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วิทยานิพนธ์ที่มุ่งเน้นการกำจัดไอออนแมงกานีส เหล็ก และแคดเนียมในน้ำบาดาลสังเคราะห์โดยปฏิกรณ์ของขั้นตอนที่เสนอใช้เป็นได้มาผ่านการรับรู้จากจดหมาย (MCL) และกระบวนการประกอบด้วยการเดินอากาศระดับต้นและเกิดโพแทสซิมเปอร์มังแผนดในระบบ Jar test ความเข้มข้นของแมงกานีส เหล็ก และแคดเนียม คือ 0.50 0.50 และ 0.01 มิลลิกรัมต่อลิตร ซึ่งถูกแบ่งออกเป็นเข้มข้นในน้ำบาดาลสังเคราะห์ การกำจัดไอออนสีน้ำในระบบที่มีอัลตราโซนประกอบได้เป็นเวลา 3 วัน การแยกแมงกานีสัสตอแมงกานีสและเหล็กซิงๆ และแมงกานีส เหล็ก และแคดเนียม

ในระบบการกำจัดไอออนแมงกานีสที่เน้น ทราบผลที่ที่เกิดได้แก่ การเดินอากาศ ที่มีปริมาณดั่งสร้างของแมงกานีส และความเร็วอาจทำให้เกิดการเดินอากาศไม่เพียงพอสำหรับการกำจัดไอออนแมงกานีสดั่งที่ขึ้นถึงของสารละลาย เมื่อใช้โพแทสซิมเปอร์มังแผนดดับปริมาณสัมพันธ์ (0.96 มิลลิกรัมต่อลิตร) ไอออนแมงกานีสถูกกำจัดอย่างมีประสิทธิภาพในเวลา 15 นาที ที่อุณหภูมิ 8.0 องศาเซลเซียส ปริมาณโพแทสซิมเปอร์มังแผนดในระดับที่ดี ประสิทธิภาพการกำจัดลดลง นอกจากนี้การกำจัดไอออนแมงกานีสซีน้อยกว่ามุ่งมั่นที่ที่ซีนิคิคใช้ได้ออกตัวซึ่งสูบเทียนดูแล้วตัวอย่างอันดับต้นแบบสู่ทะเลการกระจายลักษณะของสารสนับ (SEM-EDX)

ในระบบการกำจัดไอออนแมงกานีสและเหล็กซิงๆ ทราบผลที่เกิดได้แก่ ปริมาณดั่งสร้างของแมงกานีส การมีไอออนแคดเนียมและแมงกานีสรวมอยู่ และการเดินอากาศส่งต่อจากการเดินอากาศ การศึกษาพบว่าไอออนแมงกานีสต่อกำจัดด้วยการเดินอากาศส่วนใหญ่การกำจัดเหล็กซิงๆด้วยการเปรียบเทียบสุทธิสูงสุดของ 30.6 และ 37.2 ตามลำดับ ในอุณหภูมิต่ำที่เกิดการกำจัดไอออนแมงกานีสด้วยการเกิดจำนวนอนุภาคซึ่งเกิดจากอัลตราโซนประกอบด้วยอัลตราโซนบั๊ดฟิลท์ และการกระจายผลดังกล่าว อุณหภูมิอุ่นพบว่า ปริมาณดั่งสร้างของแมงกานีส 0.603 มิลลิกรัมต่อลิตร เป็นปริมาณต่ำที่สุดที่ต้องการเข้มข้นของไอออนแมงกานีสขึ้นสูงสุดที่ดีกว่า MCL การมีไอออนแคดเนียมและแมงกานีสจะจบการกำจัดไอออนแมงกานีสที่ดีกว่าระดับที่ยอมรับได้ การเดินอากาศส่งต่อปริมาณดั่งสร้างของแมงกานีสและเหล็กซิงๆที่เป็นไปได้ดังนี้สารที่มีไม่มีไอออนจากสูบไว้ซึ่งศึกษาโดยการวัดการเปลี่ยนแปลงเพื่อใช้ประกอบด้วยการดูซับไอออนโลหะที่ละลายบนอิกซ์
ในการกิจจัดใจlla obl erles พานิยณมัจจะที่ศึกษาได้แก่ พิธี 8.0 ปริมาณด้านออกซิไดส์ ความเข้มข้นเริ่มต้นของผลิตภัณฑ์ และการมีไล่ยอดเชื้อมาและเบรกเกอร์เชื้อม่วง รอง ศึกษาพบว่าการเติมที่เกิดสูส่าพบการกิจจัดใจlla obl erles พานิยณมัจจะได้ร้อยละ 14.2 88.4 และ 10.0  cadena ไปเลาะเชื้อแบ่งแยกผลิตภัณฑ์ 0.824 มิลลิกรัมต่อลิตร เพื่อปริมาณที่ได้สูส่าเพราะการกิจจัดใจlla obl erles พานิยณมัจจะ ได้รับการลดลงที่ดีกว่าระดับ MCL การมีไล่ยอดเชื้อมาและเบรกเกอร์เชื้อม่วง ป้องกันการอักเสบ ผลิตภัณฑ์และผลิตภัณฑ์ มานะนิวเคลียร์การกิจจัดใจlla obl erles พานิยณมัจจะที่เสนอประกอบด้วยการดูดขับแบบผลิตภัณฑ์ เหล็กออกซิไดส์ที่มีหนังเป็นองค์ประกอบ ความเข้มข้นเริ่มต้นของผลิตภัณฑ์ 0.025 มิลลิกรัมต่อลิตร เป็นความจุสุดสุดของการดูดขับแบบออกซิไดส์

สะดวกที่เกิดขึ้นของผลิตภัณฑ์-เหล็กออกซิไดส์การกิจจัดใจlla obl erles พานิยณมัจจะมีอุณหภูมิ ได้รับใช้ในโทรศัพท์ผู้ใช้ อุณหภูมิและผลิตภัณฑ์ ผลิตภัณฑ์ (PVDF) ที่มีความรุนแรง 0.30 มิลลิกรัม การศึกษาพบว่าเทคนิคของการดูดขับแบบมีอุณหภูมิที่เข้มข้น ที่มีอุณหภูมิการดูดขับแบบเหล็กออกซิไดส์ที่มีความรุนแรงอุณหภูมิของผลิตภัณฑ์ที่ต่ำกว่า ได้แก่ การดูดขับแบบอุณหภูมิ การดูดขับแบบยืดหยุ่น การดูดขับแบบยืดหยุ่น ได้เกิดการสูญเสียของผลิตภัณฑ์ การศึกษาพบว่า การดูดขับแบบยืดหยุ่นยืดหยุ่น 1 นาที ให้ประสิทธิภาพที่สูงโดยใช้การยืดหยุ่นเพื่อตัวเครื่องสำหรับประสิทธิภาพจะต้อง 92 แต่ประสิทธิภาพการดูดขับสูญเสียที่ต่ำกว่า 0.30 การยืดหยุ่นตามความรุนแรงการดูดขับแบบพลาสติก การยืดหยุ่นการดูดขับของผลิตภัณฑ์
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This thesis focuses on removal of Mn\(^{2+}\), Fe\(^{2+}\) and Cd\(^{2+}\) from synthetic groundwater by oxidation using combined aeration and KMnO\(_4\) to make their concentrations below the maximum contaminant level (MCL). The process included aeration and addition of KMnO\(_4\) in a Jar test system. The concentration of Mn\(^{2+}\), Fe\(^{2+}\) and Cd\(^{2+}\) was 0.50, 0.50 and 0.01 mg/L, similar to that of natural groundwater. The removal was performed in three systems including single Mn\(^{2+}\); dual Mn\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\); and triple Mn\(^{2+}\), Fe\(^{2+}\) and Cd\(^{2+}\).

For the single removal of Mn\(^{2+}\) ions, parameters such as aeration, pH, oxidant and stirring speed were studied. Aeration alone was not sufficient to remove Mn\(^{2+}\) ions completely although the pH was increased to 9.0. When a stoichiometric amount of KMnO\(_4\) (0.96 mg/L) was used, a complete removal was achieved within 15 min at an optimum pH of 8.0. When the amount of KMnO\(_4\) was doubled, the removal efficiency was lower. Besides, the removal of Mn\(^{2+}\) ions was complete at pH 9.0 using an oxidant dose of 0.48 mg/L. The MnO\(_2\) particles were characterized by SEM-EDX.

For the dual removal of Mn\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\) ions, various parameters including oxidant, coexisting Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) ions and alum addition after the oxidation were investigated. Mn\(^{2+}\) was partially removed by aeration in both single and dual oxidation with the maximum removal of 30.6 and 37.2\%, respectively. The presence of Fe\(^{2+}\) improved the removal of
Mn^{2+} ion forming hydrous manganese-iron oxide which was confirmed by digital microscopy and EDX. The oxidant dose of 0.603 mg/L KMnO₄ was a minimum amount to reduce the Mn^{2+} concentration to the level below the MCL. The presence of Ca^{2+} or Mg^{2+} slightly disturbed the elimination of Mn^{2+}, but the concentration was still lower than the permitted level. Alum addition after the oxidation had a negative effect on the Mn^{2+} removal. Possible mechanisms of the removal of Mn^{2+} and Fe^{2+} ions with and without the coexisting ions proposed by monitoring the pH variations involved sorption of the dissolved metal ions on the hydrous oxide.

For the triple removal of Mn^{2+}, Fe^{2+} and Cd^{2+} ions, the studied conditions included pH of 8.0 and various oxidant doses, initial Cd^{2+} concentrations, and coexisting Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} ions. The percent removal of Mn^{2+}, Fe^{2+} and Cd^{2+} ions by aeration were 14.2, 88.4 and 10.0%, respectively. The KMnO₄ dose of 0.824 mg/L was optimum to eliminate those metal ions to the concentration level below the MCL. The coexisting Ca^{2+} and/ or Mg^{2+} did not disturb the elimination of Mn^{2+} and Cd^{2+}. Furthermore, the proposed removal mechanism of Cd^{2+} involved sorption on the hydrous Mn-Fe oxide with the initial Cd^{2+} concentration of 0.025 mg/L as a maximum sorption capacity.

The resulting Mn-Fe precipitates from the triple system were separated using microfiltration (MF) by polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane with a nominal pore size of 0.30 µm. The type of membrane fouling could be a mixed pore-blocking mechanism with the predominance of cake filtration. The Mn-Fe oxide particles accumulated on the membrane were cleaned by several methods including backwashing, ultrasound and their combined methods. Ultrasonic cleaning for 1 min was the most effective giving a maximum flux recovery of about 92% but its efficiency decreased with ultrasonic cleaning cycle. The combined methods did not improve the flux recovery.
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CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Significance of the study

Groundwater is an important source of drinking water in developing countries. There has been a growing concern about the presence of heavy metals in groundwater including manganese (Mn), iron (Fe), arsenic (As), zinc (Zn), copper (Cu) and cadmium (Cd) (Bhattacharjee, Chakravarty, Maity, Dureja, and Gupta, 2005; Rajmohan and Elango, 2005; Mondal, Majumder, and Mohanty, 2008; Akoteyon, Mbata, and Olalude, 2011). Among those, Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ are mainly found in natural groundwater as a result of dissolution from clay minerals under anaerobic conditions. Besides, they can be released from agricultural and industrial activities. The distribution of Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and other metal ions in groundwater is documented in many countries.

Based on an annual report on quality of groundwater in Taichung, Taiwan (2008), concentrations of Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ are 0.50, 0.50 and 0.01 mg/L, respectively. These values are higher than the maximum contaminant level (MCL) allowed in drinking water which are 0.05, 0.30 and 0.005 mg/L, respectively (Lingireddy, 2002). Moreover, Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ are found in wide concentration ranges, namely, 12-200 and 3-40 mg/L, respectively. The groundwater with excessive concentration of Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ ions is not suitable to use or consume and can impact on environment and health.

The impact of excessive amount of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ ions in groundwater may become serious when they expose to air or oxygenic substances because they can form MnO(OH)$_2$ and Fe(OH)$_3$ that can stain household utensils, clothes and cause undesirable taste to the
water. Cd$^{2+}$ and its compounds are extremely toxic even in low concentrations causing immediate poisoning and damage of liver and kidney. Therefore, suitable treatment methods of groundwater are essential for the purpose of producing drinking water with safe quality.

This thesis was conducted mainly in Taiwan as part of a collaboration with Department of Environmental Engineering and Science, Chia Nan University of Pharmacy and Science (CNU) with a project incorporated with Taiwan Water Cooperation. The incorporation emphasizes on determination of proper conditions of water pollutant ions including Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ by oxidation using combined air and potassium permanganate (KMnO$_4$). The resulting precipitates were separated by microfiltration (MF) instead of using sand filtration which is currently predominantly used in water treatment plant in Taichung, Taiwan. The entire lab-scale results were expected to be applicable in the plant.

1.2 Outline of the thesis

Overall procedures are summarized in Figure 1.1. There are mainly two sections including removal of Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ ions from synthetic groundwater and separation of resulting precipitates using MF.

In the first section, three compositions of synthetic groundwater including single Mn$^{2+}$; dual Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$; and Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ ions were investigated. Single removal of Mn$^{2+}$ ions is discussed in Chapter III. Various parameters including pH, oxidant dose and stirring speed were studied to obtain the optimum conditions which could reduce the concentrations of Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ ions to the level below the MCL. The MnO$_2$ particles were characterized by scanning electron microscopy coupled with energy dispersive X-ray analysis (SEM-EDX). The optimum condition obtained from Chapter III was employed further in dual removal of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ ions as presented in Chapter IV. Various parameters including oxidant dose, coexisting Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ ions and alum addition after the oxidation were investigated. The surface charge of the hydrous manganese-iron oxide
was analyzed by zeta potentiometry. The formation of the oxide was confirmed by digital microscopy and EDX. The removal mechanism of Mn\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\) was also discussed. The joint removal of Mn\(^{2+}\), Fe\(^{2+}\), and Cd\(^{2+}\) ions was addressed in Chapter V. The studied conditions included pH of 8.0 and various oxidant doses, initial Cd\(^{2+}\) concentrations, and coexisting Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) ions. The removal mechanism of Cd\(^{2+}\) was also discussed.

In the second section, the separation of the resulting Mn-Fe precipitates using MF is of concern in Chapter VI. A dead-end PVDF microfiltration with pressures of 20, 35 and 50 kPa was employed. Theoretical models were used to fit the flux obtained to propose the possible membrane fouling mechanisms. The Mn-Fe oxide particles accumulated on the membrane were removed by several cleaning methods including backwashing (BW), ultrasound (US) and their combined methods with different sequences, namely, BW-US and US-BW. Final conclusions and recommendation for future work are presented in Chapter VII.
Figure 1.1 The schematic of overall experimental procedures.
1.3 Research objectives

1.3.1 To determine the conditions for an effective removal of Mn\(^{2+}\), Fe\(^{2+}\) and Cd\(^{2+}\) from synthetic groundwater by oxidation using aeration and KMnO\(_4\).

1.3.2 To propose possible mechanisms of the removal of Mn\(^{2+}\), Fe\(^{2+}\) and Cd\(^{2+}\) ions from solutions with and without Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) ions.

1.3.3 To study the properties of the precipitated manganese-iron oxide using SEM-EDX, digital microscope and zeta potential meter.

1.3.4 To investigate membrane fouling mechanisms comparing to several pore block models.

1.3.5 To study membrane cleaning efficiency of different methods including BW, US and their combined techniques.

1.3.6 To study the morphology of fouled and cleaned membranes obtained from different cleaning methods by a digital microscope.

1.4 Scope and limitations of the study

The concentrations of Mn\(^{2+}\), Fe\(^{2+}\) and Cd\(^{2+}\) ions in the synthetic groundwater were 0.5, 0.5 and 0.01 mg/L, respectively, similar to those in the natural groundwater in Changhua water treatment plant, Taichung, Taiwan. The synthetic groundwater was employed to avoid any interference in the natural groundwater.

Oxidants used were air and KMnO\(_4\). Aeration was used because it was expected to partially oxidize Mn\(^{2+}\) ions and decrease a consumption of KMnO\(_4\) needed for the oxidation. KMnO\(_4\) is an attractive oxidant because it is very effective in treating the wastewater contaminated by both volatile and non-volatile compounds, not toxic and easy to handle.

Polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) with a nominal pore size of 0.30 \(\mu\)m was used as a membrane filter in MF system because of its interesting properties such as moderate hydrophobicity, excellent durability, chemical and biological resistance (Li, Fane, Winston...
Ho, and Matuura, 2008). Membrane filtration was conducted in unstirred dead-end filtration which is generally used for slightly turbid water. A membrane permeate flux was monitored using an electronic balance connected with a personal computer.
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CHAPTER II

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Removal of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ ions in groundwater

Several methods have been used to treat manganese-iron contaminated groundwater including biological (Lingireddy, 2002; Stembal, Markic, Ribicic, Briski, and Sipos, 2005), physical (Okoniewska, Lach, Kacorzak, and Neczaj, 2008) and chemical processes (El Araby, Hawash, and El Diwani, 2009).

