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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

Almost all important governing equations in physics take the form of nonlinear differential equations, and, in general, are very difficult to solve explicitly. While solving problems related to nonlinear ordinary differential equations it is often expedient to simplify equations by a suitable change of variables. One of the fundamental methods of solving relies upon the transformation of a given equation to another equation of standard form. The transformation may be to an equation of equal order or of greater or lesser order. In particular, the possibility that a given equation could be linearized, i.e., transformed to a linear equation, was a most attractive proposition due to the special properties of linear differential equations. The reduction of an ordinary differential equation to a linear ordinary differential equation besides simplification allows constructing an exact solution of the original equation. Analytical (exact) solution has value, firstly, as an exact description of a real process in the framework of a given model; secondly, as a model to compare various numerical methods; thirdly, as a basis to improve the models used. Therefore, the linearization problem plays a significant role in the nonlinear problem.

Many of the classical methods for solving ordinary differential equations work by applying a change of variables to produce another equation with known solutions. The simplest form of a differential equation is a linear form. It is of interest to provide general criteria for the linearizability of nonlinear ordinary differential equations, as they can then be reduced to easily solvable equations. Linearization criteria via invertible transformations for ordinary differential equa-
tions have been of great interest and have been dealt with by many authors over the years.

The linearization problem studied in the thesis can be stated as follows: find a change of variables such that a transformed equation becomes a linear equation. If the change of variables includes derivatives, this change is called a tangent transformation. If the change of variables only depends on the independent and dependent variables, then this change is called a point transformation. A tangent transformation, that is defined by the change of the independent, dependent variables and the first-order partial derivatives, is called a contact transformation. Point transformations are the simplest type of transformations compared with tangent transformations. This thesis studies linearization problem by using point and contact transformations.

### 1.1 Short Historical Review

The problem of linearization of ordinary differential equations has a long history*. It attracted attention of mathematicians such as S. Lie and E. Cartan. The first linearization problem for ordinary differential equations was solved by Lie (1883). He found the general form of all ordinary differential equations of second order that can be reduced to a linear equation by changing the independent and dependent variables. He showed that any linearizable second-order equation should be at most cubic in the first-order derivative and provided a linearization test in terms of its coefficients ${ }^{\dagger}$. The linearization criterion is written through relative invariants of the equivalence group. Liouville (1889) and Tresse (1896) treated the equivalence problem for second-order ordinary differential equations in terms of

[^0]relative invariants of the equivalence group of point transformations.
Lie also noted that all second-order ordinary differential equations can be mapped to each other by means of contact transformations ${ }^{\ddagger}$, and that this is not so for third-order ordinary differential equations. Hence, the linearization problem using contact transformations becomes interesting for ordinary differential equations of order greater than two.

There are other approaches for solving the linearization problem of a secondorder ordinary differential equation. For example, one was developed by Cartan (1924). The idea of his approach was to associate with every differential equation a uniquely defined geometric structure of a certain form. Another approach makes use of the generalized Sundman transformation (Durate, Moreira and Santos, 1994).

Cartan's approach was further applied by Chern (1940) to third-order ordinary differential equations. He obtained conditions for a third-order ordinary differential equation to be equivalent to the equations $u^{\prime \prime \prime}=0$ and $u^{\prime \prime \prime}+u=0$. In his work, the conditions for linearization are given in terms of geometric invariants of contact transformations and do not provide practical methods for determining linearizing transformations. In 1993, Bocharov, Sokolov and Svinolupov considered the linearization problem with respect to point transformations. Grebot (1997) studied the linearization of third-order ordinary differential equations by means of a restricted class of point transformations, namely $t=\varphi(x), u=\psi(x, y)$. However, the problem was not completely solved. Complete criteria for linearization by means of point transformations were obtained in (Ibragimov and Meleshko, 2005). Linearization with respect to contact transformations was studied in a series of articles [(Bocharov et al., 1993), (Doubrov, 2001), (Doubrov, Komrakov

[^1]and Morimoto, 1999), (Gusyatnikova and Yumaguzhin, 1999)]. The solutions of the linearization problem were given in (Neut and Petitot, 2002) and (Ibragimov and Meleshko, 2005). Conditions for equivalence with an arbitrary linear equation were announced in (Neut and Petitot, 2002), but the procedure for obtaining linearizing transformations were not given. In (Ibragimov and Meleshko, 2005), the explicit form of the criteria for linearization and the procedure for the construction of the linearizing transformation are presented.

