corpora of Different Sizes: The Zipfian Problem. *Journal of Quantitative Linguistics*, 27(3), 272–290. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09296174.2019.1566975>
- Bhatia, V. K. (1993). *Analyzing genre: Language use in professional settings*. Longman.
- Bhatia, V. K. (1997). Genre-mixing in academic introductions. *English for Specific Purposes*, 16(3), 181-195.
- Bhatia, V. K. (1998). Generic patterns in fundraising discourse. *New Directions for Philanthropic Fundraising*, 22, 95–110.

- Bhatia, V. K. (2004). *Worlds of written discourse: A genre-based view*. A&C Black.
- Biber, D. & F. Barbieri (2007). Lexical bundles in university spoken and written registers. *English for Specific Purposes*, 26(3), 263-286
- Biber, D. (2006). *University language: A corpus-based study of spoken and written registers*. John Benjamins.
- Biber, D. (2009). A corpus-driven approach to formulaic language in English: Multi-word patterns in speech and writing. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, 14(3), 275–311. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ijcl.14.3.08bib>
- Biber, D., & Conrad, S. (2009). *Register, genre, and style*. Cambridge University Press.
- Biber, D., Connor, U., & Upton, T. A. (2007). *Discourse on the move: Using corpus analysis to describe discourse structure*. John Benjamins.
- Biber, D., Conrad, S., & Cortes, V. (2004). *If you look at ...: Lexical Bundles in University Teaching and Textbooks*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/APPLIN/25.3.371>
- Biber, D., Conrad, S., & Cortes, V. (2004). If you look at...: Lexical bundles in university teaching and textbooks. *Applied Linguistics*, 25(3), 371-405.
- Biber, D., Conrad, S., & Reppen, R. (1998). *Corpus linguistics: Investigating language structure and use*. Cambridge University Press
- Biber, D., Johansson, S., Leech, G., Conrad, S., & Finegan, E. (1999). *Longman grammar of spoken and written English*. Pearson Education Limited.
- Biber, Douglas; Connor, Ulla; Upton, T. A. (2007). *Discourse on the move: Using corpus analysis to describe discourse structure*. John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Björkman, B. (2008a). English as the lingua franca of engineering: The morphosyntax of academic speech events. *Nordic Journal of English Studies*, 7(3), 103-122.
- Björkman, B. (2008b). 'So where we are?' spoken lingua franca English at a technical university in Sweden. *English today*, 24(2), 35-41.
- Björkman, B. (2010). So you think you can ELF: English as a lingua franca as the medium of instruction. *HERMES-Journal of Language and Communication in Business*, 23(45), 77-96.
- Björkman, B. (2011). Pragmatic strategies in English as an academic lingua franca: Ways of achieving communicative effectiveness? *Journal of Pragmatics*, 43(4). 950-964.
- Boers, F., Eyckmans, J., Kappel, J., Stengers, H., & Demecheleer, M. (2006). Formulaic sequences and perceived oral proficiency: Putting a lexical approach to the test. *Language Teaching Research*, 10, 245-261. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1362168806lr195oa>.

- Braine, G. (1989). Writing in science and technology: An analysis of assignments from ten undergraduate courses. *English for Specific Purposes*, 8, 3-15.
- Braine, G. (1995). Writing in the natural sciences and engineering. In D. Belcher & G. Braine (Eds), *Academic writing in a second language: l'ssays on research and pedagogy*. Ablex.
- Brown, R. (2004). Self-composed: Rhetoric in Psychology personal statements. *Written Communication*, 21(3), 242-260. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0741088304264338>.
- Bruce, I. (2008). Cognitive genre structures in Methods sections of research articles: A corpus study. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 7(1), 38-54.
- Bruce, I. (2009). Results sections in sociology and organic chemistry articles: A genre analysis. *English for Specific Purposes*, 28(2), 105-124.
- Buerki, A. (2016). Formulaic sequences: A drop in the ocean of constructions or something more significant? *European Journal of English Studies*, 20(1), 15-34.
- Burke, P. J. (1994). Segmentation and control of a dissertation defense. *Advances in Discourse Processes*, 43, 95-95.
- Bychkovska, T., & Lee, J. J. (2017). At the same time: Lexical bundles in L1 and L2 university student argumentative writing. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 30, 38-52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2017.10.008>.
- Caffi, G. (2006). Metapragmatics. In K. Brown (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of language & linguistics (2nd ed)* (pp. 83-88). Elsevier.
- Campbell, J., Corr, S., & Jones, R. (2005). Effective Reporting of Quantitative Data. *The British Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 68, 495-500. <https://doi.org/10.1177/030802260506801103>.
- Campbell, K. K., & Jamieson, K. H. (1978). Form and genre in rhetorical criticism: An introduction. In K. K. Campbell & K. H. Jamieson (Eds.), *Form and genre: Shaping rhetorical action* (pp. 9-32). Speech Communication Association.
- Can, T., & Cangir, H. (2019). A corpus-assisted comparative analysis of self-mention markers in doctoral dissertations of literary studies written in Turkey and the UK. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 42, 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2019.100796>
- Canagarajah, S. A. (2006). TESOL at forty: What are the issues? *TESOL Quarterly*, 40, 9-34.
- Cao, F., & Hu, G. (2014). Interactive metadiscourse in research articles: A comparative study of paradigmatic and disciplinary influences. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 66, 15-31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2014.02.007>

- Carrell, P. L. (1982). Cohesion is not coherence. *Tesol Quarterly*, 16(4), 479e488. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3586466>.
- Carrell, P. L. (1984). The Effects of Rhetorical Organization on ESL Readers. *TESOL Quarterly*, 18(3), 441. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3586714>
- Carter-Thomas, S., & Rowley-Jolivet, E. (2003). Analysing the scientific conference presentation (CP), A methodological overview of a multimodal genre. *ASP*, 39(40), 59-72. <https://doi.org/10.4000/asp.1295>
- Carter-Thomas, S., & Rowley-Jolivet, E. (2020). Three Minute Thesis presentations: Recontextualisation strategies in doctoral research. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 48, 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2020.100897>
- Chandler, D. (2000). *An introduction to genre theory*. The Media and Communications Studies Site.
- Chang, Y. J., & Huang, H. T. (2015). Exploring TED talks as a pedagogical resource for oral presentations: A corpus-based move analysis. *English Teaching and Learning*, 39(4), 29-62. <https://doi.org/10.6330/ETL.2015.39.4.02>
- Channon, D., Savva, M., & Nygaard, L. P. (2021). Navigating the pass: Distance, dislocation, and the viva. In M. Savva, & L. P. Nygaard (Eds.), *Becoming a scholar: Cross-cultural reflections on identity and agency in an education doctorate* (pp. 71-88). UCL Press.
- Charles, M. (2006). Phraseological patterns in reporting clauses used in citation: A corpus-based study of theses in two disciplines. *English for Specific Purposes*, 25, 310-331. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ESP.2005.05.003>.
- Chen, S. (2008). The PhD dissertation defense in Canada: An institutional policy perspective. *Journal of Educational Administration and Policy*, 88, 1-24. http://www.cc.umanitoba.ca/publications/cjeap/pdf_files/chen.pdf.
- Cheng, S. W. (2012). "That's it for today": Academic lecture closings and the impact of class size. *English for Specific Purposes*, 31(4), 234-248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2012.05.004>.
- Cheng, W., & Warren, M. (2008). One country two systems: The discourse intonation patterns of word associations. In A. Ädel & R. Reppen (Eds.), *Corpora and discourse: The challenges in different settings* (pp. 135-153). John Benjamins Publishing. <https://www.jbe-platform.com/content/books/9789027290458-scl.31.09che>
- Christie, F. (1991). *Genres as social processes* [paper presentation]. Working with Genre: Papers from the 1989 LERN Conference. Common, Ground.