Biological treatment includes activated sludge, anaerobic digestion, aerated surface impoundments and tricking filters. Among those, the tricking filters are widely employed that take advantages in their oxidation abilities of certain bacteria to remove Mn$^{2+}$. The process involved oxidation phenomena in which the oxidized manganese is deposited as MnO$_2$ layer and further acts as a catalyst for oxidation of the residual Mn$^{2+}$ ions by dissolved oxygen (Stembal Markic, Ribicic, Briski, and Sipos, 2004; Pacini, Ingallinella, and Sanguinetti, 2005; Tekerlekopoulou and Vayenas, 2007; Burger, Mercer, Shupe, and Gagnon, 2008; Tekerlekopoulou and Vayenas, 2008). Katsoyiannis and Zouboilis (2004) studied removal of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ using biological oxidation in upflow filtration. The process was mediated by specific bacteria, namely the *Leptothix ochracea* and *Gallionella ferruginea*. *L. ochracea* produced a mixed amorphous oxide of Mn$^{3+}$/Mn$^{4+}$ which were concentrated on the bacteria surface. Subsequently, Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ were removed to the level below the MCL with the
presence of manganese oxidizing bacteria. However, the slow formation of MnO₂ and biofilm is considered as a major disadvantage (Stembal et al., 2005).

Physical treatment includes density separation, filtration, reverse osmosis, air and steam stripping, incineration and adsorption. Among those, adsorption of Mn²⁺ and Fe²⁺ in groundwater on absorbent materials such as clay, zeolite, sand and granular activated carbon (GAC) has been commonly studied (Jusoh, Cheng, Low, Nora, and Megat, 2005; Okoniewska et al., 2008; Mondal, Majumder, and Mohanty, 2008) because this method is low operation cost and easy handling. The adsorption phenomenon involves the separation of a substance from one phase accompanied by its concentration on surface of another. Okoniewska et al. (2008) reported that adsorption of Mn²⁺ and Fe²⁺ is a complex process which depends on initial concentration of the ions, pH and filtration speed. The simultaneous removal was difficult because of a competition of the ions onto the adsorbent. Moreover, this method usually needs a frequent regeneration of the adsorbents to maintain high efficiency (Senior, 1995).

Chemical treatment includes precipitation, neutralization, ion exchange and oxidation/reduction. Among those, chemical oxidation is a common process which is very effective in treating wastewater contaminated by both volatile and non-volatile compounds. For the purpose of producing safe drinking water quality, it is important to select appropriate chemical oxidants in the treatment process. Indeed, environmental consideration was addressed when chlorine dioxide (ClO₂) was employed as an oxidant because it could react with some organic compounds to generate toxic trihalomethanes (THMs). El Araby et al. (2009) used ozone (O₃) for
removal of Mn\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\) in synthetic water and reported that at pH 9.0-10.0, the oxidant (3.0 mg/L) was effective due to decomposition of O\(_3\) into hydroxyl radicals (OH\(^-\)). The increase of O\(_3\) dose led to an increase of the removal efficiency of Mn\(^{2+}\) while an overdose (3.5 mg/L) decreased the removal efficiency. However, the oxidant could not reduce the concentration of Mn\(^{2+}\) to the level below the MCL. KMnO\(_4\) as an oxidant was selected for manganese removal in some studies. The major consideration is due to its non-toxicity. This oxidant has no effect on organic compounds and does not produce any THMs (Crittenden, Trussell, Hand, Howe, and Tchobanoglous, 2005). Additionally, KMnO\(_4\) removes undesirable tastes and odors from water and generates nontoxic by-products including CO\(_2\), H\(_2\)O and MnO\(_2\) (Wang, Hung, and Shammas, 2006). Thus, chemical oxidation using KMnO\(_4\) was chosen in this study to remove mainly Mn\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\) ions contaminating groundwater. The oxidation of Mn\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\) by KMnO\(_4\) is displayed in Eq. 2.1 and Eq. 2.2, respectively (Morgan and Stumm, 1964).

\[
3\text{Mn}^{2+}_{(aq)} + 2 \text{KMnO}_4_{(aq)} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}_{(l)} \rightarrow 5\text{MnO}_2_{(s)} + 2\text{K}^+_{(aq)} + 4\text{H}^+_{(aq)} \quad (2.1)
\]

\[
3\text{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)} + \text{KMnO}_4_{(aq)} + 7\text{H}_2\text{O}_{(l)} \rightarrow 3\text{Fe(OH)}_3_{(s)} + \text{K}^+_{(aq)} + \text{MnO}_2_{(s)} + 5\text{H}^+_{(aq)} \quad (2.2)
\]

The produced precipitates, MnO\(_2\) and Fe(OH)\(_3\) obtained after the oxidation can be separated by membrane filtration in order to produce drinking water with safe quality.
2.2 Membrane filtration

Because filtration by sand is not effective to separate particles smaller than 0.45 µm, such particles still remain in the water and can cause clogging in the filtration and decrease filtration rate. Therefore, membrane filtration is an alternative method for use in a water treatment plant which can be employed for component separation based primarily on size differences and used further for the separation of dissolved solute in liquid streams.

Pressure-driven membranes are normally classified into four classes according to the pore size including microfiltration (MF), ultrafiltration (UF), nano-filtration (NF) and reverse osmosis (RO). High-pressure processes, NF and RO, principally eliminate constituents through chemical diffusion. In contrast, low-pressure processes, MF and UF, mainly remove constituents through physical sieving. In this study, MF was chosen to remove precipitates of manganese-iron oxides after the chemical oxidation.

2.2.1 Types of microfiltration process

Membrane separation process includes dead-end and cross-flow filtrations. Their schematic representations are shown in Figure 2.1.

In dead-end filtration, all of the feed solution is forced through the membrane by an applied pressure. Retained particles are collected on or in the membrane. The feed flow direction is perpendicular to surface of the filtration membrane. The permeate direction through the membrane is identical with the feed flow. The retained particles in the feed solution will adhere to the surface of membrane and limit the filter lifetime. Most type of filters can not be cleaned for reuse. In cross-flow filtration, the fluid to be filtered is pumped across the membrane
parallel to its surface. Cross-flow filtration produces two solutions including a clear filtrate or permeate and a retentate that contains most of the retained particles in the solution.

The dead-end flow is most common in membrane filtration, while cross-flow filtration is more complicated and not suitable for groundwater treatment because the facilities are built with high capacity to circulate a large fraction of feed water in the system. Moreover, the electricity costs of cross flow pumping can triple the operating costs over dead-end operation (Glucina, Laine, and Durand-Bourlier, 1998).

Based on above considerations, unstirred dead-end filtration was utilized in this study. It was applied for the separation of the Mn-Fe precipitates after oxidation. The suspended solution was conveyed through a membrane with a specific pressure. However, membrane fouling caused by the accumulation of Mn-Fe oxide on
the membrane surface is a significant problem and may impede the efficient operation of membrane filtration in this kind of operation process.

### 2.2.2 Membrane cleaning

Various cleaning methods have been proposed for re-establishing permeate flux including chemical, biological and physical treatments.

Chemical methods are the most widely employed to act on membrane fouling using various cleaning agents such as alkali, acids, surfactants and disinfectants (Zhang and Liu, 2003). For example, Puspitasari, Granville, Le-Clech, and Chen (2010) investigated cleaning of a PVDF membrane (nominal pore size of 0.22 µm) fouled by alginate/bovine serum albumin using sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) as a cleaning agent. 1% of NaOCl provided 95% cleaning efficiency in a single cleaning step and its efficiency decreased with increase of cleaning cycle. This was because of the dense of the foulant and difficult to remove. Some drawbacks of this method include generation of new waste solutions, higher costs and operational aspects of chemical supply and handling problems, especially, in ships or remote places.

Biological methods employ bioactive agents such as enzymes to enhance cleaning effectiveness. For instance, Arguello, Alvarez, Riera, and Alvarez (2003) studied cleaning of inorganic membrane fouled by whey protein solution using proteolytic enzymes. High cleaning efficiency of almost 100% was obtained in 20 min. However, membrane cleaning had to be carried out under strong pH (9.5-10.0) and 30% of enzymatic activity was lost during cleaning cycle.
Physical methods depend on mechanical forces to remove foulants from surface of a membrane and enhance cleaning effectiveness. The mechanical cleanings include forward and reverse flushing (Wang, Chen, Hung, and Shammas, 2010), ultrasonication (Matsumoto, Miwa, Nakao, and Kimura, 1996) and backwashing (Levesley and Hoare, 1999). Among those, ultrasound is an effective technique for cleaning a variety of membrane surfaces. It has been reported by several researchers (Lamminen, Walker, and Weavers, 2004). All of these studies demonstrated the effectiveness of ultrasound in controlling membrane fouling and enhancing permeates flux using both polymeric and ceramic membranes. Lim and Bai (2003) studied cleaning of a PVDF membrane (nominal pore size of 0.10 µm) fouled by activated sludge by sonication. The use of sonication alone was not effective in flux recovery, while a combination of clean water backwashing, sonication and chemical cleaning with alkali and acid could achieve almost complete flux recovery. Based on literature review, there has been no report on using ultrasound and backwashing in cleaning of PVDF membrane fouled by manganese-iron oxide particles. They were expected to be effective for a reduction of membrane fouling and thus practical for use in actual water treatment plants.
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CHAPTER III

REMOVAL OF MANGANESE IONS FROM SYNTHETIC GROUNDWATER BY OXIDATION USING POTASSIUM PERMANGANATE

Abstract

The purpose of this study was to determine optimum conditions for the removal of manganese ions from synthetic groundwater by oxidation using KMnO$_4$ as an oxidant to keep the concentration below the allowed level (0.05 mg/L). The process included low-level aeration and addition of KMnO$_4$ in a Jar test system with Mn$^{2+}$ concentration of 0.50 mg/L, similar to that of natural groundwater in Taiwan. Parameters such as aeration-$p$H, oxidant dose and stirring speed were studied. Aeration alone was not sufficient to remove Mn$^{2+}$ ions completely even when the pH was increased. When a stoichiometric amount of KMnO$_4$ (0.96 mg/L) was used, a complete removal was achieved within 15 min at an optimum pH of 8.0. When the amount of KMnO$_4$ was doubled, lower removal efficiency was obtained because the oxidant also generated manganese ions. In addition, the removal of Mn$^{2+}$ ions was complete at pH 9.0 using an oxidant dose of 0.48 mg/L because Mn$^{2+}$ could be sorbed onto MnO$_2$ particles resulted from the oxidation. Finally, The MnO$_2$ particles were characterized by SEM-EDX.
3.1 Introduction

Divalent manganese ions (Mn$^{2+}$) are found particularly in deep wells (groundwater), which contain little oxygen and in areas where the groundwater flows through organic-rich soil (Berbenni, Pollice, Canziani, Stabile, and Nobili, 2000). Indeed, problems have been global issue caused by Mn$^{2+}$ ions in groundwater environments (Overnel, 2002; Buschmann, Berg, Stengel, and Sampson, 2007). Although human ingestion of Mn$^{2+}$ ions above 0.50 mg/L has no harmful health effects (Kohl and Medlar, 2006), the presence in drinking water at concentrations above 0.10 mg/L is not suitable for public consumers. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) has recommended the limitation levels for Mn$^{2+}$ ions in drinking water at 0.05 mg/L (Lee and Lin, 2007). An impact of excessive amount of Mn$^{2+}$ ions in groundwater may become serious when they expose to air or oxygenic substances and may form MnO$_2$ precipitates that can stain household utensils and clothes, or cause undesirable taste in drinking water. Moreover, Mn$^{2+}$ ions cause membrane fouling in reverse osmosis and nanofiltration systems (Kohl and Medlar, 2006).

Several methods have been applied to treat manganese-contaminated groundwater including biological, physical and chemical processes. Biological treatment is fundamentally a filtration process that takes advantages of oxidation abilities of certain bacteria to assimilate the manganese ions. The process involves oxidation phenomena that the oxidized manganese is deposited as MnO$_2$ layer which further acts as a catalyst for oxidation of Mn$^{2+}$ ions by dissolved oxygen (Stembal, Markic, Ribicic, Briski, and Sipos, 2004; Pacini, Ingallinella, and Sanguinetti, 2005; Tekerlekpoulov and Vayenas, 2007; Burger, Mercer, Shupe, and Gagnon, 2008;
Tekerlekopoulou and Vayenas, 2008). However, a long formation of MnO$_2$ and biofilm is considered as a major disadvantage (Stembal, Markic, Ribicic, Briski, and Sipos, 2005). Physical treatment is mainly an adsorption for example by using granular activated carbon (GAC) (Jusoh, Cheng, Low, Nora aini, and Megat Mohd Noor, 2005; Okoniewska, Lach, Kacorzak, and Neczaj, 2008; Mondal, Majumder, and Mohanty, 2008). This operation usually needs a frequent regeneration of the active carbon or a replacement of the carbon column to maintain high efficiency (Senior, 1995). Chemical oxidation is another common method which is very effective in treating the wastewater contaminated by both volatile and non-volatile compounds. For the purpose of producing safe drinking water, it is essential to select suitable chemical oxidants. Indeed, an environmental consideration was addressed when ClO$_2$ was used as an oxidant because it could react with some organic compounds to generate THMs. KMnO$_4$ was selected in some studies because it is not toxic, and easy to handle, has no effect to organic compounds and does not produce THMs (Crittenden, Trussell, Hand, Howe, and Tchobanoglous, 2005). In addition, KMnO$_4$ assists elimination of undesirable tastes and odors from water. Only nontoxic by-products including CO$_2$, H$_2$O and MnO$_2$ are produced (Wang, Hung, and Shammas, 2006).

The oxidation of Mn$^{2+}$ by KMnO$_4$ is displayed in Eq. 2.1 (Hendricks, 2010). The KMnO$_4$ itself undergoes reduction under an acidic condition as shown in Eq. 3.1. Thus, a primary control parameter is the solution pH.

\[
\text{KMnO}_4(aq) + 8\text{H}^+(aq) + 5e^-(aq) \leftrightarrow \text{Mn}^{2+}(aq) + K^+(aq) + 4\text{H}_2\text{O}(l), \quad E^0 = +1.51 \text{ V} \quad (3.1)
\]
Under neutral, weakly acidic or basic conditions, the reduction half-reaction can be written as:

$$\text{KMnO}_4(\text{aq}) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(l) + 3e^-_{(aq)} \leftrightarrow \text{MnO}_2(s) + \text{K}^+_{(aq)} + 4\text{OH}^-_{(aq)}, \quad E^0 = +0.59 \text{ V} \quad (3.2)$$

Based on Eq. 2.1, 1.92 mg or $1.21 \times 10^{-5}$ mol of KMnO$_4$ is needed to oxidize 1 mg or $1.82 \times 10^{-5}$ mol of Mn$^{2+}$. The reaction is further enhanced by the formation of hydrous manganese dioxide flocs (MnO$_2$) which act as a catalyst for further oxidation (Roccaro, Barone, Mancini, and Vagliasindi, 2007; Buamah, Petrushevski, and Schippers, 2008; Crimi and Ko, 2009). The shape of the active oxide flocs depends on the pH and oxidation reduction potential (ORP). In general, the overall redox potential in aqueous solution increases when pH decreases (Takeno, 2005). Therefore, the control of pH throughout the manganese oxidation is necessary.

This study focused on the removal of Mn$^{2+}$ ions in synthetic groundwater using KMnO$_4$. The synthetic groundwater was used to avoid any interference in the natural groundwater. This investigation utilized a combined system of low-level aeration and KMnO$_4$ to determine the optimum conditions to make the Mn$^{2+}$ concentration below the permitted level (0.05 mg/L). The synthetic groundwater was prepared to contain Mn$^{2+}$ concentration at 0.50 mg/L. Parameters studied were aeration-pH, oxidant dose and stirring speed.

Size distributions of the MnO$_2$ particles were determined to obtain the information for further studies on membrane filtration. SEM-EDX was used to examine the morphology and determine compositions of the oxides. The results would be useful for natural groundwater treatment.
3.2 Experimental

3.2.1 Chemicals

Deionized (DI) water with a resistivity of 18.90 MΩ produced by Ruda Ultrapure Water system was used in the preparation of all samples and standards. Chemicals included manganese chloride tetrahydrate (MnCl₂•4H₂O), sodium hydrogen carbonate (NaHCO₃), sodium sulfate (Na₂SO₄), nitric acid (HNO₃), hydrochloric acid (HCl), potassium chloride (KCl) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) and all were obtained from Merck, Germany. KMnO₄ was obtained from J. T. Baker, U.S.A. and sodium chloride (NaCl) was obtained from Taiyen Biotech, Taiwan.