The linearization problem for a third-order ordinary differential equation was also investigated with respect to the generalized Sundman transformation [(Berkovich, 1999), (Euler, Wolf, Leach and Euler, 2003)]:

$$
u(t)=F(x, y), \quad d t=G(x, y) d x
$$

Criteria for a third-order ordinary differential equation to be equivalent to the linear equation

$$
u^{\prime \prime \prime}=0
$$

with respect to the Sundman transformation were presented in (Euler et al., 2003).
The main difficulty in solving the linearization problem comes from the large number of complicated calculations. Because of this difficulty, there are only a few attempts to solve this problem for equation of orders higher than three. In (Dridi and Neut, 2005) Cartan's method was used for a particular linearization problem of fourth-order ordinary differential equation under contact transformations. As the result, conditions for a fourth-order ordinary differential equation to be equivalent to the trivial equation $u^{(4)}=0$ were obtained ${ }^{\S}$. It is worth noting that application of contact transformations is more complicated than application of point transformations.

[^2]
### 1.2 Results Obtained in Thesis

The aim of this thesis is to obtain complete criteria for fourth-order ordinary differential equations to be linearizable by point and contact transformations. For solving the problem in thesis, compatibility theory was used. Any study of compatibility requires a large amount of symbolic calculations. These calculations consist of consecutive algebraic operations: prolongation of a system, substitution of some expressions, and the determination of ranks of matrices. Because these operations are very labor intensive, it is necessary to use a computer for symbolic calculations. Here we use symbolic calculation Reduce (Hearn, 1987).

Our motivation for considering the linearization problem is to map a known solution of an ordinary differential equation to solution of a linear ordinary differential equation, thus allowing a systematic use of collections of solved linear ordinary differential equations.

As shown in (Ibragimov and Meleshko, 2005) for third-order ordinary differential equations, two sets (the set of equations linearizable by point transformations and the set of equations linearizable by contact transformations) are complement to each other, but we found that for fourth-order ordinary differential equations, two sets are disjoint. This is one of the interesting results obtained for studying the linearization problem by point and contact transformations.

Other attractions of the study fourth-order ordinary differential equations are the following. Many systems of two second-order ordinary differential equations ${ }^{\|}$can be reduced to a fourth-order ordinary differential equation. Hence, the linearization criteria obtained for fourth-order ordinary differential equations can

[^3]be also applied to such type of systems.
It is worth mentioning that among the examples we find well-known equations such as those describing traveling waves of the generalized shallow water wave equation and one class of nonlinear fourth-order partial differential equations.

The study of fourth-order ordinary differential equations allowed us to develop the method for obtaining necessary conditions of linearization of ordinary differential equations of any order greater than four.

The thesis is organized as follows. In chapter II, the background knowledge and the main tools for solving linearization problem are introduced. In chapter III, we consider the criteria for fourth-order ordinary differential equations to be linearizable by point transformations. We show that all fourth-order equations that are linearizable by point transformations are contained in the class of equations which are linear in the third-order derivative. We provide the linearization test and describe the procedure for obtaining the linearizing transformations and the formulae for coefficients of resulting linear equations. For ordinary differential equations of order greater than four we obtain necessary conditions, which separate all linearizable equations into two classes. Illustrative examples and linearization of traveling waves of partial differential equation are provided in the subsequent sections. Application of the linearization theorem to one class of systems with two second-order ordinary differential equations are given. In chapter IV, the linearization via contact transformations for fourth-order ordinary differential equations are presented. We show that all fourth-order ordinary differential equations that are linearizable by contact transformations are contained in the class of equations which are at most quadratic in the third-order derivative. The main results of this chapter are studied in a similar manner as in chapter III. The conclusion of the thesis is presented in the last chapter. For the sake of simplicity
of reading, cumbersome formulae, additional calculations and some material for review are presented in the Appendices.