- Cloran, C. (1993). *Rhetorical units and decontextualisation: An enquiry into some relations of context, meaning and grammar* [Unpublished doctoral thesis]. Nottingham University.
- Coe, R. M. (1994). "An arousing and fulfillment of desires": The rhetoric of genre in the process era-and beyond. In A. Freedman & P. Medway (Eds.), *Genre and the new rhetoric* (pp. 181-190). Taylor & Francis.
- Cogo, A. (2009). Accommodating difference in ELF conversations. In A. Mauranen, & E. Ranta (Eds.), *English as a lingua franca: Studies and findings* (pp. 254-273). Cambridge Scholars Press.
- Coleman, J. A. (2006). English-medium teaching in European higher education. *Language Teaching*, 39(1). 1-14.
- Cortes, V. (2004). Lexical bundles in published and student disciplinary writing: Examples from history and biology. *English for Specific Purposes*, 23(4), 397-423. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2003.12.001>.
- Cortes, V. (2008). A comparative analysis of lexical bundles in academic history writing in English and Spanish. *Corpora*, 3, 43-57. <https://doi.org/10.3366/E1749503208000063>
- Cortes, V. (2013). The purpose of this study is to: Connecting lexical bundles and moves in research article introductions. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 12(1), 33-43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2012.11.002>
- Cortes, V. (2015). Situating lexical bundles in the formulaic language spectrum. In V. Cortes, & E. Csomay (Eds.), *Corpus-based research in applied linguistics: Studies in honor of Doug Biber* (pp. 197-216). John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Creswell, J. W. (2009). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods approaches* (3rd ed.). SAGE.
- Crismore, A. (1989). *Talking with readers: Metadiscourse as rhetorical act*. Peter Lang.
- Crismore, A., Markkanen, R., & Steffensen, M. (1993). Metadiscourse in persuasive writing: A study of texts written by American and Finnish university students. *Written Communication*, 10(1), 39-71.
- Crosthwaite, P., & Jiang, K. (2017). Does EAP affect written L2 academic stance? A longitudinal learner corpus study. *System*, 69, 92-107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2017.06.010>
- Csomay, E. (2013). Lexical bundles in discourse structure: A corpus-based study of classroom discourse. *Applied Linguistics*, 34(3), 369-388. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/ams045>

- De Beaugrande, R. (2001). Large corpora, small corpora, and the learning of language. In M. Ghadessy, A. Henry & R. Roseberry (Eds.), *Small corpus studies and ELT* (pp. 3-28). John Benjamins.
- Deng, L. & Wannaruk, A. (2021). A contrastive study of rhetorical move structure of English medium instruction lectures given by native English and Chinese lecturers. *LEARN Journal: Language Education and Acquisition Research Network*, 14(2), 451-477.
- Deng, L. (2019). *A contrastive study of university English-medium instruction lectures given by native and non-native English lectures: Rhetorical move structure and formulaic language* [Unpublished doctoral thesis]. Suranaree University of Technology.
- Deng, L., Fatemeh, B., & Gao, X. (2021). Exploring the interactive and interactional metadiscourse in doctoral dissertation writing: a diachronic study. *Scientometrics*, 126(8), 7223–7250. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-021-04064-0>
- Denicolo, P. (2003). Assessing the PhD: A constructive view of criteria. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 11(2), 84-91. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09684880310471506>
- Devitt, A. J. (1993). Generalizing about genre: New conceptions of an old concept. *College Composition and Communication*, 44, 573-586.
- di Carlo, G. S. (2015). Stance in TED talks: Strategic use of subjective adjectives in online popularisation. *Iberica*, 29, 201–222. <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=ufh&AN=109298699&site=ehost-live>
- Dobson, S. (2018). *Assessing the viva in higher education: Chasing moments of truth*. Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-64016-7>
- Don, Z. M., & Izadi, A. (2011). Relational connection and separation in Iranian dissertation defences. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 43(15), 3782-3792. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2011.09.010>
- Dressen-Hammouda, D. (2012). Measuring the construction of discursual expertise through corpus-based genre analysis. In A. Boulton, S. Carter-Thomas & E. Rowley-Jolivet (Eds.), *Corpus-informed Research and Learning in ESP: Issues and applications* (pp. 193-216). John Benjamins.
- Dubois, B. L. (1980). Genre and structure of biomedical speeches. *Forum Linguisticum*, 5, 140-168.
- Dubois, B. L. (1985). Poster sessions at biomedical meetings: Design and presentation. *The ESP Journal*, 4, 37-48.
- Dudley-Evans, T. (2004). Genre Analysis. In K. Malmkjaer (Ed.), *The linguistics encyclopedia* (pp. 205-208). Routledge.

- Durrant, P. (2009). Investigating the viability of a collocation list for students of English for academic purposes. *English for Specific Purposes*, 28(3), 157-169.
- Durrant, P. (2015). Lexical bundles and disciplinary variation in university students' writing: Mapping the territories. *Applied Linguistics*, 1-30. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/amv011>
- Durrant, P. (2017). Lexical bundles and disciplinary variation in university students' writing: Mapping the territories. *Applied Linguistics*, 38(2), 165-193.
- Durrant, P. (2019). Formulaic language in English for academic purposes. In A. Siyanova-Chanturia, & A. Pelicer-Sanchez (Eds.), *Understanding formulaic language: A second language acquisition perspective* (pp. 211-227). Routledge.
- Durrant, P., & Mathews-Aydinli, J. (2011). A function-first approach to identifying formulaic language in academic writing. *English for Specific Purposes*, 30(1), 58-72.
- Durrant, P., & Schmitt, N. (2009). To what extent do native and non-native writers make use of collocations? *International Review of Applied Linguistics in Language Teaching*, 47, 157-177.
- Durrant, P., Brenchley, M., & McCallum, L. (2021). Development in formulaic language. In P. Durrant, M. Brenchley & L. McCallum (Eds.), *Understanding Development and Proficiency in Writing Quantitative Corpus Linguistic Approaches* (pp. 147-182). Cambridge University Press <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108770101.005>
- Ebadi, S., & Bashiri, S. (2021). Psychological benefits and challenges of Ph.D. entrance exam virtual interviews during COVID-19 pandemic: Does gender play a role? *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.800715>
- Ellis, N. (2002). Frequency effects in language acquisition: A review with implications for theories of implicit and explicit language acquisition. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 24(2), 143-88.
- Ellis, N. (2006). Selective attention and transfer phenomena in L2 acquisition: Contingency, cue competition, salience, interference, overshadowing, blocking, and perceptual learning. *Applied Linguistics*, 27(2), 164-194.
- Ellis, N. C., & Sinclair, S. G. (1996). Working memory in the acquisition of vocabulary and syntax: Putting language in good order. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 49, 234-250.
- Erman, B., & Warren, B. (2000). The idiom principle and the open choice principle. *Text-Interdisciplinary Journal for the Study of Discourse*, 20(1), 29-62.