3.2.2 Experimental methods

The synthetic groundwater used in this study was self-prepared to simulate natural groundwater in Taiwan. A 0.50 mg/L solution of NaHCO₃ was used to adjust alkalinity to 200 mg/L as CaCO₃. Solutions of Na₂SO₄, NaCl and KCl with the concentration of 250, 480 and 500 mg/L, respectively, were used to regulate the solution salinity. A stock solution containing 1000 mg/L of Mn²⁺ was prepared using MnCl₂•4H₂O and stored in high density polypropylene bottles at 4°C. A solution of KMnO₄ with concentration of 1000 mg/L was used as an oxidant. The solution pH was adjusted to desired values (if necessary) using 0.1 M and 1.0 M of HCl and NaOH. A solution of HNO₃ with concentration at 1:50 ratio (volume of acid and sample) was used to dissolve any manganese oxide suspension before the elemental analysis.
3.2.3 Batch Study

Experiments were carried out using a standard Jar test system with a dimension of 11.5 \times 11.5 \times 21.0 \text{ cm} (Figure 3.1). The system was equipped with an electrically-controlled agitator, timer, pH meter and ORP meter.

\textbf{Figure 3.1} Configuration of a Jar test system.

The synthetic groundwater volume was adjusted to 2 L and it was aerated for 20 min using an air pump (Elite 802, China) to partially oxidize Mn\(^{2+}\) (except in the study on effect of aeration which was done for 60 min). This procedure was performed to minimize a consumption of KMnO\(_4\) when the process is used continuously with a large flow (Schafer and Prokop, 1995). After that the solution pH was controlled to an acceptable range (± 0.1 pH unit) and the stock solution of KMnO\(_4\) was added to the solution. The reaction was investigated for total retention
time of 60 min. The mixture was sampled every 15 min and separated by filtration with a 0.45 µm cellulose membrane filter. HNO₃ solution was dropped into the filtrate obtained prior to the elemental analysis. Each experiment was repeated three times and their results were averaged.

Control parameters included aeration-pH (6.0, 7.0, 8.0 and 9.0), oxidant dose (0.48, 0.96 and 1.92 mg/L) and stirring speed (50, 120 and 200 rpm). The pH values were ranged similarly to natural groundwater. The oxidant doses applied were calculated from stoichiometric amount from Eq. 2.1. The stirring speeds studied were considered from general operation in water treatment plant.

3.2.4 Characterization

The residual Mn²⁺ concentration was determined using inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES, Perkin Elmer DV 2000). The concentrate HNO₃ was added to the filtrate to ensure the solubility of all manganese particles. A blank solution was prepared from the synthetic groundwater and Mn²⁺ ions were not detected in the blank. A calibration curve was obtained from measuring standard solutions of Mn²⁺ ions with the concentrations of 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7 and 1.0 mg/L. The correlation coefficient (R²) was ranged in acceptable values (higher than 0.95). The remaining concentration of Mn²⁺ ions was averaged.

An oxidation reduction potentiometer (ORP, 5041, Rocker) was used to measure intensity of oxidation-reduction process and tendency of manganese species formed in water during the oxidation. The ORP probe was dipped into the water as shown in Figure 3.1 and the ORP value was recorded at the same time with the water sampling.
The particle size of precipitates produced during the oxidation was analyzed by N5 Submicron Particle Size Analyzer Beckman Coulter. The water was sampled, filled into glass cuvettes and the particle size was measured immediately.

The morphology and composition of the precipitates were analyzed by SEM (Hitashi S-4800) coupled with EDX (Horiba Emax 400). The precipitates were collected by filtration through a 0.45 µm cellulose membrane filter, dried at room temperature and kept in a desiccator before the analysis. The membrane containing the precipitates was cut and mounted with carbon tape on a specimen stub prior to coating with gold. The SEM image was recorded at an accelerating voltage of 15 kV and a magnification of 10,000.

3.3 Results and discussion

3.3.1 Effect of aeration-pH

Aeration of the synthetic groundwater was initially investigated to study partial removal of Mn$^{2+}$ ions. The average removal and ORP at various reaction pH and time are shown in Figure 3.2 and Figure 3.3, respectively. The removal efficiency increased with the increasing pH values and the highest was observed at pH 9.0 (Figure 3.2). Although the ORP values were more than 400 mV at pH 6.0 and 7.0 (Figure 3.3), the removal efficiencies were not as high as that at pH 9.0. This result indicated that the formation of MnO$_2$ particles was favorable with increasing pH rather than the ORP values. However, the residual concentration of Mn$^{2+}$ was still higher than the permitted level allowed in drinking water. This result was in agreement with Kaya, Karadurmus, and Alicilar (2005) that the oxidation of Mn$^{2+}$ by air reached only about 70% even at pH 11.0, when the initial concentration was 25
mg/L. Therefore, to reach the high removal efficiency, an additional oxidant is necessary. As mentioned previously, KMnO₄ was selected and its efficiency was investigated under various conditions.

Figure 3.2 Removal efficiency of Mn²⁺ by aeration at different pH; (●) pH 6.0, (♦) pH 7.0, (○) pH 8.0, (▲) pH 9.0; stirring speed 120 rpm.
Figure 3.3 ORP values from the oxidation of Mn\(^{2+}\) by aeration at different pH; (●) pH 6.0, (♦) pH 7.0, (○) pH 8.0, (▲) pH 9.0; stirring speed 120 rpm.

The stoichiometric amount of KMnO\(_4\), 0.96 mg/L was added to the synthetic groundwater at pH 6.0 to 9.0. The removal efficiency and ORP are presented in Figure 3.4 and Figure 3.5, respectively. The removal efficiency at pH 6.0 was 85% after 15 min but the residual Mn\(^{2+}\) concentration was still higher than the MCL (0.05 mg/L). This result indicated that the oxidation of Mn\(^{2+}\) is not favorable under an acidic condition because the reverse reaction based on Eq. 3.1 could occur. The ORP value reached the highest level at pH 6.0 (Figure 3.5). Such value was not favorable for the formation of MnO\(_2\). At the higher pH, the ORP values decreased and the complete
removal efficiencies were achieved. Takeno (2005) indicated that the ORP at pH 6.0 had to be in the range between 800 and 1020 mV to form MnO$_2$.

**Figure 3.4** Removal efficiency of Mn$^{2+}$ by KMnO$_4$ at different pH; (●) pH 6.0, (♦) pH 7.0, (○) pH 8.0, (▲) pH 9.0; 0.96 mg/L KMnO$_4$; stirring speed 120 rpm.
The particle size distributions of MnO precipitates after the oxidation using 0.96 mg/L KMnO₄ under various pH values are shown in Figure 3.6. Increasing pH values from 6.0 to 9.0 generated larger sizes of particles from 1.0 to 500 μm. This led to higher efficiency of Mn²⁺ ions removal at higher pH values.
Figure 3.6 Particle size distribution of precipitates from oxidation of Mn\(^{2+}\) with 0.96 mg/L KMnO\(_4\) at different pH (●) pH 6.0, (♦) pH 7.0, (○) pH 8.0, (▲) pH 9.0; stirring speed 120 rpm.

3.3.2 Effects of oxidant dose

According to the average pH values of groundwater in Taiwan, the effect of oxidant dose was only investigated at pH 8.0 and 9.0. The removal efficiencies and ORP with KMnO\(_4\) doses of 0.48, 0.96 and 1.92 mg/L at pH 8.0 are shown in Figure 3.7 and Figure 3.8, respectively. The removal efficiency reached 80% at the dose of 0.48 mg/L, which was higher than from that by aeration alone with about 30%. Moreover, the removal efficiency increased only slightly after 15 min with the slow
increase of ORP (Figure 3.8). The results indicated that at 0.48 mg/L the oxidant was consumed within 15 min and further removal was from the continuous aeration and catalytic oxidation of MnO₂ precipitate which also caused an increase of ORP. However, the residual Mn²⁺ concentration was still higher than the MCL (0.05 mg/L) implying that a higher oxidant dose was needed.

When the KMnO₄ dose was 0.96 mg/L, a complete removal of Mn²⁺ was accomplished after 15 min. The ORP ranged from 500 mV to 540 mV at 30 min and became constant. The constant ORP implied that there was no further oxidation.

When 1.92 mg/L of KMnO₄ was used, the average manganese removal at 15 min dropped to 86% because the excess amount of permanganate could generate additional Mn²⁺ ions resulting in the residual Mn²⁺ in the solution. The generated residual Mn²⁺ was then removed by permanganate and aeration to the final species, MnO₂ (Vigneswaran and Visvanathan, 1995). The ORP increased continuously indicating an ongoing oxidation throughout the 60 min study period.
Figure 3.7 Removal efficiency of Mn$^{2+}$ by KMnO$_4$ with different oxidant doses; 

(●) 0.48 mg/L, (♦) 0.96 mg/L, (○) 1.92 mg/L; pH 8.0, stirring speed 120 rpm.
Figure 3.8 ORP values from the oxidation of Mn$^{2+}$ by KMnO$_4$ with different oxidant doses; (●) 0.48 mg/L, (♦) 0.96 mg/L, (○) 1.92 mg/L; pH 8.0, stirring speed 120 rpm.

Similar studies were conducted at pH 9.0 and the results are shown in Figure 3.9. The removal of Mn$^{2+}$ was almost complete with 0.48 mg/L of KMnO$_4$ in 15 min. Under this pH condition, it was reported that the MnO$_2$ layer consisting of black deposits and colloidal particles executed the catalytic effects on Mn$^{2+}$ ions removal (Sahabi, Takeda, Suzuki, and Koizumi, 2009). At this dose, all the MnO$_4^-$ was used up completely for the oxidation and converted to MnO$_2$ particle. Any remaining Mn$^{2+}$ could adsorb on the particle surface. The sorption rates of Mn$^{2+}$ onto
the MnO₂ particles depend on the growth rates of MnO₂ particles. Because there was electrons transfer in this adsorption process, the ORP values increased (Figure 3.10). The result was similar to that of the oxidation with 0.96 mg/L KMnO₄.

**Figure 3.9** Removal efficiency of Mn²⁺ by KMnO₄ with different KMnO₄ doses; (●) 0.48 mg/L, (♦) 0.96 mg/L, (○) 1.92 mg/L; pH 9.0; stirring speed 120 rpm.

As illustrated in Figure 3.7, similar result was confirmed in Figure 3.9 by using increasing amounts of KMnO₄ that could induce the formation of residual Mn²⁺ ions. The increasing amounts of Mn²⁺ ions could disturb the adsorption on the MnO₂ surface (Vigneswaran and Visvanathan, 1995). The nearly constant ORP at 250 mV suggested that there was no electron transfer (Figure 3.10). Thus, the KMnO₄ dose of
1.92 mg/L gave low removal efficiency and was not sufficient to remove Mn$^{2+}$ ions to the level below the MCL.

Figure 3.10 ORP values from the oxidation of Mn$^{2+}$ by KMnO$_4$ with different oxidant doses; (●) 0.48 mg/L, (♦) 0.96 mg/L, (○) 1.92 mg/L; pH 9.0; stirring speed 120 rpm.

3.3.3 Effects of stirring speed

The oxidation of Mn$^{2+}$ ions using KMnO$_4$ as oxidant combined with aeration could be influenced by the stirring speed which affects the diffusion of oxygen in aqueous phase (Guisnet et al., 1991). The effect of the stirring speed was
investigated by using the rate at 50, 120 and 200 rpm under the optimal removal condition, 0.96 mg/L of KMnO₄ at pH 8.0. The removal efficiency and ORP are shown in Figure 3.11 and Figure 3.12, respectively.

![Figure 3.11](image_url)

**Figure 3.11** Removal efficiency of Mn²⁺ by KMnO₄ at different stirring speeds; (○) 50 rpm, (♦) 120 rpm, (●) 200 rpm; pH 8.0; 0.96 mg/L KMnO₄.
At 50 rpm, the removal efficiency of Mn$^{2+}$ ions gradually increased and reached 100% in 60 min. ORP values increased from 500 to 550 mV in 30 min and became constant. The result was due to the gradual oxidation of Mn$^{2+}$ to form MnO$_2$ particles. The low stirring speed resulted in less oxygen transfer in the oxidation and low removal efficiency. Besides, some MnO$_2$ particles were at the bottom due to gravity, causing a decrease in adsorption of Mn$^{2+}$ (Harmankaya and Gunduz, 1998).

The complete removal efficiency of Mn$^{2+}$ ions was observed at 120 rpm in 15 min (Figure 3.11). The ORP values ranged from 400 to 450 mV (Figure 3.12) indicating that the oxygen transfer gradually increased at a longer contact time.
At 200 rpm, the removal of Mn\(^{2+}\) was constant at 80% which illustrated the lower removal efficiency in three different stirring speeds. The ORP value ranged from 460 to 480 mV. The result indicated that the oxidation of Mn\(^{2+}\) took place only slightly. It was possibly because the higher stirring speed disturbed the growth of MnO\(_2\) particles where the Mn\(^{2+}\) ions in the solution were adsorbed to enhance catalytic effects on Mn\(^{2+}\) ions removal. It was suggested that the amount of MnO\(_2\) particles could affect removal of contaminants in water such as cadmium and manganese (Crimi, 2002).

Similar result was reported by Tian, Guo, Yi, and Li (2010) who studied effect of stirring speed on precipitation of cobalt (Co) using ozone as a precipitant. The Co\(^{2+}\) concentration in solution decreased more quickly with an increase of stirring speed from 400 to 1200 rpm. With a faster agitation, the ozone diffusion rate was accelerated and the reaction time decreased. However, at high stirring speed the cobalt concentration became steady because ozone diffusion was limited.

Based on all experimental results, the optimum condition for the removal of Mn\(^{2+}\) ions in synthetic groundwater to the level below the MCL in 15 min was as follows: pH 8.0, stirring speed 120 rpm and KMnO\(_4\) dose 0.96 mg/L. This set of parameters was in good accordance with the one valid for the water treatment plant (Crittenden et al., 2005). Although the Mn\(^{2+}\) removal was also achieved at pH 9.0 with half stoichiometric dose of 0.48 mg/L KMnO\(_4\), the high pH value is not preferable in drinking water (Dietrich, 2006). The oxidation of Mn\(^{2+}\) by KMnO\(_4\) with a subsequent flocculation, sedimentation and filtration was investigated previously with an initial Mn\(^{2+}\) concentration of 1.81 mg/L (Roccaro et al., 2007). The Mn\(^{2+}\) ion could be eliminated below the MCL level in 90 min with the half stoichiometric dose of 1.74
mg/L KMnO₄ and pH value at 8.5. However, in the study, polyelectrolyte, flocculation and filtration processes were used to removal Mn²⁺ ions.

3.3.4 Characterization of the precipitate

Figure 3.13 illustrates the SEM images of the precipitates obtained throughout the removal study to confirm the formation of MnO₂ which is crucial for the removal of Mn²⁺ ions. The MnO₂ particles were observed on the membrane surface compared to the pure membrane. The small particles indicated loose form, rough and porous surface morphology.

Figure 3.14 and Table 3.1 show the EDX spectra and elemental composition of the precipitates. The presence of Na and Cl with the amount of 0.32 and 0.45% by weight respectively was owing to the precipitation of the electrolytes composed in the synthetic groundwater. The presence of C with the amount of 69.26% by weight was resulted from compositions of cellulose acetate membrane. The existence of O with the amount of 28.48% by weight showed that the precipitates were oxide form with the 1.49% by weight of Mn.
Figure 3.13 SEM images of (a) pure and (b) filtered membrane; pH 8.0, stirring speed 120 rpm; 0.96 mg/L KMnO₄; reaction time 60 min.
Figure 3.14 EDX spectra of the filtered membrane surface; pH 8.0, stirring speed 120 rpm; 0.96 mg/L KMnO$_4$; reaction time 60 min.

Table 3.1 Elemental composition of the filtered membrane surface obtained from EDX; pH 8.0, stirring speed 120 rpm; 0.96 mg/L KMnO$_4$; reaction time 60 min.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Weight%</th>
<th>Atomic%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>69.26</td>
<td>75.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>28.48</td>
<td>23.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.4 Conclusions

The conditions on the removal of Mn$^{2+}$ ions from synthetic groundwater via KMnO$_4$ oxidation were studied. The results showed that the aeration, pH, oxidant dose and stirring speed were important parameters on the removal of Mn$^{2+}$. The partial removal of Mn$^{2+}$ just using aeration was observed with a maximum removal of 40% even at pH 9.0. However, when KMnO$_4$ was used to oxidation, the removal efficiency of Mn$^{2+}$ was enhanced. The pH of 8.0 and stirring speed of 120 rpm with the oxidant dose of 0.96 mg/L KMnO$_4$ were the optimum condition for the synthetic groundwater. The main composition of the precipitates was MnO$_2$. These MnO$_2$ precipitates could offer the adsorption sites for the Mn$^{2+}$. At the pH 9.0, the active adsorption on MnO$_2$ particles still can take place even the KMnO$_4$ dose is insufficient.