## CHAPTER II

## PRELIMINARY BACKGROUND

In this chapter, we introduce some elementary knowledge that is used throughout the thesis. The main tools for solving the linearization problem are provided.

### 2.1 Tangent Transformations

Let us consider the transformations of the independent, dependent variables and their derivatives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{x}=f(x, u, p), \quad \tilde{u}=\phi(x, u, p), \quad \tilde{p}=\psi(x, u, p) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ is a multi-index, $p$ is the vector of the partial derivatives $p_{\alpha}=\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} u}{\partial x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \partial x_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \ldots \partial x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}}$. For the multi-index $\alpha$ the following notations are used $|\alpha|=$ $\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}$ and $\alpha, j=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_{j}+1, \alpha_{j+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$.

Remark 2.1. Functions $f, \phi$ and $\psi$ in equations (2.1) are always assumed to be sufficiently many times continuously differentiable.

The transformations (2.1) are prolonged to the differentials $d x, d u, d p$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \tilde{x}_{i} & =\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{l}} d x_{l}+\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial u} d u+\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial p_{\alpha}} d p_{\alpha} \\
d \tilde{u} & =\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{l}} d x_{l}+\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u} d u+\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial p_{\alpha}} d p_{\alpha} \\
d \tilde{p}_{\gamma} & =\frac{\partial \psi_{\gamma}}{\partial x_{l}} d x_{l}+\frac{\partial \psi_{\gamma}}{\partial u} d u+\frac{\partial \psi_{\gamma}}{\partial p_{\alpha}} d p_{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, the index $\gamma$ is a multi-index.

Definition 2.1. Transformation (2.1) is called a tangent transformation if it preserves the tangent conditions

$$
d u-p_{i} d x_{i}=0, \quad d p_{\gamma}-p_{\gamma, i} d x_{i}=0
$$

If the functions $\phi(x, u, p)$ and $f_{i}(x, u, p),(\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n})$ do not depend on the derivatives, then such a transformation is called a point transformation. A tangent transformation which is not a point transformation, that is defined by the transformation* of the independent, dependent variables and the first-order partial derivatives, is called a contact transformation. Point and contact transformations play a special role among all tangent transformations. Their role is explained by the Bäcklund theorem, which states that if in a tangent transformation one can find a closed system ${ }^{\dagger}$, then such transformation is a prolongation of point or contact transformation.

As in this thesis we apply point and contact transformations to fourth-order ordinary differential equations, let us discuss them in more detail, in the case of ordinary differential equations.

Definition 2.2. A transformation

$$
\begin{align*}
& t=\varphi(x, y),  \tag{2.2}\\
& u=\psi(x, y),
\end{align*}
$$

is called a point transformation.

[^4]Definition 2.3. A transformation

$$
\begin{align*}
& t=\varphi(x, y, p) \\
& u=\psi(x, y, p)  \tag{2.3}\\
& s=g(x, y, p)
\end{align*}
$$

where $p=y^{\prime}=\frac{d y}{d x}$ is called a contact transformation if it obeys the contact condition

$$
s=u^{\prime}=\frac{d u}{d t}
$$

### 2.2 Mapping of Derivatives in Point Transformations

In general, let us analyze an $i$ th-order ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(i)}=f\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, y^{(i-1)}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We apply a point transformation (2.2) to equation (2.4). First of all, it has to change $y(x)$ to $u(t)$. Assume that we know the solution of equation (2.4), i.e.,

$$
y=y(x)
$$

To obtain the transformed function $u(t)$, start with the equation

$$
t=\varphi(x, y(x))
$$

Notice that we require the Jacobian

$$
\Delta=\frac{\partial(t, u)}{\partial(x, y)}=\frac{\partial(\varphi, \psi)}{\partial(x, y)}=\varphi_{x} \psi_{y}-\varphi_{y} \psi_{x} \neq 0
$$