- Fadhliah Mahmud, N. F., Mohamad Ali, A., & Mei Yuit, C. (2014). Review of studies on oral case presentations in clinical settings. *Malaysian Journal of Languages and Linguistics (MJLL)*, 3(1), 108-128. <https://doi.org/10.24200/mjll.vol3iss1pp108-128>
- Farnia, M., & Gerami, S. (2021). Comparative study of interactional metadiscourse markers in the discussion section of soft and hard science research articles: Hedges and boosters in focus. *Jordan Journal of Modern Languages and Literatures*, 13(2), 263–280. <https://doi.org/10.47012/jjml.13.2.5>
- Feuer, J. (1992). Genre study and television. In R. C. Allen (Ed.), *Channels of discourse, reassembled: Television and contemporary criticism* (pp. 138-59). Routledge.
- Flowerdew, J. (1992). Definition in Science Lectures. *Applied Linguistics*, 13, 202-221.
- Flowerdew, J. (1993). An educational, or process, approach to the teaching of professional genres. *ELT Journal*, 47, 305-316.
- Flowerdew, J. (2002). Genre in the classroom: A linguistic approach. In A. M. Johns (Ed.), *Genre in the classroom: Multiple perspectives* (pp. 91-102). Routledge
- Flowerdew, J., & Dudley-Evans, T. (2002). Genre analysis of editorial letters to international journal contributors. *Applied Linguistics*, 23(4), 463–489.
- Flowerdew, J., & Forest, R. W. (2009). Schematic structure and lexico-grammatical realization in corpus-based genre analysis: The case of research in the PhD literature review. In M. Charles, D. Pecorari & S. Hunston (Eds.), *Academic writing: At the interface of corpus and discourse* (pp. 15-36). Continuum.
- Flowerdew, J., & Lindsay M. (1992). Student perceptions, problems and strategies in second language lecture comprehension. *RELC Journal*, 23, 60–79.
- Flowerdew, J., & Miller, L. (1997). The teaching of academic listening comprehension and the question of authenticity. *English for Specific Purposes*, 16(1), 27-46.
- Flowerdew, J., & Wan, A. (2010). The linguistic and the contextual in applied genre analysis: The case of the company audit report. *English for Specific Purposes*, 29(2), 78-93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2009.07.001>
- Flowerdew, L. (1998). Corpus linguistic techniques applied to textlinguistics. *System*, 26(4), 541-552.
- Flowerdew, L. (2002). Corpus-based analyses in EAP. In J. Flowerdew (Ed.) *Academic Discourse* (pp. 95-114). Pearson.
- Flowerdew, L. (2004). The argument for using English specialized corpora to understand academic and professional language. In U. Conner & T. A. Upton (Eds.), *Discourse in the professions: Perspectives from corpus linguistics* (pp. 11-33). John Benjamins.

- Flowerdew, L. (2005). An integration of corpus-based and genre-based approaches to text analysis in EAP/ESP: Countering criticisms against corpus-based methodologies. *English for Specific Purposes*, 24(3), 321-332.
- Flowerdew, L. (2016). A genre-inspired and lexico-grammatical approach for helping postgraduate students craft research grant proposals. *English for Specific Purposes*, 42, 1-12.
- Formentelli, M. (2017). *Taking stance in English as a lingua franca: Managing interpersonal relations in academic lectures*. Cambridge Scholars Publishing.
- Forsberg, F. (2010). Using conventional sequences in L2 French. *IRAL*, 48, 25-51.
- Fortanet, I. (2004). The use of 'we' in university lectures: Reference and function. *English for Specific Purposes*, 23(1), 45-66.
- Fortanet, I. (2005). Honoris causa speeches: An approach to structure. *Discourse Studies*, 7(1), 31-51.
- Freedman, A. (1994). "Do as I say": The relationship between teaching and learning new genres. In A. Freedman & P. Medway (Eds.), *Genre and the new rhetoric* (pp. 191-210). Taylor & Francis.
- Ghane, M. H., Mazdayasna, G., & Jabbari, A. A. (2021). Promoting genre knowledge of writing MA research proposal: The case of EFL students of applied linguistics. *Iranian Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 10(4), 36-53.
- Gil, N., & Caro, E. (2019). Lexical bundles in learner and expert academic writing. *Bellaterra Journal of Teaching & Learning Language & Literature*. <https://doi.org/10.5565/REV/JTL3.794>.
- Goffman, E. (1981). *Forms of talk*. University of Pennsylvania.
- Grabowski, L. (2015). Keywords and lexical bundles within English pharmaceutical discourse: A corpus-driven description. *English for Specific Purposes*, 38, 23-33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2014.10.004>
- Grabowski, Ł. (2018). Fine-tuning lexical bundles : a methodological reflection in the context of describing drug-drug interactions. In J. Kopaczyk & J. Tyrkkö (Eds.), *Applications of Pattern-driven Methods in Corpus Linguistics* (Vol. 82, pp. 57-80). <https://doi.org/10.1075/scl.82.03gra>
- Grady, Z. J., Gallo, L. K., Lin, H., Magod, B. L., Coulthard, S. L., Flink, B. J., Knauer, E. M., Winer, J. H., Papandria, D., & Pettitt, B. J. (2022). From the operating room to online: Medical student surgery education in the time of COVID-19. *The Journal of Surgical Research*, 270, 145-150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2021.08.020>
- Granger, S. & P. Rayson. (1998). Automatic profiling of learner texts. In S. Granger (Ed.), *Learner English on computer* (pp. 119-131). Longman.

- Gray, B., Cotos, E., & Smith, J. (2020). Research writing across disciplines. In U. Römer, V. Cortes, & E. Friginal (Eds.), *Combining rhetorical move analysis with multi-dimensional analysis advances in corpus-based research on academic writing: Effects of discipline, register, and writer expertise*. John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Guest, M. (2018). Conferencing and Presentation English for Young Academics. In *Springer*. <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-981-13-2475-8>
- Guziurová, T. (2020). Discourse reflexivity in written academic English as lingua franca: Code glosses in research articles. *Discourse and Interaction*, 13(2), 36–54. <https://doi.org/10.5817/DI2020-2-36>
- Halleck, G. B., & Connor, U. M. (2006). Rhetorical moves in TESOL conference proposals. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 5(1), 70-86.
- Halliday, M. A. K. (1994). *An introduction to functional grammar: 2nd edition*. Arnold.
- Halliday, M. A. K., & Martin, J. R. (1993). *Writing science: Literary and discursive power*. Falmer Press.
- Harris, Z.S. (1959). The transformational model of language structure. *Anthropol Ling*, 1, 27-29.
- Hartmann, D. P. (1977). Considerations in the choice of interobserver reliability estimates. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 10,103-116.
- He, M., & Pramoolsook, I. (2022). Master ' s Thesis Defense Presentation Slides in Applied Linguistics : Move Analysis of the Introduction by Chinese Students. *PASAA Journal*, 64(December), 138–162.
- Henderson, A., & Barr, R. (2010). Comparing indicators of authorial stance in psychology students' writing and published research articles. *Journal of Writing Research*, 2(2), 245–264. <https://doi.org/10.17239/jowr-2010.02.02.8>
- Herriman, J. (2022). Metadiscourse in English instruction manuals. *English for Specific Purposes*, 65, 120–132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2021.10.003>
- Hirano, E. (2009). Research article introductions in English for specific purposes: A comparison between Brazilian Portuguese and English. *English for Specific Purposes*, 28(4), 240-250. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2009.02.001>.
- Ho, C. M. L. (2004). Viva la viva! Towards an oral defence of student project-based presentations. *Modern English Teacher*, 13(4), 30-34.
- Ho, M. (2011). Academic discourse socialization through small-group discussions. *System*, 39(4), 437-450.
- Hoey, M. (2005). *Lexical priming: A new theory of words and language*. Routledge.