3.5 References


CHAPTER IV

REMOVAL OF MANGANESE AND IRON IONS FROM SYNTHETIC GROUNDWATER BY OXIDATION USING POTASSIUM PERMANGANATE

Abstract

The dual removal of Mn\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\) ions from synthetic groundwater by oxidation using KMnO\(_4\) to keep their concentrations below the maximum contaminant level (MCL) was investigated. The batch experiments were performed in a Jar test at pH 8.0 to understand various parameters including oxidant dose, coexisting Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) ions and alum addition after the oxidation. Mn\(^{2+}\) was partially removed by aeration in both single and dual oxidation with the maximum removal of 30.6 and 37.2\%, respectively. The presence of Fe\(^{2+}\) improved the removal of Mn\(^{2+}\) ion forming hydrous manganese-iron oxide which was confirmed by digital microscopy and EDX. The oxidant dose of 0.603 mg/L KMnO\(_4\) was a minimum amount to reduce the Mn\(^{2+}\) concentration to the level below the MCL. The coexisting Ca\(^{2+}\) or Mg\(^{2+}\) slightly disturbed the elimination of Mn\(^{2+}\) but the concentration was still lower than the permitted level. Alum addition after oxidation had a negative effect for Mn\(^{2+}\) removal. Possible mechanisms of the removal of Mn\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\) ions with and without the coexisting ions were proposed by monitoring the pH variations. The removal
mechanisms could involve sorption between the hydrous oxide and the dissolved metal ions.

### 4.1 Introduction

In Chapter III, single removal of Mn$^{2+}$ from synthetic groundwater by oxidation using aeration and KMnO$_4$ was investigated. Such method could remove Mn$^{2+}$ to the level below MCL with the proper conditions including pH, oxidant dose and stirring speed. Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ are both naturally found in groundwater and the concentration of Fe$^{2+}$ often exceeds that of Mn$^{2+}$ (Buamah, Petrasevski, and Schippers, 2008). Thus, proper conditions for dual removal of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ was were investigated in this Chapter.

Groundwater contaminated with Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ can be treated by several methods including biological, physical and chemical processes. The details of these methods were reported in Chapter III. Chemical oxidation by KMnO$_4$ was selected for the dual removal of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ in this study because KMnO$_4$ is neither toxic nor expensive. It also helps to remove undesirable tastes and odors from manganese and iron bacteria, and hydrogen sulfide (H$_2$S) (Lehr, Hyman, Seevers, and Gass, 2002).

The concentration of KMnO$_4$ for the removal of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ was calculated according to Eq. 2.1 and Eq. 2.2. A stoichiometric quantity of KMnO$_4$ to oxidize 1 mg of Mn$^{2+}$ (1.82 x 10$^{-5}$ mol) and Fe$^{2+}$ (1.79 x 10$^{-5}$ mol) is 1.92 mg (1.21 x 10$^{-5}$ mol) and 0.94 mg (5.95 x 10$^{-6}$ mol), respectively. An excess amount of KMnO$_4$ may obstruct the Mn$^{2+}$ removal because MnO$_4^-$ could also produce Mn$^{2+}$ ions (Eq. 3.1). Therefore, an optimum amount of KMnO$_4$ is required to remove Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ to the level
below the MCL. By a method with combined aeration and oxidation, the KMnO$_4$ dose was determined according to the remaining amount of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ after the aeration. The obtained optimum conditions, pH and stirring speed, from Mn$^{2+}$ ions removal in Chapter III were still used.

The purpose of this chapter was to investigate dual removal of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ from the synthetic groundwater by oxidation using combined aeration and KMnO$_4$ in a lab-scale to make their concentrations below the MCL levels. The oxidation by aeration was first investigated and the amount of oxidant, KMnO$_4$ for the remaining ions was determined. Single oxidation of water containing Mn$^{2+}$ or Fe$^{2+}$ and dual oxidation of water containing both Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ were studied to understand an influence of the coexisting Fe$^{2+}$ ions on the oxidation of Mn$^{2+}$ ions. The synthetic groundwater was prepared to contain similar concentration of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ at 0.50 mg/L. Studied parameters included oxidant dose, effect of coexisting Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ ions, and alum addition after oxidation. The morphology and composition of the precipitates were analyzed by digital microscope and EDX, respectively. Particle charge was determined by zeta potentiometer.

4.2 Experimental

4.2.1 Chemicals

Deionized water (DI) with a resistivity of 18.9 MΩ was used in the preparation of all samples and standards. The chemicals employed were similar to those reported in Chapter III. Additional chemicals were iron sulfate heptahydrate (FeSO$_4$•7H$_2$O) and calcium chloride tetrahydrate (CaCl$_2$•4H$_2$O) which were obtained
from Merck, Germany and aluminum sulfate (Al$_2$(SO$_4$)$_3$•16-18H$_2$O) was obtained from Nihon Shiyaku Reagent, Japan.

4.2.2 Experimental methods

The experimental procedures were done similarly to those in Chapter III. In addition, stock solutions of Mn$^{2+}$ or Fe$^{2+}$ with a concentration of 1000 mg/L were prepared from MnCl$_2$•4H$_2$O and FeSO$_4$•7H$_2$O, respectively. A stock solution of alum with a concentration of 6000 mg/L was prepared from Al$_2$(SO$_4$)$_3$•16-18H$_2$O. A stock solution of Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ with a concentration of 4000 mg/L (100 mM) and 2430 mg/L (100 mM) were prepared from CaCl$_2$•4H$_2$O and MgCl$_2$•4H$_2$O, respectively.

4.2.3 Batch Study

The batch study was carried out with the method reported in Chapter III. The mixture was initially sampled after aeration for 20 min and this sample was referred to 0 min of reaction time. Then, KMnO$_4$ was added with studied concentration and the mixture was sampled every 15 min with the reaction time of 60 min, referred to 15, 30, 45 and 60 min.

4.2.4 Effect of coexisting ions

The concentrations of the coexisting ions were: Ca$^{2+}$, 4.0, 40.0 and 400.0 mg/L; Mg$^{2+}$, 2.4, 24.3 and 243.0 mg/L. The wide concentration range was selected since the amount of Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ normally fluctuates, which is caused by the changing conditions of natural groundwater.

4.2.5 Effect of alum addition after oxidation

Coagulation by alum at three concentrations, 10, 20 and 30 mg/L were studied. These chosen values are typically employed for inspecting low turbid water
(Lehr et al., 2002). The experiment was continuously done via the batch study as reported in 4.2.4. The stock solution of alum was added after 60 min of the oxidation, stirring speed was decreased to 50 rpm and the reaction time was expanded to 60 min. The samples were referred to 75, 90, 105 and 120 min of reaction time. To investigate the effect of alum, after initial aeration for 20 min, alum with the concentration of 30 mg/L was added into the water instead of KMnO$_4$. The stirring speed was 120 rpm for the first 60 min and then decreased to 50 rpm for the coagulation-flocculation processes. The sampling was done every 15 min during the reaction time of 120 min.

**4.2.6 Characterization**

The residual ions including Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ were analyzed by ICP-OES (Perkin Elmer DV 2000). A blank solution was prepared from the synthetic groundwater without those ions. The details of the analysis were reported in Chapter III.

The precipitates produced during the reaction were collected at 60 min after the reaction was carried out, dehydrated by freeze drying for 2 days to remove free water and finally kept in a desiccator before analyses by a digital microscope (Hirox, KH-7700) with a magnification of 700x and EDX (Hariba Emax 400).

The colloidal solution produced during the oxidation of dual Mn$^{2+}$-Fe$^{2+}$ system at pH 6.0-9.0 was collected after 60 min of the oxidation before analyses by zeta potentiometer (Zetasizer Nano-ZS, Malvern instrument) to determine the surface charge of the precipitates.
4.3 Results and discussion

4.3.1 Effect of aeration in single and dual system

Aeration of the synthetic groundwater was first studied to investigate the removal of Mn\textsuperscript{2+} and Fe\textsuperscript{2+} ions. The average removal of the single and dual oxidation is presented in Figure 4.1 and Figure 4.2, respectively. By aeration in the single Mn\textsuperscript{2+} system (Figure 4.1), the removal efficiency became nearly constant after 15 min with the capacity of 30.6%. The remaining concentration of 0.347 mg/L was still higher than the MCL. The oxidation efficiency by aeration was low because the reaction requires an ORP higher than 400 mV (Takeno, 2005). In contrast, Fe\textsuperscript{2+} in the single system was eliminated more easily with a conversion of 90.0% and the remaining concentration was lower than the permitted level. The removal efficiency was higher because it could occur at a lower ORP, 0 mV (Takeno, 2005).

By aeration of the dual system consisting of Mn\textsuperscript{2+} and Fe\textsuperscript{2+} (Figure 4.2), the remaining concentration of both ions after 60 min were 0.314 mg/L (37.2% conversion) and 0.048 mg/L (90.4% conversion), respectively. The removal efficiency of Mn\textsuperscript{2+} increased 6.6% from that of the single oxidation possibly because of an assistance by the coexisting Fe\textsuperscript{2+} ions. Wolthoorn, Temminghoff, Weng, and Riemsdijk (2004) reported that Fe\textsuperscript{2+} was initially oxidized and Fe(OH)\textsubscript{3} was formed shortly after aeration. They suggested that the Fe(OH)\textsubscript{3} provided a surface for the autocatalyzed oxidation of Mn\textsuperscript{2+} and Fe\textsuperscript{2+} and could co-precipitate with other cations. However, the aeration of Fe\textsuperscript{2+} was less effective when Mn\textsuperscript{2+} was present in the groundwater (Wolthoorn et al., 2004). From this investigation, Fe\textsuperscript{2+} was still removed constantly with the coexisting Mn\textsuperscript{2+} after aeration, but the residual concentration of
Mn$^{2+}$ was still higher than the MCL. Therefore, an additional oxidant is required to improve the removal efficiency of Mn$^{2+}$ in both single and dual systems and the removal of Mn$^{2+}$ would be focused on the rest of this chapter.

**Figure 4.1** Removal efficiency of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ by aeration in single oxidation. The pH was 8.0 and stirring speed was 120 rpm.
Figure 4.2 Removal efficiency of Mn\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\) by aeration in dual oxidation. The pH was 8.0 and stirring speed was 120 rpm.

4.3.2 Effect of oxidant dose in removal of Mn\(^{2+}\) in single and dual systems

Oxidation of the remaining Mn\(^{2+}\) by a minimum amount of KMnO\(_4\) was investigated. The experiments were performed using low-level aeration combined with different doses of KMnO\(_4\) in the synthetic groundwater. According to Eq. 2.1 and Eq. 2.2, the doses of KMnO\(_4\) used in this study were 0.603 and 0.648 mg/L, according to the residual concentration after aeration of Mn\(^{2+}\) in the dual system (0.314 mg/L) and Fe\(^{2+}\) combined with Mn\(^{2+}\) in the dual system (0.048 and 0.314 mg/L), respectively.
The percent removal of Mn$^{2+}$ ions in the single system and dual Mn$^{2+}$-Fe$^{2+}$ system are demonstrated in Figure 4.3 and Figure 4.4, respectively. In the single Mn$^{2+}$ system (Figure 4.3), the removal efficiency increased quickly in 15 min after adding KMnO$_4$. For the 0.603 mg/L dose (dash line), the efficiency was 84.6% and then increased slowly to 92.2% after 60 min where the remaining Mn$^{2+}$ concentration was below the MCL. At 0.648 mg/L KMnO$_4$ (solid line), the percent removal reached the maximum at 92.2% after 15 min. The increase of oxidant dose raised the conversion of Mn$^{2+}$ to MnO$_2$ precipitates which were also generated from the reduction of the oxidant itself. The remaining Mn$^{2+}$ can be more sorbed on the produced oxide. They reported that the presence of MnO$_2$ may exert a catalytic effect on further precipitation of metal from liquid phase (Zaw and Chiswell, 1999; Berbenni, Pollice, Canziani, Stabile, and Nobili, 2000). A two-reaction mechanism has been proposed, where a relatively rapid adsorption is followed by a lower oxidation.

$$\text{Mn}^{2+} (aq) + \text{MnO}_2(s) \rightarrow \text{MnO}_2\text{Mn}^{2+} (s) \quad (4.1)$$

$$\text{O}_2(g) + \text{MnO}_2\text{Mn}^{2+} (s) \rightarrow 2\text{MnO}_2(s) \quad (4.2)$$

Therefore, Mn$^{2+}$ can be removed by oxidation using KMnO$_4$ and by oxygen after adsorption on MnO$_2$. The single removal of Mn$^{2+}$ was satisfactory with the minimum concentration of KMnO$_4$ (0.603 mg/L).
Figure 4.3 Removal efficiency of Mn$^{2+}$ by KMnO$_4$ in single Mn$^{2+}$ oxidation. The oxidant doses were 0.603 (dash line) and 0.648 mg/L (solid line), pH was 8.0 and stirring speed was 120 rpm.
Figure 4.4 Removal efficiency of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ by KMnO$_4$ in dual Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ oxidation. The oxidant doses were 0.603 (dash line) and 0.648 mg/L (solid line), pH was 8.0 and stirring speed was 120 rpm.

The removals of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ in the dual system by both oxidant doses (0.603 and 0.648 mg/L) shown in Figure 4.4 had a similar trend. Both doses gave the Mn$^{2+}$ level below the MCL within 15 min and the percent removal in the dual system was higher than that in the single system. Throughout the studied time, the removal of Fe$^{2+}$ was above 94.0% which was about 4.0% higher than the result from aeration alone and the removal was not affected by an increase of oxidant dose. The coexisting
Fe$^{2+}$ could form Fe(OH)$_3$ which could incorporate with MnO$_2$ and enhance the sorption of Mn$^{2+}$ ions. Zoller (1994) documented that oxides and hydroxides of Mn and Fe respectively showed a high capacity of reduction of heavy metals in an aqueous phase by means of sorption. Besides, the reduction of KMnO$_4$ forming MnO$_2$ could assist the sorption of Mn$^{2+}$ ions (Berbenni et al., 2000; Crimi and Siegrist, 2004; Loomer, Al, Banks, Parker, and Mayer, 2006). The formation of MnO$_2$ and Mn-Fe oxides generated from the oxidation in this work was further confirmed in the characterization parts.

Because the removal of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ ions in both single and dual systems by both oxidant doses were similar, the oxidant dose of 0.603 mg/L combined with aeration was sufficient and used as a minimum dose throughout the rest of the study.

4.3.3 Effect of Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ on removal of Mn$^{2+}$ in dual system

The effect of coexisting Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ ions in the synthetic groundwater on the removal of the dual Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ system was investigated using the oxidant dose of 0.603 mg/L and pH 8.0. The average removals of Mn$^{2+}$ with different concentrations of Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ are shown in Figure 4.5 and Figure 4.6, respectively.
Figure 4.5 Removal efficiency of Mn$^{2+}$ in dual Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ oxidation by KMnO$_4$ with the coexisting Ca$^{2+}$. The oxidant dose was 0.603 mg/L, pH was 8.0 and stirring speed was 120 rpm.
Figure 4.6 Removal efficiency of Mn\(^{2+}\) in dual Mn\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\) oxidation by KMnO\(_4\) with the coexisting Mg\(^{2+}\). The oxidant dose was 0.603 mg/L, pH was 8.0 and stirring speed was 120 rpm.

As shown in Figure 4.5, when the concentrations of the coexisting Ca\(^{2+}\) ions were 4.0, 40.0 and 400.0 mg/L, the percent removal of Mn\(^{2+}\) decreased by 4.0, 6.0 and 6.0%, respectively, from that of the system without Ca\(^{2+}\). However, the remaining concentration of Mn\(^{2+}\) after oxidation was still lower than the MCL in all cases. The results suggested that KMnO\(_4\) was an effective oxidant for Mn\(^{2+}\) in the dual Mn\(^{2+}\)-Fe\(^{2+}\) system with coexisting Ca\(^{2+}\) ions. The result can be explained in term of
surface charge of the Mn-Fe precipitates that can further interact with the remaining Mn\(^{2+}\) ions and other metal cations in water by sorption (Berbenni et al., 2000; Zogo, Bawa, Soclo, and Atchekpe, 2011).

**Figure 4.7** Mean particle charge of the forming precipitates after 60 min of dual Mn\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\) oxidation (without Ca\(^{2+}\) and/or Mn\(^{2+}\)). The oxidant dose was 0.603 mg/L, pH was 8.0 and stirring speed was 120 rpm.