Since $\varphi^{\prime}(x, y(x))=\varphi_{x}+y^{\prime} \varphi_{y}$ is continuous (as $\varphi$ is assumed to be continuous differentiable) and $\Delta(\varphi(x, y(x)))=\varphi_{x}+y^{\prime} \varphi_{y} \neq 0$ then by virtue of the Inverse Function Theorem one finds

$$
x=\alpha(t) .
$$

Thus, one obtains

$$
u(t)=\psi(\alpha(t), y(\alpha(t)))
$$

Now one needs to transform the derivatives. The first-order derivative is transformed by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t)=\frac{d u}{d t}=\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \frac{d \alpha}{d t}+\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \frac{d y}{d x} \frac{d \alpha}{d t}=\left(\psi_{x}+y^{\prime} \psi_{y}\right) \frac{d \alpha}{d t} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $t=\varphi(\alpha(t), y(\alpha(t)))$ then

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d t}{d t} & =\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \frac{d \alpha}{d t}+\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} \frac{d y}{d x} \frac{d \alpha}{d t} \\
1 & =\left(\varphi_{x}+y^{\prime} \varphi_{y}\right) \frac{d \alpha}{d t} \\
\frac{d \alpha}{d t} & =\frac{1}{\left(\varphi_{x}+y^{\prime} \varphi_{y}\right)} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting equation (2.6) into equation (2.5), one obtains

$$
u^{\prime}(t)=\frac{\psi_{x}+y^{\prime} \psi_{y}}{\varphi_{x}+y^{\prime} \varphi_{y}}=\frac{D \psi}{D \varphi}=\psi_{1}\left(x, y(x), y^{\prime}(x)\right)
$$

Notice that $D=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+y^{\prime} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+y^{\prime \prime} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\prime}}+\cdots$ is the total derivative with respect to $x$.
So that the first prolongation of transformation (2.2) is $u^{\prime}=\psi_{1}\left(x, y, y^{\prime}\right)$.
Next, we find the transformation of second-order derivative. Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
u^{\prime \prime}(t) & =\frac{d^{2} u}{d t^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\partial \psi_{1}}{\partial x} \frac{d \alpha}{d t}+\frac{\partial \psi_{1}}{\partial y} \frac{d y}{d x} \frac{d \alpha}{d t}+\frac{\partial \psi_{1}}{\partial y^{\prime}} \frac{d y^{\prime}}{d x} \frac{d \alpha}{d t} \\
& =\left(\psi_{1 x}+y^{\prime} \psi_{1_{y}}+y^{\prime \prime} \psi_{\left.1_{y^{\prime}}\right)} \frac{d \alpha}{d t}\right. \\
& =\frac{\psi_{1 x}+y^{\prime} \psi_{1 y}+y^{\prime \prime} \psi_{1 y^{\prime}}}{\varphi_{x}+y^{\prime} \varphi_{y}} \\
& =\frac{D \psi_{1}}{D \varphi} \\
& =\psi_{2}\left(x, y(x), y^{\prime}(x), y^{\prime \prime}(x)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that the second prolongation of transformation (2.2) is $u^{\prime \prime}=\psi_{2}\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right)$.
Similarly, one finds

$$
u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)=\frac{d^{3} u}{d t^{3}}=\frac{D \psi_{2}}{D \varphi}=\psi_{3}\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)
$$

$$
u^{(4)}(t)=\frac{d^{4} u}{d t^{4}}=\frac{D \psi_{3}}{D \varphi}=\psi_{4}\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime \prime}, y^{(4)}\right)
$$

In general, one can write

$$
u^{(k+1)}(t)=\frac{d^{k+1} u}{d t^{k+1}}=\frac{D \psi_{k}}{D \varphi}=\psi_{k+1}\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime \prime}, \ldots, y^{(k+1)}\right), \quad(k=0,1,2, \ldots)
$$

Notice that $\psi_{0}=\psi$.