- Holmes, R. (1997). Genre analysis and the social sciences: An investigation of the structure of research article discussion sections in three disciplines. *English for Specific Purposes*, 16(4), 321-337. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0889-4906\(96\)00038-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0889-4906(96)00038-5).
- Hong, L. C., & Fong, N. S. (2012). Presenting a research proposal: The examiners' expectations. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 66, 537-543. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.11.298>
- Hood, S., & Forey, G. (2005). Introducing a conference paper: Getting interpersonal with your audience. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 4(4), 291-306. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2005.07.003>
- Hopkins, A., & Dudley-Evans, T. (1988). A genre-based investigation of the discussion sections in articles and dissertations. *English for Specific Purposes*, 7(2), 113-121.
- Howlett, G. (2020). How Thai students use mobile devices when learning EFL and the effect of urban/ rural school location. *The Asian EFL Journal*, 23(6). 96-114.
- Hsu, W. (2014). The most frequent opaque formulaic sequences in English-medium college textbooks. *System*, 47, 146-161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2014.10.001>
- Hu, G., & Liu, Y. (2018). Three minute thesis presentations as an academic genre: A cross-disciplinary study of genre moves. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 35, 16-30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2018.06.004>.
- Huang, W., & Fang, F. (2022). EMI teachers' perceptions and practices regarding culture teaching in Chinese higher education. *Language, Culture and Curriculum*, 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07908318.2022.2115056>
- Hudson, J. and Wiktorsson, M. (2009). Formulaic language and the relater category – the case of about. In R. Corrigan, E. A. Moravcsik, H. Ouali and K. M. Wheatley (Eds), *Formulaic Language Volume 1: Distribution and Historical Change* (pp. 77-96). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Hunston, S. (2002). *Corpora in Applied Linguistics*. Cambridge University Press.
- Hyland, K. (1998). Persuasion and context: The pragmatics of academic metadiscourse. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 30(4), 437-455. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-2166\(98\)00009-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-2166(98)00009-5)
- Hyland, K. (2001). *Disciplinary Discourses: Social Interactions in Academic Writing*. University of Michigan Press. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3587657>
- Hyland, K. (2002). Directives: Argument and Engagement in Academic Writing. *Applied Linguistics*, 23(2), 215-239+280. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/23.2.215>

- Hyland, K. (2005). Stance and engagement: A model of interaction in academic discourse. *Discourse Studies*, 7(2), 173–192. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461445605050365>
- Hyland, K. (2005a). *Metadiscourse: Exploring interaction in writing*. Continuum.
- Hyland, K. (2005b). Representing readers in writing: Student and expert practices. *Linguistics and Education*, 16(4), 363–377. doi:10.1016/j.linged.2006.05.002
- Hyland, K. (2005c). Stance and engagement: A model of interaction in academic discourse. *Discourse Studies*, 7(2), 173–192. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461445605050365>
- Hyland, K. (2006). *English for academic purposes: An advanced resource book*. Routledge.
- Hyland, K. (2008a). Academic clusters: Text patterning in published and postgraduate writing. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 18(1), 41–62.
- Hyland, K. (2008b). As can be seen: Lexical bundles and disciplinary variation. *English for Specific Purposes*, 27(1), 4–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ESP.2007.06.001>
- Hyland, K. (2008c). Persuasion, interaction and the construction of knowledge: Representing self and others in research writing. *International Journal of English Studies (IJES)*, 8(2), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.6018/ijes.8.2.49151>
- Hyland, K. (2012). Bundles in academic discourse. *Annual review of applied linguistics*, 32, 150–169.
- Hyland, K. (2016). Academic publishing and the myth of linguistic injustice. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 31, 58–69.
- Hyland, K. (2017). Metadiscourse: What is it and where is it going? *Journal of Pragmatics*, 113, 16–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2017.03.007>
- Hyland, K. (2018). *Metadiscourse: Exploring Interaction in Writing*. Bloomsbury Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203889657-3>
- Hyland, K. (1996). Talking to the academy forms of hedging in science research articles. *Written Communication*, 13(2), 251–281. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0741088396013002004>
- Hyland, K., & (Joanna) Zou, H. (2022). Pithy Persuasion: Engagement in 3 Minute Thesis Presentations. *Applied Linguistics*, 43(1), 21–44. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/amab017>
- Hyland, K., & Guinda, C. S. (Eds.). (2012). *Stance and voice in written academic genres* (pp. 134–150). Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Hyland, K., & Jiang, F. (Kevin). (2016). “We must conclude that...”: A diachronic study of academic engagement. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 24, 29–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2016.09.003>

- Hyland, K., & Jiang, F. (Kevin). (2018). "In this paper we suggest": Changing patterns of disciplinary metadiscourse. *English for Specific Purposes*, 51, 18-30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ESP.2018.02.001>
- Hyland, K., & Shaw, P. (2016). *The Routledge handbook of English for academic purposes*. Routledge.
- Hyland, K., & Tse, P. (2004). Metadiscourse in academic writing: A reappraisal. *Applied Linguistics*, 25(2), 156–177. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/25.2.156>
- Hyland, K., & Zou, H. (Joanna). (2020). In the frame: Signalling structure in academic articles and blogs. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 165, 31–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2020.05.002>
- Hyon, S. (1996). Genre in three traditions: Implications for ESL. *TESOL Quarterly*, 30(4), 693-722. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3587930>
- Hüppauf, B. (2004). Globalization-threats and opportunities. In A. Gardt & B. Hèuppau (Eds.), *Globalization and the future of German: With a select bibliography* (pp. 3-24). Mouton de Gruyter.
- Ismail, N., Kinchin, G., & Edwards, J.-A. (2017). Pilot Study, Does It Really Matter? Learning Lessons from Conducting a Pilot Study for a Qualitative PhD Thesis. *International Journal of Social Science Research*, 6(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.5296/ijssr.v6i1.11720>
- Jackson, C., & Tinkler, P. (2001). Back to basics: A consideration of the purposes of the PhD viva. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 26(4), 355-366. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602930120063501>
- Jaworski, A., Coupland, N., Galasinski, D. (2004). *Metalanguage: Social and ideological perspectives*. De Gruyter.
- Jenkins, J., Cogo, A. & Martin, D. (2011). Review of developments in research into English as a lingua franca. *Language Teaching*, 44(2), 281-315.
- Jiang, F. (Kevin), & Hyland, K. (2020). "There are significant differences...": The secret life of existential there in academic writing. *Lingua*, 233, 102758. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lingua.2019.102758>
- Jiang, F. (Kevin), & Ma, X. (2018). "As we can see": Reader engagement in PhD candidature confirmation reports. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 35, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2018.05.003>
- Johns, A. M. (2001). *Genre in the classroom: Multiple perspectives*. Routledge.
- Jordan, R. R. (1997). *English for Academic Purposes: A guide and resource book for teachers*. Cambridge University Press.

- Joyce, H. (1992). *Workplace texts in the language classroom*. New South Wales Adult Migrant English Service.
- Kallet, R. (2004). How to write the methods section of a research paper. *Respiratory care*, 49 10, 1229-32 .
- Kao, S. M., & Wang, W. C. (2014). Lexical and organizational features in novice and experienced ELF presentations. *Journal of English as a Lingua Franca*, 3(1), 49-79. <https://doi.org/10.1515/jelf-2014-0003>.
- Kashiha, H., & Heng, C. S. (2013). An exploration of lexical bundles in academic lectures: Examples from hard and soft sciences. *Journal of Asia TEFL*, 10(4), 133-161.
- Kaur, J. (2009). Pre-empting problems of understanding in English as a lingua franca. In A. Mauranen, & E. Ranta (Eds.), *English as a Lingua Franca: Studies and Findings* (pp. 107-124). Cambridge Scholars Press.
- Kaur, K., & Afida Mohamad Ali. (2017). Exploring the genre of academic oral presentations : A critical review. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics & English Literature*, 7(1), 152-162.
- Kawase, T. (2015). Metadiscourse in the introductions of PhD theses and research articles. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 20, 114-124.
- Kecskes, I. (2007). Formulaic language in English Lingua Franca. In I. Kecskes & L. Horn (Eds.), *Explorations in pragmatics: Linguistic, cognitive and intercultural aspects* (pp. 191-219). Mouton de Gruyter.
- Kimouche, A. (2022). Challenges in academic oral presentations: The case of EFL master students at Bejaia University. *Année*, 19, 375-389.
- Kjellmer, G. (1991). A mint of phrases. In K. Aijmer & B. Altenberg (Eds.), *English Corpus Linguistics: Studies in Honour of Jan Svartvik* (pp. 111-127). Longman.
- Kuswoyo, H., & Siregar, R. A. (2019). Interpersonal Metadiscourse Markers as Persuasive Strategies in Oral Business Presentation. *Lingua Cultura*, 13(4), 297. <https://doi.org/10.21512/lc.v13i4.5882>
- Kwan, B. S. C. (2006). The schematic structure of literature reviews in doctoral theses of applied linguistics. *English for Specific Purposes*, 25, 30-55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2005.06.001>
- Lau, K., Lin, C. Y., & Odle, E. (2020). "I am just saying maybe ...": Engagement in dissertation defenses. *Language and Education*, 35(1), 1-21. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500782.2020.1828450>
- Lee, J. J. & Subtirelu, N.C. (2015). Metadiscourse in the classroom: A comparative analysis of EAP lessons and university lectures. *English for Specific Purposes*, 37, 52-62.