Based on the oxidation of Mn\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\) ions in Eq. 2.1 and Eq. 2.2, the produced MnO\(_2\) and Fe(OH)_3 have a surface charge which can be affected by pH.
Their points of zero charge (PZC), the pH at which the surface is uncharged, were about 3 and 8, respectively (Liu, Liu, Qiang, Qu, Li, and Wang, 2009). When the pH is less than pH_{PZC}, the surface charge is positive because of protonation and vice versa and the reactions are as follows (Davis and Leckie, 1978).

\[
\equiv \text{Mn-OH}_2^+ (s) + \text{H}_2\text{O}_l \rightarrow \equiv \text{Mn-OH}_2^+ (s) + \text{OH}^- (aq) \quad (\text{pH} < \text{pH}_{PZC}) \quad (4.3)
\]

\[
\equiv \text{Mn-OH}^+ (s) + \text{H}_2\text{O}_l \rightarrow \equiv \text{Mn-O}^- (s) + \text{H}_3\text{O}^+ (aq) \quad (\text{pH} > \text{pH}_{PZC}) \quad (4.4)
\]

where \equiv \text{Mn-OH}_2^+, \equiv \text{Mn-OH}^+ and \equiv \text{Mn-O}^- represent positively, neutral and negatively charged surface hydroxyl, respectively.

As presented in Figure 4.7, the net charge of Mn-Fe precipitates was negative at pH 6.0-9.0 and decreased with increase of pH. The net negative charge was mainly resulted from manganese oxides from the oxidation of Mn^{2+} and reduction of MnO_4^- under alkaline condition, pH > pH_{PZC}. This evidence was proved from surface functional group of δ-MnO_2 (Liu et al., 2009). At pH 7.0, δ-MnO_2 had surface charge as low as -23.4 mV and increased to -12.4 mV at 8.0 mg/L Ca^{2+}. In our work, at pH 8.0, Mn-Fe precipitates had surface charge of -6.0 mV and increased to -1.54 mV at 4.0 mg/L Ca^{2+}. Because Ca^{2+} decreased the surface negative charge and obstructed the removal of remaining Mn^{2+} ions.

The effect of Mg^{2+} on the removal of Mn^{2+} is presented in Figure 4.6. The percent removal decreased about 2.0, 3.0 and 3.0% when the Mg^{2+} concentrations were 2.4, 24.3 and 243.0 mg/L, respectively from that of the system without Mg^{2+}. However, the concentration of Mn^{2+} was still below the MCL in all cases. This result can be explained by the mean surface charge of the precipitates consisted of Mn^{2+},
Fe$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ ions (-9.33 mV) which was more negative than that in the condition without Mg$^{2+}$. As a result, the existing Mg$^{2+}$ did not obstruct the removal of Mn$^{2+}$.

Based on the results, the presence of Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ slightly obstructed the removal of Mn$^{2+}$ but it was still lower than the MCL. This may be due to the sufficient amount of KMnO$_4$ used in the oxidation step, which produced Mn-Fe precipitates to enhance the removal of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$. In addition, Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ could precipitate to form calcium and magnesium carbonate, which could capture residual Mn$^{2+}$ (Guan, Ma, Dong, and Jiang, 2009; Masue, Loeppert, and Kramer, 2007).

### 4.3.4 Effect of coagulation after oxidation

The further removal of Mn$^{2+}$ was studied by addition of alum to the mixture after combined aeration and oxidation. The results are presented in Figure 4.8. Without KMnO$_4$, the coagulation by alum has no effect on removal of Mn$^{2+}$ ions (dash line). The maximum Mn$^{2+}$ removal was somewhat constant about at 30.0%, similar to the removal by aeration alone. Similar result was obtained by Montiel and Welte (1990) that a manganese elimination yield was 25 to 35% (initial concentration of 0.5 to 1.0 mg/L) during coagulation using ferric chloride at pH between 7.6-7.8. Therefore, the removal of Mn$^{2+}$ using coagulant alone was not sufficient and the addition of KMnO$_4$ was further studied.

With the combination between aeration and 0.603 mg/L KMnO$_4$, the percent removal of Mn$^{2+}$ slightly decreased at 87.0%, 88.0% and 91.2% as the dosage of alum added increased from 10, 20 to 30 mg/L, respectively. The negative effect on the Mn$^{2+}$ removal is due to alum causing the pH to drop, converting MnO$_2$ back to Mn$^{2+}$ (Loomer et al., 2011). However, the residual amount of Mn$^{2+}$ was still below
the permitted level. A similar result was obtained by Zogo et al. (2011) who showed that the partial removal of Mn\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\) from the initial concentration of 0.1 and 8.0, respectively, was observed using 40 mg/L alum at pH 6.5. After the coagulation followed by adding 2.5 mg/L KMnO\(_4\) at pH 8.5, Mn\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\) were eliminated to the level below the acceptable level. Other studies also showed that the oxidized forms of MnO\(_2\) and Fe(OH)\(_3\) are good adsorbents which might improve the efficiency of coagulation (Berbenni et al., 2000). El Araby, Hawash, and El Diwani (2010) studied the removal of Mn\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\) with initial concentration of 1.0 and 2.6 mg/L, respectively, by 3.0 mg/L O\(_3\) combined with 30 mg/L alum and pH 8.0. The method was not successful because the residual concentration of Mn\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\) were 0.1 and 0.13 mg/L, respectively, still higher than the MCL level. The result inferred that the amount of O\(_3\) could produce insufficient sorption sites of Mn-Fe oxide for the remaining Mn\(^{2+}\) ions even alum was added (El Araby et al., 2010).
Figure 4.8 Removal efficiency of Mn$^{2+}$ in dual Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ oxidation by KMnO$_4$; 
(a) combined aeration and 30 mg/L alum, (b) combined aeration and 0.603 mg/L KMnO$_4$, (c) coagulation after 60 min of the oxidation. The pH was 8.0 and stirring speed was 120 rpm.

4.3.5 Characterization of precipitates of the oxide

The morphology and composition of the precipitates obtained from single and dual system were examined using the digital microscope and EDX. The results are shown in Figure 4.9 to Figure 4.12.
In the single system, white particles were observed (Figure 4.9) due to the precipitation of the electrolytes composed in the synthetic groundwater. This was confirmed by EDX analysis revealing the presence of Na, Cl and K with the amount of 0.32, 0.48 and 0.35% by weight, respectively (Table 4.1). The presence of C with the amount of 72.41% by weight was resulted from compositions of cellulose acetate membrane. The existence of O with the amount of 35.60% by weight indicated that the light brown precipitates were oxide form with the 0.49% by weight of Mn. The formation of MnO$_2$ involved in the removal of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$.

![Image from a digital camera of the precipitates from single Mn$^{2+}$ oxidation. The oxidant dose was 0.603 mg/L, pH was 8.0, stirring speed was 120 rpm and reaction time was 60 min.](image-url)
Figure 4.10 EDX spectra of the precipitates from single Mn$^{2+}$ oxidation. The oxidant dose was 0.603 mg/L, pH was 8.0, stirring speed was 120 rpm and reaction time was 60 min.

Table 4.1 Elemental composition of the filtered membrane surface from single Mn$^{2+}$ oxidation: 0.603 mg/L KMnO$_4$; pH 8.0; stirring speed 120 rpm; reaction time 60 min.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Weight%</th>
<th>Atomic%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>72.41</td>
<td>78.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>25.95</td>
<td>20.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Considering the dual system, the white particles were still observed (Figure 4.11), similar to the single system. The particles were dark brown with the main compositions of manganese oxide (15.26% by weight) and iron oxide (16.40% by weight) (Table 4.2). The increase of manganese oxide in the dual system was due to the oxidation of Mn$^{2+}$ as well as the reduction of MnO$_4^-$. The presence of these compositions during the oxidation led to the sorption of the dissolved metal ions in the water.

**Figure 4.11** Image from a digital camera of the precipitates from dual Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ oxidation. The oxidant dose was 0.603 mg/L, pH was 8.0, stirring speed was 120 rpm and reaction time was 60 min.
Figure 4.12 EDX spectra and elemental composition of the precipitates from dual Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ oxidation. The oxidant dose was 0.603 mg/L, pH was 8.0, stirring speed was 120 rpm and reaction time was 60 min.
Table 4.2 Elemental composition of the filtered membrane surface from dual Mn\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\) oxidation; 0.603 mg/L KMnO\(_4\); pH 8.0; stirring speed 120 rpm; reaction time 60 min.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Weight%</th>
<th>Atomic%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>26.46</td>
<td>41.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>35.60</td>
<td>42.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>15.26</td>
<td>5.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>16.40</td>
<td>5.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total   | 100.00  | 100.00  |

In conclusion, the optimum conditions for the removal of Mn\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\) in the synthetic groundwater are as follows: pH value, 8.0; stirring speed, 120 rpm and KMnO\(_4\) dose, 0.603 mg/L. This use of set of parameter decreased the concentration of both ions to the level below the MCL in 15 min. The coexisting Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\), and coagulation by alum slightly affected the removal efficiency. The suitable concentration of KMnO\(_4\) employed and the formation of the Mn-Fe precipitates could assist the removal of Mn\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\). The possible mechanism of Mn\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\) removal was further studied to develop a treatment method to increase the removal efficiency of Mn\(^{2+}\), Fe\(^{2+}\) contaminated in groundwater.
4.3.6 Possible mechanisms on removal of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$

To study possible mechanisms on the elimination of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ by 0.603 mg/L KMnO$_4$, the experiments were performed without pH adjustment with initial pH of 8.0. The experimental design was simulated the conditions existing during the remediation of contaminated water, where the pH control is either not necessary or difficult to be realized. Variations of solution pH and average removal of Mn$^{2+}$ were recorded as shown in Figure 4.13 and Figure 4.14, respectively whereas the effect of coexisting ions is presented in Figure 4.15.

Without Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ ions, the pH of the synthetic groundwater containing free- Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ did not change after 60 min (Figure 4.13), indicating that oxidation did not take place in the system. However, the pH decreased swiftly within 1 min from 8.0 to 7.94 after adding KMnO$_4$ solution to the water containing Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$. They were obviously eradicated below the MCL within 1 min, even though pH decreased only slightly. The decrease of pH during adsorption was done by Corami, Mignardi, and Ferrini (2008).
Figure 4.13 Removal efficiency of Mn$^{2+}$ in dual Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ oxidation by KMnO$_4$ and solution pH in different compositions. The oxidant dose was 0.603 mg/L and stirring speed was 120 rpm.
Figure 4.14 Removal efficiency of Mn\(^{2+}\) in dual Mn\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\) oxidation by KMnO\(_4\) and solution pH in different compositions. The oxidant dose was 0.603 mg/L and stirring speed was 120 rpm.
Figure 4.15 Concentration of Mg\(^{2+}\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) from dual Mn\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\) oxidation by KMnO\(_4\) in different compositions. The oxidant dose was 0.603 mg/L and stirring speed was 120 rpm.

The possible mechanism includes oxidation of Mn\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\) (Eq. 2.1 and Eq. 2.2) and sorption of the dissolved metal ions (Mn\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\)) by the hydrous precipitates which is considered based on conventional reactions of surface hydroxyl group (Zaw and Chiswell, 1999; Davranche and Bollinger, 2000, Davis and Leckie, 1978).
In the first mechanism, \( \text{MnO}_4^- \) reacts rapidly with \( \text{Mn}^{2+} \) and \( \text{Fe}^{2+} \), and produces \( \text{MnO}_2 \), \( \text{Fe(OH)}_3 \) and \( \text{H}^+ \) to water (Eq. 2.1 and Eq. 2.2) causing the pH drop. The percent removal of \( \text{Mn}^{2+} \) increased quickly and reached 98% in 1 min and then became constant after 5 min. The result indicated that the oxidation using aeration and 0.603 mg/L \( \text{KMnO}_4 \) effectively removed \( \text{Mn}^{2+} \) to the level below the MCL in a shorter time and less concentration of \( \text{KMnO}_4 \) compared to other works. For example, Roccaro, Barone, Mancini, and Vagliasindi (2007) obtained a 95% removal of \( \text{Mn}^{2+} \) in 90 min after oxidation with \( \text{KMnO}_4 \) at pH 8.5 and an initial Mn concentration of about 1.80 mg/L. Zogo et al. (2011) obtained a complete removal of \( \text{Mn}^{2+} \) and \( \text{Fe}^{2+} \) which were monitored after 24 h sampling using alum dose of 40 mg/L at pH 6.5 and \( \text{KMnO}_4 \) dose of 2.5 mg/L at pH 8.5.

The second mechanism involves sorption of metal ions with hydrous metal oxide. A bond is initially formed between the \( \text{Mn}^{2+} \) and the surface oxygen atom of the hydrous Mn-Fe oxide, resulting in the release of protons and a drop in pH as shown in Eq. 4.5 (Buamah et al., 2008). In this study, the test was done in alkaline condition, the surface is predominantly negative charged according to the surface charge of Mn-Fe precipitates and then the surface becomes more attractive to cations (Buamah et al., 2008).

\[
\equiv (\text{Mn-Fe})-\text{OH} + \text{M}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \equiv (\text{Mn-Fe})-\text{O-M-O}^- + 3\text{H}^+ \tag{4.5}
\]

where M is denoted as divalent ions, \( \text{Mn}^{2+} \), \( \text{Fe}^{2+} \), \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) and \( \text{Mg}^{2+} \).

With coexisting \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) and \( \text{Mg}^{2+} \) ions (Figure 4.14), the average \( \text{Mn}^{2+} \) removal have similar values in all system. However, solution pH was most basic in the absence of \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) and \( \text{Mg}^{2+} \). This indicates that either \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) or \( \text{Mg}^{2+} \) could have
exchanged places with $\text{H}^+$ attached on Mn-Fe precipitate, releasing $\text{H}^+$ back into the solution. This result did not affect the removal of $\text{Mn}^{2+}$ and $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ as their concentrations were still below the MCL (Figure 4.14). The trend of pH decreases depended on the metal composition in the reaction. Solution pH of a solution with coexisting $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ obviously decreased more than that with $\text{Mg}^{2+}$. This implied that the presence of $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ increased surface charge of the precipitates and slightly disturbed the removal efficiency more than $\text{Mg}^{2+}$.

As presented in Figure 4.15, concentrations of $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ decreased immediately after adding $\text{KMnO}_4$ accompanied with the decreased solution pH. Finally, their concentration was slightly recovered within 60 min. These results validate that mechanism of sorption of $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ onto hydrous oxide in exchange with the bound $\text{H}^+$. However, $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ could be released back into the solution since they are weakly held by the oxygen groups of the oxide precipitate (Zoller, 1994). In addition, the result could be attributed to the reversible formation of $\text{CaCO}_3$ and $\text{MgCO}_3$ under these conditions.

However, these evidences on the possible mechanisms were not much clear that Mn$^{2+}$ ions can be removed both oxidation by aeration-$\text{KMnO}_4$ and sorption on the hydrous oxide. The former pathway, oxidation reaction, would be a majority mechanism for the dual removal in this study. However, sorption of non-biodegradable metal like $\text{Cd}^{2+}$ on the hydrous Mn-Fe oxide was further expected to investigate.
4.4 Conclusions

The dual removal of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ from synthetic groundwater via oxidation using combined aeration and KMnO$_4$ was investigated under various studied parameters. The partial removal of Mn$^{2+}$ using aeration was 30.6% and 37.2% for single and dual system, respectively. Aeration decreased the consumption of KMnO$_4$ needed for the dual oxidation. The minimum concentration of KMnO$_4$ was 0.603 mg/L, which can quickly oxidize Mn$^{2+}$ below its MCL. The presence of Fe$^{2+}$ improved the removal of Mn$^{2+}$ due to the autocatalytic effect of hydrous Mn-Fe oxides. Characterization using digital microscope and EDX proved the formation of the oxides. The presence of Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ as well as alum addition slightly inhibited the removal of Mn$^{2+}$. The possible removal mechanism of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ are oxidation and adsorption onto the oxide precipitate.

4.5 References


CHAPTER V

REMOVAL OF MANGANESE, IRON AND CADMIUM IONS FROM SYNTHETIC GROUNDWATER BY OXIDATION USING POTASSIUM PERMANGANATE

Abstract

Removal of triple Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ ions from synthetic groundwater by oxidation using aeration and KMnO$_4$ to keep their concentrations to the level below the MCL was examined in batch experiments in a Jar test. The studied conditions included pH of 8.0 and various oxidant doses, initial Cd$^{2+}$ concentrations, and coexisting Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ ions. The percent removal of Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ ions by aeration were 14.2, 88.4 and 10.0%, respectively. The KMnO$_4$ dose of 0.824 mg/L was an optimum amount to eliminate those metal ions concentration to the level below the MCL. The initial Cd$^{2+}$ concentration of 0.05 mg/L was a maximum adsorption capacity of the Mn-Fe oxide. The coexisting Ca$^{2+}$ and/or Mg$^{2+}$ did not disturb the elimination of Mn$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$. The removal mechanism of Cd$^{2+}$ could involve sorption with the hydrous Mn-Fe oxide.
5.1 Introduction

In Chapter IV, dual removal of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ from synthetic groundwater by oxidation using aeration and KMnO$_4$ was investigated. The result showed that the oxidation reaction using KMnO$_4$ is a major mechanism in the removal of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$. The presence of Fe$^{2+}$ improved the removal of Mn$^{2+}$ due to the co-precipitation of Mn$^{2+}$ onto hydrous manganese-iron oxide. However, the co-precipitation was still not clear that Mn$^{2+}$ ions can be eliminated both oxidation by aeration-KMnO$_4$ and co-precipitation on the hydrous oxide. However, sorption of Cd$^{2+}$ on the hydrous oxide was further investigated in this chapter.