### 2.3 Mapping of Derivatives in Contact Transformations

Let $y(x)$ be the solution of equation (2.4). Applying a contact transformation (2.3) to equation (2.4), the transformed function $u(t)$ is found from the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t=\varphi(x, y(x), p(x)) \\
& u=\psi(x, y(x), p(x))
\end{aligned}
$$

By virtue of the Inverse Function Theorem, the first equation gives

$$
x=\tau(t)
$$

and then

$$
u(t)=\psi(\tau(t), y(\tau(t)), p(\tau(t)))
$$

The first-order derivative is transformed by the formula

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{\prime}(t) & =\frac{d u}{d t} \\
& =\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \frac{d \tau}{d t}+\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \frac{d y}{d x} \frac{d \tau}{d t}+\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial p} \frac{d p}{d x} \frac{d \tau}{d t} \\
& =\left(\psi_{x}+p \psi_{y}+y^{\prime \prime} \psi_{p}\right) \frac{d \tau}{d t} \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $t=\varphi(\tau(t), y(\tau(t)), p(\tau(t)))$ then

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d t}{d t} & =\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \frac{d \tau}{d t}+\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} \frac{d y}{d x} \frac{d \tau}{d t}+\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial p} \frac{d p}{d x} \frac{d \tau}{d t} \\
1 & =\left(\varphi_{x}+p \varphi_{y}+y^{\prime \prime} \varphi_{p}\right) \frac{d \tau}{d t} \\
\frac{d \tau}{d t} & =\frac{1}{\left(\varphi_{x}+p \varphi_{y}+y^{\prime \prime} \varphi_{p}\right)} \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting equation (2.8) into equation (2.7), one obtains

$$
u^{\prime}(t)=\frac{\psi_{x}+p \psi_{y}+y^{\prime \prime} \psi_{p}}{\varphi_{x}+p \varphi_{y}+y^{\prime \prime} \varphi_{p}}=\frac{D \psi}{D \varphi}\left(\tau(t), y(\tau(t)), p(\tau(t)), y^{\prime \prime}(\tau(t))\right)
$$

The contact condition requires

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x, y, p)=\frac{D \psi}{D \varphi}\left(x, y, p, y^{\prime \prime}\right) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (2.9) is rewritten in the form

$$
g\left(\varphi_{x}+p \varphi_{y}+y^{\prime \prime} \varphi_{p}\right)=\psi_{x}+p \psi_{y}+y^{\prime \prime} \psi_{p}
$$

Since the contact condition is satisfied for any $y^{\prime \prime}$, one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
g\left(\varphi_{x}+p \varphi_{y}\right) & =\psi_{x}+p \psi_{y}  \tag{2.10}\\
g \varphi_{p} & =\psi_{p} .
\end{align*}
$$

The second-order derivative is transformed by the formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
u^{\prime \prime}(t) & =\frac{d^{2} u}{d t^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \frac{d \tau}{d t}+\frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \frac{d y}{d x} \frac{d \tau}{d t}+\frac{\partial g}{\partial p} \frac{d p}{d x} \frac{d \tau}{d t} \\
& =\left(g_{x}+p g_{y}+y^{\prime \prime} g_{p}\right) \frac{d \tau}{d t} \\
& =\frac{g_{x}+p g_{y}+y^{\prime \prime} g_{p}}{\varphi_{x}+p \varphi_{y}+y^{\prime \prime} \varphi_{p}} \\
& =\frac{D g}{D \varphi} \\
& =g_{1}\left(x, y, p, y^{\prime \prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, one finds

$$
\begin{gathered}
u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)=\frac{d^{3} u}{d t^{3}}=\frac{D g_{1}}{D \varphi}=g_{2}\left(x, y, p, y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) \\
u^{(4)}(t)=\frac{d^{4} u}{d t^{4}}=\frac{D g_{2}}{D \varphi}=g_{3}\left(x, y, p, y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime \prime}, y^{(4)}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