- Lee, J. J. (2009). Size matters: an exploratory comparison of small- and large-class university lecture introductions. *English for Specific Purposes*, 28, 42-57. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2008.11.001>
- Lee, J. J. (2016). "There's intentionality behind it ...": A genre analysis of EAP classroom lessons. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 23, 99-112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2015.12.007>
- Lee, J. J., & Casal, J. E. (2014). Metadiscourse in results and discussion chapters: Cross-linguistic analysis of English and Spanish thesis writers in engineering. *System*, 46(1), 39-54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2014.07.009>
- Lee, J. J., & Deakin, L. (2016). Interactions in L1 and L2 undergraduate student writing: Interactional metadiscourse in successful and less-successful argumentative essays. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 33, 21-34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2016.06.004>
- Lee, J. J., & Subtirelu, N. C. (2015). Metadiscourse in the classroom: A comparative analysis of EAP lessons and university lectures. *English for Specific Purposes*, 37(1), 52-62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2014.06.005>
- Lei, L. (2012). Linking adverbials in academic writing on applied linguistics by Chinese doctoral students. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 11(3), 267-275. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2012.05.003>
- Lei, Z., & Lo, Y. Y. (2021). EMI teachers' use of interactive metadiscourse in lecture organisation and knowledge construction. In D. Lasagabaster & A. Doiz (Eds.), *Language use in English-medium instruction at university* (pp. 56-79). Routledge.
- Li, Y., Flowerdew, J & Cargill, M. (2018), Teaching English for research publication purposes to science students in China: A case study of an experienced teacher in the classroom. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 35, 116-129.
- Li, Y., Ma, X., Zhao, J., & Hu, J. (2020). Graduate-level research writing instruction: Two Chinese EAP teachers' localized ESP genre-based pedagogy. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 43, 1-15.
- Lim, J. M. H. (2006). Method sections of management research articles: A pedagogically motivated qualitative study. *English for Specific Purposes*, 25(3), 282-309.
- Lim, J. M. H. (2011). Delineating sampling procedures: Significance of analysing sampling descriptions and their justifications in TESL experimental research reports. *Ibérica: Revista de la Asociación Europea de Lenguas para Fines Específicos (AELFE)*, 21, 71-92.

- Lin, C. Y. (2017). "I see absolutely nothing wrong with that in fact I think ...": Functions of modifiers in shaping dynamic relationships in dissertation defenses. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 28, 14-24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2017.05.001>
- Lin, P. (2020). *The prosody of formulaic sequences: A corpus and discourse approach*. Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Linden, K., & Gonzalez, P. (2021). Zoom invigilated exams: A protocol for rapid adoption to remote examinations. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 52(4), 1323-1337. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13109>.
- Liu, C. Y., & Chen, H. J. H. (2020). Analyzing the functions of lexical bundles in undergraduate academic lectures for pedagogical use. *English for Specific Purposes*, 58, 122-137. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2019.12.003>
- Liu, C., & Pan, F. (2023). Connecting lexical bundles and moves in medical research articles' Methods section. *Southern African Linguistics and Applied Language Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.2989/16073614.2023.2226171>
- Liu, P., & Huang, X. (2017). A Study of Interactional Metadiscourse in English Abstracts of Chinese Economics Research Articles. *Higher Education Studies*, 7(3), 25. <https://doi.org/10.5539/hes.v7n3p25>
- Loghmani, Z., Ghonsooly, B., & Ghazanfari, M. (2020). Engagement in doctoral dissertation discussion sections written by English native speakers. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 45, 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2020.100851>
- Lovat, T., Dally, K., Holbrook, A., & Fairbairn, H. (2021). Oral defence as a feedback mechanism in doctoral development and examination. *Australian Educational Researcher*, 49, 645-860. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13384-021-00456-6>.
- Lu, X., Yoon, J., & Kisselev, O. (2018). A phrase-frame list for social science research article introductions. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 36(11), 76-85. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2018.09.004>.
- Lu, X., Yoon, J., & Kisselev, O. (2021). Matching phrase-frames to rhetorical moves in social science research article introductions. *English for Specific Purposes*, 61, 63-83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2020.10.001>
- Martin, D. (1989). *How to prepare the annual report*. Directors Books
- Martin, J. R., Christie, F., & Rothery, J. (1987). Social processes in education: A reply to Sawyer and Watson (and others). In I. Reid (Ed.), *The place of genre in learning: Current debates* (pp. 46-57). Deakin University Press.
- Martin, J., & Rose, D. (2007). *Working with discourse: Meaning beyond the clause* (Second Edition). Continuum.

- Martin, J.R. (1984). *Language, Register and Genre*. Geelong, Victoria, Deakin University.
- Martinez, R. (2013). A framework for the inclusion of multi-word expressions in ELT. *ELT journal*, 67(2), 184-198.
- Martinez, R. (2018). "Specially in the last years...": Evidence of ELF and non-native English forms in international journals. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 33, 40-52.
- Martinez, R., & Schmitt, N. (2012). A phrasal expressions list. *Applied linguistics*, 33(3), 299-320.
- Martin, P. (2003). The Pragmatic Rhetorical Strategy of Hedging in Academic Writing. *Vigo International Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 57-72. <https://doi.org/10.35869/vial.v0i0.3867>
- Martin, P. M. (2003). A genre analysis of English and Spanish research paper abstracts in experimental social sciences. *English for specific purposes*, 22(1), 25-43.
- Mauranen, A. (1993). *Cultural differences in academic rhetoric*. Peter Lang.
- Mauranen, A. (2002). 'A Good Question': Expressing evaluation in academic speech. In G. Cortese & P. Riley (Eds), *Domain-specific English: Textual practices across communities and classrooms* (pp. 115-140). Peter Lang.
- Mauranen, A. (2009). Chunking in ELF: Expressions for managing interaction. *Intercultural Pragmatics*, 6 (2), 217-233.
- Mauranen, A. (2012). *Exploring ELF: Academic English shaped by non-native speakers*. Cambridge University Press.
- Mauranen, A., Pérez-Llantada, C. & Swales J. M. (2010). Academic Englishes: A standardised knowledge? In A. Kirkpatrick (Ed.), *The world Englishes handbook* (pp. 634-652). Routledge.
- McEney, A. M., & Wilson, A. (2001). *Corpus linguistics: An introduction*. Edinburgh University Press.
- McEney, T., Xiao, R., & Tono, Y. (2006). *Corpus-based language studies: An advanced resource book*. Taylor & Francis.
- Merriam, S. B. (1998) *Qualitative research and case study applications in education* (3rd ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Mežek, Š., & Swales, J. M. (2016). PhD defences and vivas. In K. Hyland & P. Shaw (Eds.), *The routledge handbook of English for academic purposes* (pp. 361-375). Routledge.
- Mickan, P. (2007), Doing science and home economics: Curriculum socialisation of new arrivals to Australia. *Language and Education*, 21(1), 1-17.