Cadmium (Cd) is almost exclusively found as Cd$^{2+}$ ion which is a highly toxic environmental pollutant. It enters into groundwater either by natural means or through anthropogenic activities such as residual sludge and manure, fertilizers, plating and galvanizing industries (Spring, 2010). Distribution of Cd$^{2+}$ depends on the type of natural groundwater and conditions such as pH and ORP (Evanko and Dzombak, 1997; Takeno, 2005).

Concentration of Cd$^{2+}$ in groundwater has been reported as shown in Table 5.1. Its concentration is higher than the MCL allowed in drinking water. Cd$^{2+}$ and its compounds are extremely toxic even at low concentration causing immediate poisoning and liver damage (Pandey, Verma, Choubey, Pandey, and Chandrasekhar, 2008). Therefore, a suitable treatment method of groundwater is essential to produce safe drinking water.

A wide variety of methods such as ion exchange (Wang and Fthenakis, 2005), adsorption (Yadanaparthi, Graybill, and Wandruszka, 2009), precipitation and co-
precipitation (Mauchauffee, Meux, and Schneider, 2008) have been proposed for
removal of Cd$^{2+}$ from aqueous solution. Among those, co-precipitation on mixed
oxides such as clay minerals, iron and manganese oxides, and calcite has been widely
employed to remove Cd$^{2+}$ ions. In addition replacement of Ca$^{2+}$ in CaCO$_3$ by other
elements can occur to co-precipitation. For example, Cd$^{2+}$ can diffuse into CaCO$_3$ and
form cadmium carbonate (CdCO$_3$) (Selinus et al., 2005).

Based on the oxidation of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ (Eq. 2.1 and Eq. 2.2), the generated
MnO$_2$ and Fe(OH)$_3$ precipitates promised good adsorptive activity owing to their high
surface areas and the active surface hydroxyl groups (-OH) (Peacock and Sherman,
that MnO$_2$ particles could adsorb and immobilize Cd$^{2+}$, although the degree of
adsorption depended on conditions of water. For example, the amount of Cd$^{2+}$
sorption was higher at neutral pH than low pH because of competition between H$^+$
and Cd$^{2+}$ for the available adsorption sites. Likewise, the presence of Ca$^{2+}$ in solution
also resulted in lower degrees of Cd$^{2+}$ adsorption. In this chapter, the oxidation of
Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ by KMnO$_4$ and consequent adsorption or co-precipitation of Cd$^{2+}$ on
the precipitate oxide was proposed.

The aim of this study was to investigate removal of Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ in
synthetic groundwater using KMnO$_4$ as an oxidant. The synthetic groundwater was
prepared to contain Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ concentrations at 0.50, 0.50 and 0.01 mg/L.
The oxidation by aeration was first investigated and the amount of oxidant, KMnO$_4$, for
the remaining ions was determined. An optimum dose of KMnO$_4$ was studied to
minimize the obstruction from the excessive amount of the oxidant. Studied
parameters included oxidant dose, initial Cd$^{2+}$ concentration and effect of coexisting Ca$^{2+}$ and/or Mg$^{2+}$. The removal mechanisms of the removal of Cd$^{2+}$ with and without the coexisting ions were proposed by monitoring the pH variations. Particle charge was investigated by zeta potentiometer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groundwater sources</th>
<th>Concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>0.006-0.037</td>
<td>Sadiq and Alum, 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yucatan, Mexico</td>
<td>0.001-0.015</td>
<td>Spring, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logos, Nigeria</td>
<td>0.001-0.098</td>
<td>Momodu and Anyakora, 2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2 Experimental

5.2.1 Chemicals

Deionized water with resistivity of 18.90 MΩ was produced by Ruda Ultrapure Water system. The chemicals employed were similar to those reported in Chapter III and IV, except an additional chemical, cadmium nitrate tetrahydrate (Cd(NO$_3$)$_2$•4H$_2$O) which was obtained from Merck, Germany.

5.2.2 Experimental Methods

The synthetic groundwater was prepared with the method reported in Chapter III. Stock solutions of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ ions with a concentration of 1000 mg/L were prepared from MnCl$_2$•4H$_2$O and FeSO$_4$•7H$_2$O. A stock solution of Cd$^{2+}$ with the concentration of 200 mg/L was prepared from Cd(NO$_3$)$_2$•4H$_2$O. For the study of coexisting Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ ions, stock solutions of Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ with a concentration
of 4000 (100 mM) and 2430 mg/L (100 mM) were prepared from CaCl$_2$•4H$_2$O and MgCl$_2$•4H$_2$O, respectively.

5.2.3 Batch Study

The batch study was performed similarly to that in Chapter III. Control parameters included oxidant doses (0.603, 0.648, 0.824 and 0.878 mg/L), initial Cd$^{2+}$ ion concentrations (0.01, 0.025, 0.05 and 0.10 mg/L) and existing of Ca$^{2+}$ ion (4.0 mg/L), Mg$^{2+}$ (2.43 mg/L) and combined Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$. The solution pH was controlled at 8.0±0.1 which was according to the average pH value of groundwater in Taiwan (Annual report in groundwater quality in Changhua Water Treatment Plant, Taichung, Taiwan, 2008). The initial concentrations of Cd$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ ion were added according to the typical amount found in the natural groundwater (Nishimura and Umetsu, 2001; Hui, 2006). The reaction was investigated with total retention time of 60 min. The mixture was sampled every 15 min and separated by filtration with a 0.45 µm membrane. Each experiment was repeated three times and the results were averaged.

5.2.4 Effect of oxidant dose

To study an optimum dose of KMnO$_4$ reducing the Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ in the synthetic groundwater to the level below the MCL, the experiments were performed using different doses of KMnO$_4$, 0.603, 0.648, 0.824 and 0.878 mg/L. The oxidant doses of 0.603 and 0.648 mg/L were calculated according to the residual concentration of a single Mn$^{2+}$ system (0.314 mg/L) and dual Mn$^{2+}$ (0.314 mg/L) + Fe$^{2+}$ (0.048 mg/L) ions, respectively, after dual oxidation of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ by aeration. The doses of 0.824 and 0.878 mg/L were calculated according to the
remaining amount of Mn$^{2+}$ alone (0.429 mg/L) and Mn$^{2+}$ (0.429 mg/L) + Fe$^{2+}$ (0.058 mg/L) ions, respectively, after the oxidation of Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ by aeration.

5.2.5 Effect of initial Cd$^{2+}$ concentration

As shown in Table 5.1, the concentration of Cd$^{2+}$ in natural groundwater is fluctuated, thus sorption of Cd$^{2+}$ with different initial concentrations, 0.010, 0.025, 0.050 and 0.100 mg/L was studied.

5.2.6 Effect of coexisting ions

The concentrations of the coexisting ions were: Ca$^{2+}$, 4.0, 40.0 and 400.0 mg/L; Mg$^{2+}$, 2.4, 24.3 and 243.0 mg/L. These wide concentration ranges were studied because the amount of Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ are normally fluctuated depending on conditions in natural groundwater (Orzepowski and Pulikowski, 2008; Cotruvo and Bartram, 2009).

5.2.7 Characterization

The residual metal ions in the synthetic groundwater including Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ were determined using ICP-OES (Perkin Elmer DV 2000). The procedures of the analysis were done similarly to those in Chapter III. An ORP was recorded using an ORP meter (ORP 5041, Rocker).

To determine the surface charge of the precipitates, the colloidal solution produced during the reaction was collected after 60 min of the reaction and analyzed by Zeta potentiometer (Zetasizer Nano-ZS, Malvern Instrument).
5.3 Results and discussion

5.3.1 Effect of aeration

Aeration of synthetic groundwater containing Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ ions was initially investigated and the results are presented in Figure 5.1. The removal of Mn$^{2+}$ was consistently eradicated about 18% (0.429 mg/L remaining amount), while it was about 40% in dual Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ oxidation (see in Chapter IV). The removal of Fe$^{2+}$ in the system containing Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ was about 88% (0.058 mg/L remaining amount). This result indicated that Cd$^{2+}$ ion could obstruct the removal of Mn$^{2+}$ while its removal at 10% (0.009 mg/L remaining amount) was still above the MCL. Cd$^{2+}$ could be eliminated by adsorption or co-precipitation during the aeration (Wolthoorn, Temminghoff, Weng, and Riemsdijk, 2004). However, active sites of the produced Mn-Fe precipitates were not suitable to efficiently remove Mn$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ ions below the allowed level. Consequently, low-aeration combined with KMnO$_4$ is necessary to conduct to removal of Mn$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$. 
5.3.1 Average removal of Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ from the synthetic groundwater by aeration. The concentration of Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ was 0.50, 0.05 and 0.01 mg/L, respectively; pH 8.0; stirring speed 120 rpm.

5.3.2 Effect of aeration-oxidant dose

Oxidation of the remaining Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ ions by a minimum dose of KMnO$_4$ was studied. The percent removal of Mn$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ ions are reported in Fig 5.2. At 0.603 mg/L KMnO$_4$ and 15 min, the remaining concentration of Mn$^{2+}$ (0.073 mg/L 85.4% conversion, Figure 5.2a) and Cd$^{2+}$ (0.007 mg/L, 30% conversion, Figure 5.2b) were still higher than the MCL values. The removal efficiencies of Mn$^{2+}$
and Cd\textsuperscript{2+} increased about 66 and 20\%, respectively, from those of the oxidation by aeration. The result indicated that the oxidation by KMnO\textsubscript{4} effectively removed Mn\textsuperscript{2+} and Cd\textsuperscript{2+}. Considering only Mn\textsuperscript{2+} ion, the percent removal was lower than that of the dual Mn\textsuperscript{2+}-Fe\textsuperscript{2+} oxidation (see in Chapter IV), indicating that the existence of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} competed with the co-precipitation of Mn\textsuperscript{2+} ion by the forming oxides (Zaman, Mustafa, Khan, and Xing, 2009).

At 0.648 mg/L KMnO\textsubscript{4} after 15 min, the remaining concentration of Mn\textsuperscript{2+} (0.055 mg/L, 89\% conversion, Figure 5.2a) and Cd\textsuperscript{2+} (0.006 mg/L, 40\% conversion, Figure 5.2b) were still higher than the MCL. With increasing the oxidant doses, their removal efficiencies increased about 4 and 10\%, respectively higher than those of the oxidation with 0.603 mg/L KMnO\textsubscript{4}. The result could be ascribed to the different fractions of Mn and Fe oxidized by KMnO\textsubscript{4} and the properties of Mn-Fe precipitates formed in situ under different conditions. In this case, the increase of KMnO\textsubscript{4} dose increased the fraction of the Mn-Fe precipitates. As reported by Guan, Ma, Dong, and Jiang (2009), the increase of KMnO\textsubscript{4} doses enhanced the fraction of Fe in the precipitates produced during KMnO\textsubscript{4}-Fe\textsuperscript{2+} oxidation which could further improve removal of As\textsuperscript{3+}. 
Figure 5.2 Average removal of (a) Mn$^{2+}$ and (b) Cd$^{2+}$ from the synthetic groundwater by KMnO$_4$. The concentration of Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ was 0.50, 0.05 and 0.01 mg/L, respectively; pH 8.0; stirring speed 120 rpm.
Because the doses of 0.603 and 0.648 mg/L KMnO$_4$ were insufficient to oxidize Mn$^{2+}$ in these experimental conditions, the higher doses of KMnO$_4$ were applied to reduce Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ ions concentration to the level below the MCL.

At 0.824 mg/L KMnO$_4$, the removal efficiencies of Mn$^{2+}$ (99% conversion, Figure 5.2a) and Cd$^{2+}$ (70% conversion, Figure 5.2b) reached the requirement of the MCL within 15 min where the remaining amount of those ions were 0.005 mg/L and 0.003 mg/L, respectively.

At 0.878 mg/L KMnO$_4$, the removal efficiencies of Mn$^{2+}$ (99% conversion, Figure 5.2a) and Cd$^{2+}$ (60% conversion, Figure 5.2b) were achieved the MCL in 15 min where the remaining amount of those ions were 0.005 mg/L and 0.004 mg/L, respectively. After 45 min, removal efficiency of Cd$^{2+}$ decreased about 10% from that in the 0.824 mg/L KMnO$_4$. The excess amount of permanganate probably disturbs the removal of Cd$^{2+}$ ion. Also, Cd$^{2+}$ had weak interaction with the oxide and it could be released back to the water (Czupyrna, Maclean, Levy, and Gold, 1989). However, the overloaded KMnO$_4$ did not interfere the removal efficiency of Mn$^{2+}$ ion because it was completely eliminated.

From the results above, the optimum dose of KMnO$_4$ to eliminate Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ ions to the levels below the allowed level was 0.824 mg/L. The sufficient oxidation of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ by KMnO$_4$ led to the effective co-precipitation of Cd$^{2+}$ onto the Mn-Fe oxide.

5.3.3 Effect of initial Cd$^{2+}$ concentration

Based on the above results, the oxidation of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ ions by 0.824 mg/L KMnO$_4$ produced Mn-Fe oxides which could sorb Cd$^{2+}$ ion. To investigate the
maximum capacity of the oxides on the sorption of Cd$^{2+}$ ion, its initial concentration was varied between 0.01 and 0.10 mg/L using 0.824 mg/L KMnO$_4$ and pH 8.0. The results are illustrated in Table 5.2. The complete removal of Mn$^{2+}$ ion was observed in all cases. When the initial concentration was 0.01 and 0.025 mg/L, Cd$^{2+}$ was removed to the level below the MCL. At 0.05 and 0.10 mg/L, the remaining concentration of Cd$^{2+}$ was still higher than the permitted level. The results indicated that the surface saturation of the forming Mn-Fe oxides was dependent on the initial Cd$^{2+}$ concentrations. At low concentrations, 0.01 and 0.025 mg/L, sorption sites took up the available Cd$^{2+}$ more quickly. However, at higher concentrations, the sorption sites would be limited for Cd$^{2+}$ due to the saturation of the Mn-Fe oxide. Similar result was reported by Xu, Yang, and Yang (2010) who studied removal of Cd$^{2+}$ in water by manganese coagulant prepared from KMnO$_4$ and Na$_2$S$_2$O$_3$. The removal increased with the increase of the initial concentration, less than 0.8 mg/L. With increase the concentration, the removal efficiency decreased because of the saturation of the binding sites.

From the result above, the maximum removal efficiency of Cd$^{2+}$ was 92% with the initial concentration of 0.025 mg/L. The result supported the hypothesis that the sorption of Cd$^{2+}$ on the Mn-Fe oxide took place.
Table 5.2 Remaining concentration and average removal of Mn$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ with different initial concentrations of Cd$^{2+}$. The concentration of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ was 0.50 and 0.50 mg/L, respectively; 0.824 mg/L KMnO$_4$; pH 8.0; stirring speed 120 rpm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial Cd$^{2+}$ concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>Remaining Mn$^{2+}$ concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>Average Mn$^{2+}$ removal (%)</th>
<th>Remaining Cd$^{2+}$ concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>Average Cd$^{2+}$ removal (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3.4 Effect of coexisting Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$

The influence of Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ ions in the synthetic groundwater on the removal of Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ was studied using the oxidant dose of 0.824 mg/L KMnO$_4$ and pH 8.0. As reported in Chapter VI, the coexisting ions slightly disturb the removal of Mn$^{2+}$. Thus, the minimum amount of Ca$^{2+}$ (4.0 mg/L) and Mg$^{2+}$ (2.43 mg/L) were employed. The average removals of Mn$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ at 60 min are shown in Table 5.3.
Table 5.3 Remaining concentration and average removal of Mn$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ with different coexisting ions. The concentration of Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$, Cd$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ was 0.50, 0.50, 0.01, 4.0 and 2.43 mg/L, respectively; 0.824 mg/L KMnO$_4$; pH 8.0; stirring speed 120 rpm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coexisting ions</th>
<th>Remaining concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>Removal (%)</th>
<th>Remaining concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>Removal (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg$^{2+}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$ + Mg$^{2+}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The result was similar to the dual Mn$^{2+}$-Fe$^{2+}$ oxidation as reported in Chapter IV. Removal of Mn$^{2+}$ was complete in 60 min with the coexisting Ca$^{2+}$ and/or Mg$^{2+}$, indicating that the coexisting ions did not obstruct to the removal of Mn$^{2+}$.