- Milagros del Saz Rubio, M. (2011). A pragmatic approach to the macro-structure and metadiscoursal features of research article introductions in the field of Agricultural Sciences. *English for Specific Purposes*, 30(4), 258-271. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2011.03.002>
- Miller, C. R. (1984). Genre as social action. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 70, 151-167.
- Miller, C. R. (1994). Rhetorical community: The cultural basis of genre. In A. Freedman & P. Medway (Eds.), *Genre and the new rhetoric* (pp. 67-78). Taylor & Francis.
- Mina, K. G., & Biria, R. (2017). Exploring interactive and interactional metadiscourse markers in discussion sections of social and medical science articles. *International Journal of Research in English Education*, 2(4), 11-29. <https://doi.org/10.29252/ijree.2.4.11>.
- Ming, X. Z. (2005). Developing oral presentation skills in ELT classroom. *CELEA Journal*, 28(2), 118-120.
- Moore, T. (2002). Knowledge and agency: A study of “metaphenomenal discourse” in textbooks from three disciplines. *English for Specific Purposes*, 21(4), 347-366.
- Morell, T. & Susana, P. C. (2018). Multimodal communication in academic oral presentations by L2 Spanish students. *Journal of Spanish Language Teaching*, 5(2), 125-138.
- Moreno, A. I., & Swales, J. M. (2018). Strengthening move analysis methodology towards bridging the function-form gap. *English for Specific Purposes*, 50, 40-63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2017.11.006>.
- Morita, N. (2002). Discourse socialization through oral classroom activities in a TESL graduate program. *TESOL Quarterly*, 4, 279-311. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3587953>.
- Morita, N. (2004). Negotiating participation and identity in second language academic communities. *TESOL Quarterly*, 38(4), 573-603.
- Morton, J., & Rosse, M. (2011). Persuasive presentations in engineering spoken discourse. *Australasian Journal of Engineering Education*, 17(2), 55-64.
- Myles, F., Hooper, R., & Mitchell, R. (1998). Rote or rule? Exploring the rule of formulaic language in classroom foreign language learning. *Language Learning*, 48(3), 323-363.
- Namba, K. (2008). *Formulaic language in bilingual children's code-switching*. [Unpublished doctoral thesis]. Cardiff University.
- Nash, W. (1992). *An uncommon tongue*. Routledge.
- Nasrabad, P., Shirvan, M. E., & Golparvar, S. E. (2020). Exploring lexical bundles in recent published papers in the field of applied linguistics. *Journal of World Languages*, 6(3), 175-197. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21698252.2020.1797992>

- Nattinger, J. R. and J. S. DeCarrico. (1992). *Lexical phrases and language teaching*. Oxford University Press.
- Neely, E., & Cortes, V. (2009). A little bit about : Analyzing and teaching lexical bundles in academic lectures. *Language Value*, 1(1), 17-38.
- Nesi, H., & Basturkmen, H. (2006). Lexical bundles and discourse signalling in academic lectures. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, 3(11), 283-304. <https://doi.org/10.1075/IJCL.11.3.04NES>
- Neupane Bastola, M., & Ho, V. (2022). ‘Don’t become so much high sounding’: Power dynamics in master’s thesis viva. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 60(11), 101-180. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2022.101180>
- Bastola, M. N., & Ho, V. (2022). ‘Don’t become so much high sounding’: Power dynamics in master’s thesis viva. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 60(September), 101180. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2022.101180>
- Nguyen, T. T. L., & Pramoolsook, I. (2014). Rhetorical structure of introduction chapters written by novice Vietnamese TESOL postgraduates. *The Southeast Asian Journal of English Language Studies*, 20(1), 61-74.
- Nickerson, C. (2013). English for specific purposes and English as a lingua franca. In B. Paltridge & S. Starfield (Eds.), *The handbook of English for specific purposes* (pp. 446-460). Wiley-Blackwell.
- Omidian, T., Shahriari, H., & Siyanova-Chanturia, A. (2018). A cross-disciplinary investigation of multi-word expressions in the moves of research article abstracts. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 36, 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2018.08.002>
- Ozturk, I. (2007). The textual organisation of research article introductions in applied linguistics: Variability within a single discipline. *English for Specific Purposes*, 26(1), 25-38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2005.12.003>.
- Palinkas, L. A., Horwitz, S. M., Green, C. A., Wisdom, J. P., Duan, N., & Hoagwood, K. (2015). Purposeful sampling for qualitative data collection and analysis in mixed method implementation research. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*, 42(5), 533-544. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10488-013-0528-y>
- Paltridge, B. (1994). Genre analysis and the identification of textual boundaries. *Applied Linguistics*, 15(3), 288-299.
- Panthong, P., & Poonpon, K. (2020). Lexical bundles in Thai Medical research articles. *Journal of Studies in the English Language*, 15(1), 59–106. <https://so04.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/jsel/article/view/217358>

- Patton, M. Q. (2002). Two decades of developments in qualitative inquiry. *Qualitative Social Work: Research and Practice*, 1, 261-283.
- Pawley, A., & Syder, F. H. (1983). Two puzzles for linguistic theory: Nativelike selection and nativelike fluency. In J. C. Richards & R. W. Schmidt (Eds.), *Language and Communication* (pp. 191-225). Longman.
- Parkinson, J. (2017). The student laboratory report genre: A genre analysis. *English for Specific Purposes*, 45, 1-13.
- Pedaste, M., & Kasemets, M. (2021). Challenges in organizing online conferences: Lessons of the COVID 19 era. *Educational Technology & Society*, 24(1), 92-104. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/26977859>
- Pho, P. D. (2008). Research article abstracts in applied linguistics and educational technology: A study of linguistic realizations of rhetorical structure and authorial stance. *Discourse Studies*, 10(2), 231-250.
- Poos, D., & Simpson, R. (2002). Cross-disciplinary comparisons of hedging: Some findings from the Michigan corpus of academic spoken English. In R. Reppen, S. M. Fitzmaurice, & D. Biber (Eds.), *Using corpora to explore linguistic variation* (pp. 3-24). John Benjamins.
- Qi, H., & Pan, F. (2020). Lexical bundle variation across moves in abstracts of medical research articles. *Southern African Linguistics and Applied Language Studies*, 38(2), 109-128. <https://doi.org/10.2989/16073614.2020.1763814>
- Qin, W., & Uccelli, P. (2019). Metadiscourse: Variation across communicative contexts. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 139, 22-39. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2018.10.004>
- Qiu, X., & (Kevin) Jiang, F. (2021). Stance and engagement in 3 MT presentations: How students communicate disciplinary knowledge to a wide audience. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 51, 100976. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2021.100976>
- Read, J., & Nation, P. (2004). Measurement of formulaic sequences. In N. Schmitt (Ed.), *Formulaic Sequences: Acquisition, processing and use* (pp. 23-35). John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Recski, L. (2005). Interpersonal engagement in academic spoken discourse: A functional account of dissertation defenses. *English for Specific Purposes*, 24, 5-23.
- Roos, G., Oláh, J., Ingle, R., Kobayashi, R., & Feldt, M. (2020). Online conferences-Towards a new (virtual) reality. *Computational and Theoretical Chemistry*, 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comptc.2020.112975>.
- Rowley-Jolivet, E., & Carter-Thomas, S. (2005). The rhetoric of conference presentation introductions: Context, argument and interaction. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 15(1), 45-70. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1473-4192.2005.00080.x>

- Ruiying, Y., & Allison, D. (2003). Research articles in applied linguistics: Moving from results to conclusions. *English for Specific Purposes*, 22(4), 365-385. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0889-4906\(02\)00026-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0889-4906(02)00026-1)
- Samad, I. A., & Adnan, Z. (2017). Using a genre-based approach to prepare undergraduate students for an English thesis defence examination: An experimental study to address the 'pedagogical controversy'. *Linguistik Indonesia*, 35(1), 75-93. <https://doi.org/10.26499/li.v35i1.56>
- Schmitt, N., & Carter, N. (2004). Formulaic sequences in action: An introduction. In N. Schmitt (Ed.), *Formulaic sequences acquisition, processing and use* (pp. 1-22). John Benjamins.
- Schneider, N., Onuffer, S., Kazour, N., Danchik, E., Mordowanec, M. T., Conrad, H., & Smith, N. A. (2014). *Comprehensive annotation of multiword expressions in a social web corpus*. Proceedings of the 9th Linguistic Resources and Evaluation Conference, Reykjavik, Iceland.
- Schryer, C. F. (1993). Records as genre. *Written Communication*, 10, 200-234.
- Schryer, C. F. (1994). The lab vs. the clinic: Sites of competing genres. In A. Freedman & P. Medway (Eds.), *Genre and the new rhetoric* (pp. 105-124). Taylor & Francis.
- Scott, J. (2022). *Presenting, and persuading: International Health Sciences doctoral candidates' research proposal presentations* [Unpublished doctoral thesis]. The university of Adelaide.
- Seidlhofer, B. (2005). English as a lingua franca. *ELT Journal*, 59(4), 339-341.
- Seidlhofer, B. (2009). Accommodation and the idiom principle in English as a Lingua Franca. *Intercultural Pragmatics*, 6(2), 195-215.
- Seliman, S. & Noor Izzati, A.F. (2010 Unpublished). *The genre of oral presentations delivered by students enrolled in English for workplace communication*, pp. 1-9. Available online: <http://eprints.ut.my/10126>
- Seliman, S. (1996). *The genre and the genre expectations of engineering oral presentations related to academic and professional context* [Unpublished doctoral thesis]. University of Stirling.
- Shaikh-Lesko, R. (2014). Science speak. *Scientist*, 28(8), 62-64.
- Shi, H. (2014). *Moves and lexical bundles: A contrastive study of English agricultural science research articles between Chinese journals and internationally published journals* [Unpublished Doctoral thesis]. Suranaree University of Technology.
- Shi, H., & Wannaruk, A. (2014). Rhetorical structure of research articles in Agricultural Science. *English Language Teaching*, 7(8), 1-13.

- Shin, D., & Nation, P. (2008). Beyond single words: The most frequent collocations in spoken English. *ELT Journal*, 62(4), 339-348. <https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccm091>
- Shin, Y. K. (2020). Evaluative prosody and semantic preference: Extending the analysis of recurrent multiword sequences. *English for Specific Purposes*, 59, 45-58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2019.10.003>.
- Siahpoosh, H., & Varghaei, E. (2022). Bundle-Driven Stance and Engagement Metadiscourse Types in Spoken and Written Medical Discourse. *Discourse*, 10(12), 1228–1238.
- Simpson, R., & Mendis, D. (2003). A corpus-based study of idioms in academic speech. *TESOL Quarterly*, 37(3), 419-441.
- Simpson-Vlach, R. C., & Leicher, S. (2006). *The MICASE handbook: A resource for users of the Michigan corpus of academic spoken English*. University of Michigan Press.
- Simpson-Vlach, R., & Ellis, N. C. (2010). An academic formulas list: New methods in phraseology research. *Applied Linguistics*, 31(4), 487-512. <https://doi.org/10.1093/APPLIN/AMP058>
- Sinclair, J. (1991). *Corpus, concordance, collocation*. Oxford University Press.
- Sinclair, J. M. (2004). The search for units of meaning. In R. Carter & J.M. Sinclair (Eds.), *Trust the text, language, corpus and discourse* (pp. 24-48). Routledge.
- Singh, K. K. M., Ali, A. M., Yuit, C. M., & Tan, H. (2019). A genre-based investigation of the Introduction sections of academic oral presentations academy of language studies. *Asian Journal of University Education (AJUE)*, 15(2), 1-31.
- Smart, G. (1992). Exploring the social dimension of a workplace genre, and the implications for teaching. *Carleton Papers in Applied Language Studies*, 9, 33-40.
- Smart, G. (1993). Genre as community invention: A central bank's response to its executive's expectations as readers. In R. Spilka (Ed.), *Writing in the workplace: New research perspectives* (pp. 124-140). Southern Illinois University Press.
- Smit, Ute (2009). Emic evaluations and interactive processes in a classroom community. In A. Mauranen & E. Ranta (Eds.), *English as a lingua franca: Studies and findings* (pp. 200-225). Cambridge Scholars Press.
- Spradley, J. P. (1979). *The ethnographic interview*. Holt, Rinehart & Winston.
- Stapa, M., Asniza Murad, N., & Ahmad, N. (2014). Engineering technical oral presentation: Voices of the stakeholder. *Procedia: Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 118, 463-467.

- Staples, S., Egbert, J., Biber, D., & McClair, A. (2013). Formulaic sequences and EAP writing development: Lexical bundles in the TOEFL iBT writing section. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 12(3), 214-225. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2013.05.002>
- Steyn, S., & Jaroongkhongdach, W. (2016). Formulaic sequences used by native English speaking teachers in a Thai primary school. *PASAA: Journal of Language Teaching and Learning in Thailand*, 52(December), 105-132. <http://draweb.njcu.edu:2048/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eric&AN=EJ1134682&site=ehost-live>
- Swales, J. M. (1981). Aspects of article introductions. University of Aston.
- Swales, J. M. (1990). *Genre analysis: English in academic and research settings*. Cambridge university press.
- Swales, J. M. (2004). *Research genres: Explorations and applications*. Cambridge University Press.
- Swales, J. M., & Feak, C. B. (2004). *Academic writing for graduate students: Essential tasks and skills*. University of Michigan Press.
- Takimoto, M. (2015). A CORPUS-BASED ANALYSIS OF HEDGES AND BOOSTERS IN ENGLISH ACADEMIC ARTICLES. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 5, 95-105. <https://doi.org/10.17509/IJAL.V5i1.836>.
- Tang, R., & John, S. (1999). The “I” in identity: Exploring writer identity in student academic writing through the first person pronoun. *English for Specific Purposes*, 18(SUPPL. 1), S23-S39. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0889-4906\(99\)00009-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0889-4906(99)00009-5)
- Thomas, S., & Hawes, T. (1994). Reporting verbs in medical journal articles. *English for Specific Purposes*, 13, 129-148. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0889-4906\(94\)90012-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0889-4906(94)90012-4).
- Thompson, G. (2001). Interaction in academic writing: Learning to argue with the reader. *Applied Linguistics*, 22(1), 58-78. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/22.1.58>
- Thompson, S. (1994). Frameworks and contexts: A genre-based approach to analysing lecture introductions. *English for Specific Purposes*, 13(2), 171-186.
- Tian, S., & Mahmud, M. (2018). A study of academic oral presentation anxiety and strategy employment of EFL graduate students. *Indonesian Journal of EFL and Linguistics*, 3(2), 149-170. <https://doi.org/10.21462/ijefl.v3i2.78>.
- Vande Kopple, W. J. (1985). Some exploratory discourse on metadiscourse. *College Composition & Communication*, 26, 82-93.
- Viera, C. (2020). Corpus analysis of engagement discourse strategies in academic presentations. *Research in Corpus Linguistics*, 8 (1), 105-30. <https://doi.org/10.32714/ricl.08.01.07>.