Removal of Cd$^{2+}$ was 70%, similar to the condition without Ca$^{2+}$, meaning that the Ca$^{2+}$ did not interfere the co-precipitation of Cd$^{2+}$. Besides, the removal was 80% for the conditions with coexisting Mg$^{2+}$ and the both ions. The result can be explained that formation of calcium and/or magnesium carbonate precipitate in alkaline condition could entrap the residual ions such as As$^{3+}$, Mn$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$. 
In summary, the removal of Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ by oxidation with 0.824 mg/L KMnO$_4$ could favorably produce Mn-Fe precipitates that could further sorb Cd$^{2+}$ ion. They were removed even when Ca$^{2+}$ and/or Mg$^{2+}$ was co-existed.

5.3.5 Possible mechanisms of removal of Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$

As proposed in Chapter IV on removal of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ by aeration-KMnO$_4$, the predominant mechanism was the oxidation of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$, while sorption of the remaining Mn$^{2+}$ by the Mn-Fe oxides was not clear. Therefore, sorption between Cd$^{2+}$ ion and the forming Mn-Fe oxides during the oxidation of Fe$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ by aeration-KMnO$_4$ was proven. The study was performed without pH adjustment with initial pH of 8.0 and 0.824 mg/L KMnO$_4$. For the coexisting ions, Ca$^{2+}$ ion (4.0 mg/L) was selected because it behaved similarly to Mg$^{2+}$ in this study. Variations of solution pH, ORP and average removal of each metal ion were recorded as shown in Figure 5.3.

Without Ca$^{2+}$ (Figure 5.3a), the trend of pH after adding KMnO$_4$ was similar to dual Mn-Fe system. The possible mechanisms supported the dual removal of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ as proposed in Chapter IV that the pathways included oxidation of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ (Morgan and Stumm, 1964) and sorption of Cd$^{2+}$ on the hydrous Mn-Fe precipitates (Zaw and Chiswell, 1999; Davis and Leckie, 1978). The details are as follows.

Firstly, permanganate reacted rapidly with Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ ions, and produced MnO$_2$, Fe(OH)$_3$ and H$^+$ (Eq. 2.1 and Eq. 2.2). These results were consistent with the decrease of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ concentrations to the level below the MCL within 15 min and the increase of ORP from 254 mV to 300 mV. In addition, the pH of the
synthetic groundwater decreased rapidly after adding 0.824 mg/L KMnO$_4$. This result was reported by Corami, Mignardi, and Ferrini (2008) that the release of H$^+$ took place from the oxidation of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ and the sorption between the $≡$ (Fe-Mn)-OH and Cd$^{2+}$ according to Eq. 5.1.

\[≡ (\text{Fe-Mn})\text{-OH}_\text{(s)} + \text{Cd}^{2+}_\text{(aq)} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_\text{(l)} \leftrightarrow ≡ (\text{Fe-Mn})\text{-O-Cd-O}_\text{(s)} + 3\text{H}^+_\text{(aq)} \]  

(5.1)

Secondly, the sorption of Cd$^{2+}$ ion by the forming Mn-Fe precipitates could also occur, considering from the increased removal efficiency of Cd$^{2+}$ and ORP values after adding KMnO$_4$. After 15 min, the oxidation of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ was slow, which agreed the constant removal efficiencies of Mn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ at the same time Cd$^{2+}$ was constantly removed with 90% from 15 to 45 min and then dropped to 80%. This inferred that Cd$^{2+}$ had weak interaction with the Mn-Fe oxide, similar to another report (Czupyrna et al., 1989). Besides, Selinus et al. (2005) reported that Cd$^{2+}$ ions were not strongly retained on soil surfaces and they could be more readily available uptake by plants and is more easily leached down the soil than lead and copper.
Figure 5.3 (a) Variations of pH and ORP, (b) average removal of Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ from the synthetic groundwater by 0.824 mg/L KMnO$_4$. The concentration of Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ was 0.50, 0.05 and 0.01 mg/L, respectively; pH 8.0; stirring speed 120 rpm.
In the presence of coexisting Ca$^{2+}$ (Figure 5.4), the average removals of Mn$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ were similar to that without Ca$^{2+}$. A pH drop became lower than that in the condition without Ca$^{2+}$ ion (Figure 5.4a). The results referred that ion exchange of Ca$^{2+}$ ion and H$^{+}$ attached on the Mn-Fe oxide occurred, releasing additional H$^{+}$ ion to that from the oxidation (Buamah, Petrushevski, and Schippers, 2008). The ORP values increased from 340 to 370 mV. This result did not impede the removal efficiencies of Fe$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ (Figure 5.4b). For Ca$^{2+}$ concentration, it gradually decreased, and then became constant within 15 min. This result indicated that few Ca$^{2+}$ ions can bind with the precipitate without any displacement through the solution considering from the constant amount of Ca$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$. However, there was a report that percent binding of Ca$^{2+}$ on hydrous ferric oxide was higher than Cd$^{2+}$ under alkaline condition (Stumm, Sigg, and Sulzberger, 1992). Thus, Ca$^{2+}$ tends to be firstly interacted with the OH, and then released H$^{+}$ (Eq. 5.1). At the same time, Cd$^{2+}$ would substitute Ca$^{2+}$ ion and then release Ca$^{2+}$ ion through the water.
Figure 5.4 (a) Variations of pH and ORP, (b) average removal of Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ from the synthetic groundwater by 0.824 mg/L KMnO$_4$. The concentration of Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ was 0.50, 0.05 and 0.01 mg/L, respectively. The concentration of Ca$^{2+}$ was 4.0 mg/L; pH 8.0; stirring speed 120 rpm.
The above results supported the proposed mechanisms on the removal of Mn\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\) ions in the dual system. Mn\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\) ions can be mainly eliminated by oxidation with KMnO\(_4\) and Cd\(^{2+}\) ion was removed by sorption on the produced Mn-Fe precipitates.

### 5.4 Conclusions

The removal of Fe\(^{2+}\), Mn\(^{2+}\) and Cd\(^{2+}\) from synthetic groundwater by combination of aeration and KMnO\(_4\) was investigated. The removal by aeration alone was 18, 88 and 10%, respectively. The combination with KMnO\(_4\) decreased their concentration to the level lower than the MCL. The contamination of Cd\(^{2+}\) resulted in higher KMnO\(_4\) dose required. The Cd\(^{2+}\) concentration of 0.025 mg/L was the maximum sorption capacity for the Mn-Fe oxide. Our results supported a two step mechanism involved in the removal of Mn\(^{2+}\), Fe\(^{2+}\) and Cd\(^{2+}\) by KMnO\(_4\). Mn\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\) were mainly removed by oxidation reaction with KMnO\(_4\), and Cd\(^{2+}\) could be eliminated by sorption of Cd\(^{2+}\) on the Mn-Fe oxides. The coexisting Ca\(^{2+}\) or Mg\(^{2+}\) did not disturb the elimination of the metal ions.
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CHAPTER VI

MEMBRANE FOULING AND CLEANING IN PVDF
MICROFILTRATION OF PRECIPITATES OF
MANGANESE AND IRON FROM OXIDATION BY
POTASSIUM PERMANGANATE

Abstract

This chapter focuses on separation of the Mn-Fe oxide precipitates by PVDF membrane with a nominal pore size of 0.30 µm. The process involved aeration and addition of KMnO₄ in a synthetic groundwater containing Mn²⁺, Fe²⁺ and Cd²⁺ with the concentration of 0.50, 0.50 and 0.01 mg/L, respectively. The resulting precipitates were separated by dead-end PVDF microfiltration with pressures of 20, 35 and 50 kPa. Theoretical models were used to fit the flux obtained to propose the possible membrane fouling mechanisms. The types of membrane fouling could be a mixed blocking mechanism of the pores taken place with the predominance of cake filtration. The Mn-Fe oxide particles accumulated on the membrane were removed by several cleaning methods including backwashing, ultrasound and their combined methods. Cleaning by ultrasound for 1 min was the most effective method giving a maximum flux recovery of nearly 92% but its efficiency decreased with cleaning cycle. The combined methods did not improve the flux recovery.
6.1 Introduction

The removal of Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ from synthetic groundwater by oxidation using aeration and KMnO$_4$ was reported in Chapter V. The appropriate conditions including pH, oxidant dose and stirring speed to remove Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ to the level below MCL were obtained. Suspension of Mn-Fe oxide generated after the oxidation was further separated by microfiltration (MF) based on water purification process (American Water Work Association, 2002).

MF technology has recently become popular in groundwater treatment because it can effectively separate colloidal and suspended particles in the range of 0.05 to 10 µm. It closely resembles conventional coarse filtration or sieving (Nath, 2008). MF is an alternative method to solve the problems of using sand filtration in which excessive amount of precipitates tend to shorten filtration cycles. Besides, the filterability of Mn-Fe oxides is poor and process control may become difficult with raw water variations (Chae, Yamamura, Ijeda, and Watanabe, 2008).

There are two disadvantages of using MF in groundwater treatment. First, it is not able to remove dissolved inorganic species such as Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ directly but it exhibits good removal of oxidized inorganic species suspended in water (American Water Work Association, 2005; Adham, Chiu, Gramith, and Oppenheimer, 2005). Second, a long operation of MF for groundwater treatment results in loss of performance of a membrane due to deposition of suspended or dissolved substances on its external surface, at pore openings, or within pores. Eventually, membrane replacement is unavoidable, resulting in an increase in production cost of drinking
water. To solve the drawbacks, a combined chemical oxidation and MF was employed.

In previous works, a bench- and pilot-scale testing for removal of Mn\textsuperscript{2+} and Fe\textsuperscript{2+} by chemical oxidation followed by MF showed promising results. Schneider, Johns, and Huehmer (2001) studied removal of Mn\textsuperscript{2+} and Fe\textsuperscript{2+} from surface water using pre-oxidation with KMnO\textsubscript{4} or NaClO followed by MF. For KMnO\textsubscript{4}-MF, the removal efficiency of Mn\textsuperscript{2+} and Fe\textsuperscript{2+} was 70 and 98\%, respectively, while it was 29 and 98\% for NaClO-MF. Chen et al. (2011) investigated removal of Mn\textsuperscript{2+} and Fe\textsuperscript{2+} from groundwater using aeration, chlorine oxidation and MF. The removal efficiency of Mn\textsuperscript{2+} was 98\% in 2 weeks. However, long operation of MF results in severe membrane fouling and a decrease of permeate flux.

Several cleaning methods were investigated to reduce membrane fouling including backwashing (BW) with deionized (DI) water or air (Levesley and Hoare, 1999), sonication (Lim and Bai, 2003), chemical cleaning (Zhang and Liu, 2003) and a combination of the various cleaning methods (Lim and Bai, 2003). Among those methods, chemical cleaning gives some drawbacks such as generation of new waste solutions, high costs, operational aspects of chemical supply and handling problems. Membrane cleaning with BW of fouled Mn-Fe oxides was previously investigated (Chen et al., 2011) but there were no reports on membrane cleaning with ultrasound (US) and the combined BW and US.

The aim of this part was to study membrane fouling and cleaning efficiency of dead-end PVDF microfiltration fouled by Mn-Fe oxides which were oxidized by KMnO\textsubscript{4}. The synthetic groundwater consisted of Mn\textsuperscript{2+}, Fe\textsuperscript{2+} and Cd\textsuperscript{2+} with a
concentration of 0.5, 0.5 and 0.01 mg/L, respectively. The possible mechanism on membrane fouling was proposed by pore block models. Several cleaning methods including BW, US and their combined methods were evaluated. Digital microscopy was employed to examine morphology of the membrane.

6.2 Preparation of water with precipitates

The chemicals and experimental methods were performed similarly to those reported in Chapter V. A 6.0-L of Mn-Fe oxide suspension was prepared in a polypropylene tank reactor with total volume of 12.75 L instead of a Jar test. The pH was fixed at 8.0 and the reaction time was 60 min. The concentrations of remaining metal ions including Mn\(^{2+}\), Fe\(^{2+}\) and Cd\(^{2+}\), analyzed by ICP-OES, were below the MCL (0.05, 0.30 and 0.005 mg/L, respectively).

6.3 Microfiltration experiment

A dead-end MF unit was set up as shown in Figure 6.1. This setup comprised of a water reservoir tank, a MF unit and a data acquisition system. The reservoir was obtained from the oxidation as reported in the previous sections. MF membrane module was a PVDF which was prepared as shown in Figure 6.2. The membrane has moderate hydrophobicity, excellent durability, chemical and biological resistance (Li, Fane, Winston Ho, and Matuura, 2008). The membrane nominal pore size was 0.30 \(\mu\)m. The data acquisition system was connected on-line to the MF unit. The weight of water per time at a particular pressure was recorded using an electronic balance which was connected to a personal computer.
Prior to operation, the membrane sheet was cut to obtain an effective area of 0.549 m$^2$, submerged in propanol for 30 min to remove any stain, cleaned with DI water and put on a stainless steel holder. An ultrasonic probe was connected with the membrane holder and then submerged into the reservoir.

**Figure 6.1** Schematic diagram of membrane microfiltration system in a laboratory scale.

**Figure 6.2** Preparation of a PVDF membrane prior to the operation; (a) effective area of the membrane (b) cleaning of the membrane using proponol, (c) and (d) membrane holder and (e) membrane holder connected with US probe.
A preliminary flux of DI water was measured to wet the membrane, determine permeability and allow the permeate flux to stabilize. Subsequently, the reservoir obtained from the oxidation was filtered under a pressure from 20 to 50 kPa. The permeate flux of the suspended solution was calculated according to Eq. 6.1 and membrane fouling was further evaluated. Permeate flux is calculated according to Eq. 6.1.

\[ J = \frac{V}{A \times t} \]  

(6.1)

where \( J \) is permeate flux \( (L \cdot h^{-1} \cdot m^{-2}) \), \( V \) is volume of permeate \( (L) \), \( A \) is an effective area \( (m^2) \) of a membrane, and \( t \) is filtration time \( (h) \).

6.4 Membrane cleaning experiment

The MF was initially operated for 30 min where the permeate flux severely declined and then the fouled membrane was cleaned with pulse cleaning duration of 1 min and pulse interval of 20 min. The details were as follows:

6.4.1 Backwashing

BW was carried out in a flow direction opposite to MF by forcing air through the membrane at pressure higher than 20 kPa.

6.4.2 Ultrasound

A 20 kHz ultrasonic probe with a diameter tip of 12.7 mm (Misonix Sonicator, U.S.A) was used during membrane cleaning. A power output of ultrasound was 12±0.5 W for all experiments. A distance between membrane and ultrasonic probe was 20 mm. For the effect of US pulse duration, 1, 3, 5 and 7 min were applied.
6.4.3 Combined method

A combined cleaning between BW and US was investigated by different sequences, BW-US and US-BW. The pulse duration for each method was 1 min.

6.5 Analytical methods

The remaining concentration of $\text{Mn}^{2+}$, $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ and $\text{Cd}^{2+}$ was determined by ICP-OES (Perkin Elmer DV 2000). The morphology of the fresh, fouled and cleaned MF membranes was analyzed by a digital microscope (Hirox, KH-7700) with a magnification of 700x.

6.6 Theoretical background of membrane fouling mechanisms

Modeling the flux decline during MF provides a better understanding on membrane fouling and is useful to determine a proper condition for MF and membrane cleaning. Hermia (1982) proposed four empirical models to present membrane fouling mechanism in a dead-end filtration based on constant pressure: complete pore blocking (CPB), standard pore blocking (SPB), intermediate pore blocking (IPB) and cake filtration (CF). The mechanisms are shown in Figure 6.3.
The CPB occurs over the membrane surface and not inside the membrane pores when the sizes of the solute particles are greater than the size of membrane pores. The SPB hypothesizes that the particles enter the membrane pores and deposit over the pore walls due to the irregularity of pore passages, thereby reducing the membrane pore volume. This type of fouling is caused by particles smaller than the membrane pore size and pore blocking occurs inside the membrane pores. Therefore, the volumes of membrane pores decrease proportionally to the filtered permeate volume. IPB occurs when the solute particle size is similar to the membrane pore size. In this
model, it is assumed that a membrane pore is not necessarily blocked by the solute molecules and some particles may settle over others. Therefore, the un-blocked membrane surface area diminished with time and some molecules are expected to obstruct the membrane pore entrance without blocking the pore completely. CF corresponds to a scenario where particles larger than the average pore size accumulate on the membrane surface, thus forming a layer called “cake”. The cake grows with time and it acts as an additional porous barrier to the permeating liquid. The various pore blocking models can be mathematically described using the following linearized expressions (Hermia, 1982; Nath, 2008).

\[
\text{CPB: } \ln\left(\frac{J}{J_0}\right) = \ln\left(\frac{1}{J_0}\right) + K_b t
\]

\[
\text{SPB: } \frac{1}{J^{1/2}} = \frac{1}{J_0^{1/2}} + K_s t
\]

\[
\text{IPB: } \frac{1}{J} = \frac{1}{J_0} + K_i t
\]

\[
\text{CF: } \frac{1}{J^2} = \frac{1}{J_0^2} + K_c t
\]

where \(J_0\) is permeate flux of DI water though clean water, \(J\) is permeate flux of the suspended water over time and \(t\) is filtration time. \(K_b, K_s, K_i\) and \(K_c\) are system parameters relating to CPB, SPB, IPB and CF, respectively.

Eq. 6.2 to Eq. 6.5 can be employed to evaluate the effectiveness of various membrane cleaning methods in removing the different types of fouling. This can be done by fitting the laboratory experimental data collected form MF run after cleaning the membrane unit to those equations, and comparing the changes of the values in \(K_b\),
Ks, Ki and Kc obtained from the slope of the best fitting straight lines for the MF data before and after the membrane cleaning. The change in Kb, Ks, Ki and Kc could indicate the type of membrane fouling affected by the type of cleaning method.

In order to assess the degree of membrane fouling, the total resistance at different filtration pressures is determined by Eq. 6.6 (Kang and Choo, 2003; Schafer, Fane, and Waite, 2005).

\[ J = \frac{\Delta P}{\mu R_t} \]  

(6.6)

where \( J \) is permeate flux (m\(^3\) m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\)), \( \Delta P \) is trans-membrane pressure (Pa), \( \mu \) is the fluid viscosity (Pa·s) which is assumed to be DI water at 26°C (0.8796 × 10\(^{-3}\) Pa·s) (Chin, 2006) and \( R_t \) is total resistance (m\(^{-1}\)).

6.7 Results and discussion

6.7.1 Trend of flux decline at various filtration pressures

The permeate flux variation of feed suspension at different filtration pressures is shown in Figure 6.4. Permeate flux decreases with increase of filtration time considering in all cases because of deposition of Mn-Fe oxide particles on PVDF membrane. Moreover, the flux increases with increase in filtration pressure from 20 to 50 kPa because the increase of filtration pressure enhances driving force across the membrane reducing adsorption of permeating molecules on the walls of the membrane pores. Similar results were reported by Yuan, Kocic, and Zydney (2002) in a study in which humic substance in water was separated by a polycarbonate membrane with the pore size of 0.2 µm. The initial flux increased linearly with pressure, varying from 2.1
$10^{-4}$ m/s at 6.9 kPa to $16 \times 10^{-4}$ m/s at 55 kPa. The flux remained greater at the higher pressure throughout the filtration period, although the rate of flux decline also increased with the increasing pressure.

![Figure 6.4](image_url)  

**Figure 6.4** Variation of permeate flux as a function of time at different filtration pressures.

Based on Eq. 6.6, total resistance (Rt) at different filtration pressures was determined to judge on the degree of membrane fouling. The results are shown in Figure 6.5. At 20 kPa, Rm increases from $17 \times 10^{12}$ to $28 \times 10^{12}$ m$^{-1}$ in 90 min. When
increased the pressure to 35 and 50 kPa, Rt decreased linearly and was significantly different from that of 20 kPa. Nandi, Das, Uppaluri, and Purkait (2009) mentioned that the higher filtration pressure enables lower adsorption of the Mn-Fe oxide particles of membrane pores owing to higher liquid velocity through the pores. Besides, due to greater driving force, some of the blocked pores get cleaned by permeate liquid. As a result, the fouling behavior could not be accurately described because of the fast filtration period. Therefore, further investigation was conducted at filtration pressure of 20 kPa to follow membrane fouling during MF.

Figure 6.5 Effect of filtration pressure on total resistance (Rt).
6.7.2 Membrane fouling mechanism

To evaluate possible fouling mechanisms on the membrane surface and into its porous structure, the permeate flux obtained at filtration pressure of 20 kPa was fitted with the pore block models. The results are presented in Figure 6.6 and the parameters, $K_b$, $K_s$, $K_i$ and $K_c$, calculated from the models are shown in Table 6.1.

**Figure 6.6** Linearized plot of permeate flux as a function of time for different pore blocking models for the MF of suspended Mn-Fe oxide at 20 kPa; (a) CPB, (b) SPB, (c) IPB and (d) CF.
The graph can be divided into two segments. The first segment was from the beginning to 0.2 h which was due to the membrane blockings, while the second one can be explained by the cake filtration model. The second stage occurred because of the accumulation of the precipitates.

**Table 6.1** Summary of parameters associated to various pore blocking models at 20 kPa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CPB</th>
<th>SPB</th>
<th>IPB</th>
<th>CF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(K_b)</td>
<td>0.3009</td>
<td>0.1505</td>
<td>4.7337</td>
<td>150.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R^2)</td>
<td>0.9269</td>
<td>0.9422</td>
<td>0.9551</td>
<td>0.9739</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown in Table 6.1, the highest correlation coefficient \((R^2)\) was observed in CF suggesting that cake formation provided the best fit to represent the decline of permeate flux. However, the values of \(R^2\) from all models were more or less similar indicating that fouling was from a mixed pore blocking mechanism with the predominance of cake filtration during the membrane filtration (Koneiczny and Rafa, 2002). This mechanism could be supported by the magnitude of \(K_b\), \(K_s\), \(K_i\) and \(K_c\) in which the Mn-Fe oxide particles could cause severe fouling mainly by depositing and forming cake layer.

The transition from pore blocking to cake formation observed in this study was in agreement with the observation by others (Nandi, Moparthi, Uppaluri, and Purkait, 2009; Lim and Bai, 2003). Nandi et al. (2009) studied a modeling of separation of oil-in-water emulsions using low cost ceramic membrane. They reported
that cake filtration was the best model to represent the fouling phenomena. Lim and Bai (2003) employed a hollow fiber PVDF membrane with a pore size of 0.1 µm for activated sludge wastewater treatment. The predominant fouling mechanisms were initial pore blocking followed by cake filtration. However, it was not possible to determine when one dominant fouling mechanism changed to another (Arnot, Field, and Koltuniewicz, 2000).

It should be mentioned that flux decline caused by several fouling mechanisms of suspended Mn-Fe oxide continuously took place with cake filtration as a main phenomenon. This finding is used to evaluate membrane cleaning efficiency of each type of fouling.

6.7.3 Membrane cleaning

6.7.3.1 Membrane cleaning by different methods

The variation of permeate flux with different cleaning methods is demonstrated in Figure 6.7. The average initial flux decreased from 5.238 to 3.639 L h\(^{-1}\) m\(^{2}\) with about 30% decline. After applying cleaning methods, the flux during each filtration cycle was recovered as the reversible fouling was removed. However, the flux was not recovered completely as a result of irreversible fouling (Listiarini, Chun, Sun, and Leckie, 2009). The suitable condition for membrane cleaning is necessary.
Figure 6.7 Permeate flux as a function of time at different methods; 1 min pulse duration; 20 min pulse interval.
Table 6.2 Summary of percent flux recovery after cleaning by different methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>US duration (min)</th>
<th>1st cleaning</th>
<th>2nd cleaning</th>
<th>3rd cleaning</th>
<th>4th cleaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BW</td>
<td>73.88</td>
<td>70.06</td>
<td>61.85</td>
<td>56.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>91.64</td>
<td>85.34</td>
<td>79.04</td>
<td>70.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW-US</td>
<td>80.18</td>
<td>70.06</td>
<td>67.39</td>
<td>57.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-BW</td>
<td>67.96</td>
<td>60.33</td>
<td>57.85</td>
<td>55.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.2 shows the percentage of flux recovery after each cycle with different cleaning methods. In case of BW, flux recovery was achieved at 73.88% at the initial cycle and then continuously decreased to 56.70% at the fourth cleaning cycle. The decrease of flux recovery could be explained by the fact that Mn-Fe oxide particles generated after oxidation were responsible for pore blocking during MF (Choo, Lee, and Choi, 2005). The fouling during BW took place because of the blocking from the particles grown after passing through the pores resulting in a lower cleaning efficiency. US was the most effective method with the initial flux recovery of 91.64%. It should be mentioned that Mn-Fe particles blocked inside the PVDF pores could be removed effectively with ultrasound unlike backwashing. The effectiveness of US cleaning was reported by several researchers. Li, Sanderson, and Jacobs (2002) reported that US-associated cleaning was useful for nylon MF membranes fouled by Kraft paper mill effluent. US removed the formed layer of solute from the membrane.
due to physical cleaning (Lorimer and Mason, 1990). In case of BW-US, the highest flux recovery of 80.18% was achieved at an initial cycle and dropped to 57.85% at 4th cleaning cycle. It was observed that the flux recovery was almost similar to that of BW, indicating that the combination of BW followed by US was helpless in this condition. The result was obviously observed in US-BW cleaning where the flux recovery was the lowest with the initial recovery of 67.96%.

Based on the fouling mechanism mentioned previously, a mixed mechanism, certain forms at least pore blocking were not effectively removed by BW, which leads to the flux decline after each cleaning cycle. In contrast, US could remove effectively most types of fouling. Therefore, effect of US duration was further investigated.

6.7.3.2 Membrane cleaning by US with different US durations

To study influence of ultrasound on the membrane cleaning, the experiments were conducted at different pulse durations. The results are presented in Figure 6.8. In overall observations, the average initial flux decreases from 5.238 to 3.639 L h⁻¹ m⁻² in 30 min of MF. After applying US with 1, 3 and 5 min of durations, the permeate flux was recovered with the flux of 4.800, 4.450 and 3.890 L h⁻¹ m⁻², respectively, while exposure of US at 7 min did not recover the flux. The results can be explained from their flux recovery.
Table 6.3 shows the percentage of flux recovery with different pulse durations after each cleaning cycle. At 1 min, the initial flux recovery was 91.64% and continuously dropped with the increase of cleaning cycle. Further increase in the ultrasonic duration to 3, 5 and 7 min decreased the flux recovery. The results can be explained according to Lim and Bai (2003) who studied membrane cleaning of PVDF fouled by activated sludge using sonication. The experiment was performed in a sonication bath at a frequency of 42 kHz. The highest flux recovery of 87.5% was obtained with sonication time of 10 min. Further increase in the sonication duration to
15 and 20 min did not improve the flux recovery. The long sonication durations reduced the percentage of flux recovery, while short duration of 5 min was not efficient in cleaning the membrane. The effect of ultrasonic duration is illustrated in Figure 6.9. The long pulse duration produces small particles through the impact of the ultrasonic energy. Then, the small particles could block on the membrane pore which decreases permeate flux and flux recovery. Besides, US could increase membrane resistance and change texture of the PVDF membrane, leading to decrease of permeate flux and membrane cleaning efficiency. Muthukumaran et al. (2004) studied effect of US on the permeate flux of fouled polysulfonate ultrafiltration membrane. The results indicated that the US radiation was very effective in removal of protein foulants and increased permeate flux after fouling with the sonication time of 10 min. The short burst of US power can be used as a cost-effective method of cleaning.

Table 6.3 Summary of percent flux recovery after cleaning by ultrasound at different pulse durations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>US duration (min)</th>
<th>1st cleaning cycle</th>
<th>2nd cleaning cycle</th>
<th>3rd cleaning cycle</th>
<th>4th cleaning cycle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>91.64</td>
<td>85.34</td>
<td>79.04</td>
<td>70.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>84.96</td>
<td>83.37</td>
<td>81.02</td>
<td>74.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>74.26</td>
<td>68.11</td>
<td>62.24</td>
<td>55.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>58.71</td>
<td>54.02</td>
<td>50.88</td>
<td>47.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.7.4 Characterization of the membrane

To study efficiency of different cleaning methods on fouling layer of PVDF membrane, the images obtained from the digital microscope of fresh, fouled and cleaned membranes were recorded. The results are shown in Figure 6.10.

The image of fresh membrane surface (Figure 6.7a) shows the typical membrane surface. After 90 min of the MF operation at 20 kPa, the brown oxide particles deposited and distributed on the membrane surface (Figure 6.7b). After cleaning by US for 1 min, the fouling particles were completely removed, resulting in an increase of flux recovery, while the brown layer particles left on the membrane surface (Figure 6.7d) after cleaning by BW for 1 min. The results agreed with the flux recovery discussed above.
Figure 6.10 Images obtained from digital microscope showing the surfaces of (a) fresh membrane, (b) fouled membrane, (c) used membrane after cleaning by US and (d) used membrane after cleaning by BW.

6.8 Conclusions

The membrane fouling and cleaning efficiency of PVDF microfiltration fouled by manganese-iron oxides were investigated. The suspended manganese-iron oxides decreased permeate flux throughout the filtration time. The types of membrane fouling were attributed to a mixed blocking mechanism of the pores taken place with the predominance of cake filtration. Ultrasonic cleaning was the most effective with the initial flux recovery of about 92% under 1 min of US duration. Long exposure of
ultrasound caused lower effective on membrane cleaning. The combined methods did not improve flux recovery.

6.9 References


7.1 Conclusions

Proper conditions to remove Mn\(^{2+}\), Fe\(^{2+}\) and Cd\(^{2+}\) from synthetic groundwater to the level below the MCL using combined aeration and KMnO\(_4\) was successfully obtained. The partial removal of Mn\(^{2+}\) and Cd\(^{2+}\) and nearly complete removal of Fe\(^{2+}\) by bare aeration was observed in all systems. The aeration decreased the consumption of KMnO\(_4\) needed for the oxidation.

In single system consisting of Mn\(^{2+}\) ions, pH, oxidant dose and stirring speed were important parameters. The pH of 8.0, stirring speed of 120 rpm and oxidant dose of 0.96 mg/L KMnO\(_4\) were the optimum condition. The main composition of the precipitates was MnO\(_2\). At the pH 9.0, although the KMnO\(_4\) dose was insufficient, further removal of Mn\(^{2+}\) was observed because of adsorption on MnO\(_2\) particles.

In dual system consisting of Mn\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\), the minimum concentration of KMnO\(_4\) of 0.603 mg/L was introduced to oxidize the Mn\(^{2+}\) to the level below the MCL. The presence of Fe\(^{2+}\) was improved the removal of Mn\(^{2+}\). Characterization of the resulting precipitates using digital microscope and EDX proved the formation of the oxides. The presence of Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) as well as alum addition slightly obstructed the removal of Mn\(^{2+}\). The possible removal mechanism of Mn\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\) was oxidation and sorption on the oxide precipitate.
In a triple system containing Mn\textsuperscript{2+}, Fe\textsuperscript{2+} and Cd\textsuperscript{2+}, the contamination of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} required a higher KMnO\textsubscript{4} dose than the dual system to remove all the ions to the level below MCL. The results suggested a two-step mechanism in the removal of Mn\textsuperscript{2+}, Fe\textsuperscript{2+} and Cd\textsuperscript{2+} by KMnO\textsubscript{4}. Mn\textsuperscript{2+} and Fe\textsuperscript{2+} were mainly removed by oxidation with KMnO\textsubscript{4} whereas Cd\textsuperscript{2+} could be eliminated by sorption on the Mn-Fe oxides. The removal of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} was via sorption on Mn-Fe precipitates and the Cd\textsuperscript{2+} concentration of 0.025 mg/L was the maximum sorption capacity for the Mn-Fe oxide. The presence of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} or Mg\textsuperscript{2+} did not disturb the elimination of the metal ions.

In membrane microfiltration, the suspended manganese-iron oxides decreased permeate flux throughout the filtration time. The types of membrane fouling were attributed to a mixed blocking mechanism of the pores taken place with the predominance of cake filtration. Ultrasonic cleaning was the most effective and long exposure of ultrasound caused lower efficiency of membrane cleaning. Lastly, the combined methods between ultrasound and backwashing did not improve the flux recovery.

7.2 Recommendation for future work

Information from this work should be used further to remove Mn\textsuperscript{2+}, Fe\textsuperscript{2+} and Cd\textsuperscript{2+} in a larger scale and a continuous operation in the water treatment plant.

The effect of some interference such as nitrate, ammonia, fluoride, hydrogen sulfide and other organic compounds should be individually determined using the obtained optimum condition.
APPENDIX A

Eh AND pH DIAGRAMS OF MANGANESE, IRON AND CADMIUM
Figure A-1 The Eh-pH diagram of iron at 25°C and 0.987 atm.
Figure A-2 The Eh-pH diagram of manganese at 25°C and 0.987 atm.
**Figure A-3** The Eh-pH diagram of cadmium at 25°C and 0.987 atm.
APPENDIX B

SURFACE MORPHOLOGY OF MEMBRANE OBTAINED FROM DIGITAL MICROSCOPE
Figure B-1 Images obtained from digital microscope showing the surfaces of fouled membrane at pressure of 20 kPa.
Figure B-2 Images obtained from digital microscope showing the surfaces of fouled membrane at pressure of 35 kPa.
Figure B-3 Images obtained from digital microscope showing the surfaces of fouled membrane at pressure of 50 kPa.
APPENDIX C
MICROFILTRATION SYSTEM
Figure C-1  Schematic diagram of laboratory scale of membrane microfiltration system
(a) water reservoir tank (b) a MF unit and (c) electric balance connected with computer.
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