- Wang J., Jiang F. K. (2018). Epistemic stance and authorial presence in scientific research writing: Hedges, boosters and self-mentions across disciplines and writer groups. In Mur-Dueñas P., Šinkūienė J. (Eds.), *Intercultural perspectives on research writing* (pp. 95–216). John Benjamins Publishing Company. <https://doi.org/10.1075/aals.18.09wan>
- Wang, J., & Zeng, L. (2021). Disciplinary Recognized Self-Presence: Self-Mention Used With Hedges and Boosters in PhD Students' Research Writing. *SAGE Open*, 11(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211005454>
- Wang, M., & Zhang, Y. (2021). “According to...”: The impact of language background and writing expertise on textual priming patterns of multi-word sequences in academic writing. *English for Specific Purposes*, 61, 47-59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2020.08.005>.
- Wang, Y. (2017). Lexical bundles in spoken academic ELF: Genre and disciplinary variation. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, 22(2), 187-211. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ijcl.22.2.02wan>
- Wang, Y. (2018a). As Hill seems to suggest: Variability in formulaic sequences with interpersonal functions in L1 novice and expert academic writing. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 33, 12-23.
- Wang, Y. (2018b). Formulaic sequences signaling discourse organization in ELF university lectures: A disciplinary perspective. *Journal of English as a Lingua Franca*, 7(2), 355-376. <https://doi.org/10.1515/jelf-2018-0017>
- Wang, Y. (2019). A functional analysis of text-oriented formulaic expressions in written academic discourse: Multiword sequences vs. single words. *English for Specific Purposes*, 54, 50-61.
- Wang, Y., & Kaatari, H. (2021). Let's say: Phraseological patterns of SAY in academic ELF communication. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 54(8), 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2021.101046>
- Webber, B., Stone, M., Joshi, A., & Knott, A. (2001). Anaphora and Discourse Structure. *Computational Linguistics*, 29, 545-587. <https://doi.org/10.1162/089120103322753347>.
- Webber, P. (2005). Interactive features in medical conference monologue. *English for Specific Purposes*, 24(2), 157-181. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2004.02.003>
- Weissberg, B. (1993). The graduate seminar: Another research-process genre. *English for Specific Purposes*, 12(1), 23-35. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0889-4906\(93\)90025-J](https://doi.org/10.1016/0889-4906(93)90025-J)
- Weissberg, R., & Buker, S. (1990). *Writing up research: Experimental report writing for students of English*. Prentice-Hall Regents.

- Wierzbicka, A. (2007). Reasonably well: Natural semantic metalanguage as a tool for the study of phraseology and its cultural underpinnings. In P. Skandera (Ed.), *Topics in English linguistics: Phraseology and culture in English* (pp. 49-78). Mouton de Gruyter.
- Williams, J. M. (1997). *Style: Ten lessons in clarity and grace* (5th ed.). Addison-Wesley.
- Wisker, G. (2012). *The good supervisor: Supervising postgraduate and undergraduate research for doctoral theses and dissertations*. Macmillan International Higher Education.
- Woodrow, L. (2006). Anxiety and speaking English as a second language. *RELC Journal*, 37(3), 308-328. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0033688206071315>.
- Wray, A. (2002). *Formulaic language and the lexicon*. Cambridge University Press.
- Wray, A. (2008). *Formulaic language: Pushing the boundaries*. Oxford University Press.
- Wray, A., & Namba, K. (2003). Formulaic language in a Japanese-English bilingual child: A practical approach to data analysis. *Japan Journal for Multilingualism and Multiculturalism*, 9(1), 24-51.
- Wright, H. R. (2019). Lexical bundles in stand-alone literature reviews: Sections, frequencies, and functions. *English for Specific Purposes*, 54, 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2018.09.001>
- Wu, R., & Yu, Z., (2022). The influence of social isolation, technostress, and personality on the acceptance of online Meeting platforms during the COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2022.2097779>.
- Wu, X., & Yang, H. (2022). A comparative analysis of English for academic purposes teachers' interactive metadiscourse across the British and Chinese contexts. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13(August), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.879713>
- Xianjian, Y. (2014). *Move-step structure of bachelor's theses by Chinese students majoring in English* [Unpublished doctoral thesis]. Suranaree University of Technology.
- Yang, R., & Allison, D. (2003). Research articles in applied linguistics: Moving from results to conclusions. *English for Specific Purposes*, 22(4), 365-385. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0889-4906\(02\)00026-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0889-4906(02)00026-1).
- Yang, W. (2014). Stance and engagement: A corpus-based analysis of academic spoken discourse across science domains. *Language for Specific Purposes*, 5(1), 62-78.
- Yang, X. (2014). *Move-Step Structure of Bachelor's Theses by Chinese Students Majoring in English* (Master thesis). Suranaree university of Technology.

- Yates, J., & Orlikowski, W. J. (1992). Genres of organizational communication: A structurational approach to studying communication and media. *Academy of Management Review*, 17, 299-326.
- Ye, Y. (2019). Macrostructures and rhetorical moves in energy engineering research articles written by Chinese expert writers. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 38, 48–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2019.01.007>
- You, Y. ling, & Li, M. C. (2021). Move Analysis of the Literature Review Chapters in Taiwanese Graduate Students' TESOL Theses and Dissertations. *English Teaching and Learning*, 45(2), 119–143. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42321-020-00069-9>
- Zali, M. M., Mohamad, R., Setia, R., Baniamin, R. M. R., & Razlan, R. M. (2020). Comparisons of Interactive and Interactional Metadiscourse among Undergraduates. *Asian Journal of University Education*, 16(4), 21–30. <https://doi.org/10.24191/ajue.v16i4.11946>
- Zappa-Hollman, S. (2007) Becoming socialized into diverse academic communities through oral presentations. *Canadian Modern Language Review*, 63: 455–85.
- Zare, J., & Tavakoli, M. (2016). The use of personal metadiscourse over monologic and dialogic modes of academic speech. *Discourse Processes*, 54(2), 163-175. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0163853X.2015.1116342>
- Zareva, A. (2009a). Informational packaging, level of formality, and the use of circumstance adverbials in L1 and L2 student academic presentations. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 8 (1), 55-68.
- Zareva, A. (2009b). Student academic presentations: the processing side of interactiveness. *English Text Construction*, 2(2), 265-88.
- Zareva, A. (2013). Self-mention and the projection of multiple identity roles in TESOL graduate student presentations: The influence of the written academic genres. *English for Specific Purposes*, 32(2), 72–83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2012.11.001>
- Zareva, A. (2019). Lexical complexity of academic presentations: similarities despite situational differences. *Journal of Second Language Studies*, 2(1), 72-93.
- Zareva, A. (2020). *Speech accommodation in student presentations*. Springer International Publishing.
- Zhang, B. (2015). *Move and inter-move linguistic variation in education research articles* [Unpublished Doctoral thesis]. Suranaree University of Technology.
- Zhang, B., & Wannaruk, A. (2016). Rhetorical structure of education research article methods sections. *PASAA: Journal of Language Teaching and Learning in Thailand*, 51, 155-184.

- Zhang, B., Thuc, Q. B. T., & Pramoolsook, I. (2012). Moves and linguistic realizations: English research article abstracts by Vietnamese agricultural researchers. *The Asian ESP Journal*, 8(3), 126-149.
- Zhang, L., & Lo, Y. Y. (2021). EMI teachers' use of interactive metadiscourse in lecture organisation and knowledge construction. In D. Lasagabaster & A. Doiz (Eds.), *Language use in English-medium instruction at university* (pp. 56-79). Routledge.
- Zhang, Y. (2010). An analysis of spoken discourse between two native speakers: Review of European studies. *Qingdao University of Science and Technology*. 6(2), 144.
- Zipagan, M. N., & Lee, K. R. (2018). Korean english learners' use of lexical bundles in speaking. *Journal of Asia TEFL*, 15(2), 276-291. <https://doi.org/10.18823/asiatefl.2018.15.2.1.276>
- Zou, H. (Joanna), & Hyland, K. (2020). "Think about how fascinating this is": Engagement in academic blogs across disciplines. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 43, 100809. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2019.100809>