In general, one can write

$$
u^{(k+1)}(t)=\frac{d^{(k+1)} u}{d t^{(k+1)}}=\frac{D g_{(k-1)}}{D \varphi}=g_{k}\left(x, y, p, y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime \prime}, \ldots, y^{(k+1)}\right), \quad(k=1,2, \ldots)
$$

Notice that $g_{0}=g$.

### 2.4 Equivalent Equations

Definition 2.4. Two equations are called equivalent if there is an invertible transformation which transforms one equation into another.

Definition 2.5. The problem of finding all equations which are equivalent to a given equation is called an equivalence problem. If the given equation is a linear equation, then the equivalence problem is called a linearization problem.

Since all considerations in this thesis are local, we mean local equivalence here.

### 2.4.1 Linear $k$ th-order Equations

The following properties are well known for point transformations.

## - First-order Equations

All first-order equations are equivalent to another. In particular, an equation of first-order can be transformed into the simplest one, viz., $y^{\prime}=0$.

## - Linear Second-order Equations

All linear second-order equations are equivalent to another and can, for example, be reduced to the simplest equation $y^{\prime \prime}=0^{\ddagger}$.

However, a linear equation of order $k \geq 3$ need not be transformable into the simplest form.

## - Laguerre Canonical Form

The general form of a linear $k$ th-order ordinary differential equation is

$$
y^{(k)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_{i}(x) y^{(i)}=0 .
$$

[^5]Theorem 2.1. (Laguerre ${ }^{\S}$ ). Any linear $k$ th-order ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(k)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_{i}(x) y^{(i)}=0, \quad k \geqslant 3 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be transformed by a point transformation to an equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(k)}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-3} a_{i}(x) y^{(i)}=0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that equation (2.12) is called the Laguerre canonical form of the linear $k$ th-order ordinary differential equation (2.11).

### 2.5 The Lie Linearization Test

Since the method used in the thesis is similar to the Lie method, let us consider it in details.

The simplest linear form of a second-order ordinary differential equation with the independent variable $t$ and the dependent variable $u$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}=0 . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lie showed that any second-order ordinary differential equation $y^{\prime \prime}=f\left(x, y, y^{\prime}\right)$ obtained from linear equation (2.13) by a change of the independent and dependent variables,

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\varphi(x, y), \quad u=\psi(x, y), \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

is cubic in the first-order derivative:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+a(x, y) y^{\prime 3}+b(x, y) y^{\prime 2}+c(x, y) y^{\prime}+d(x, y)=0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^6]where
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& a=\Delta^{-1}\left(\varphi_{y} \psi_{y y}-\varphi_{y y} \psi_{y}\right) \\
& b=\Delta^{-1}\left(\varphi_{x} \psi_{y y}-\varphi_{y y} \psi_{x}+2\left(\varphi_{y} \psi_{x y}-\varphi_{x y} \psi_{y}\right)\right)  \tag{2.16}\\
& c=\Delta^{-1}\left(\varphi_{y} \psi_{x x}-\varphi_{x x} \psi_{y}+2\left(\varphi_{x} \psi_{x y}-\varphi_{x y} \psi_{x}\right)\right) \\
& d=\Delta^{-1}\left(\varphi_{x} \psi_{x x}-\varphi_{x x} \psi_{x}\right)
\end{align*}
$$
\]

Here the Jacobian of the change of variables is

$$
\Delta=\varphi_{x} \psi_{y}-\varphi_{y} \psi_{x} \neq 0
$$

Moreover, a second-order ordinary differential equation is linearizable if and only if it has the form (2.15) with the coefficients satisfying the conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& 3 a_{x x}-2 b_{x y}+c_{y y}-3 a_{x} c+3 a_{y} d+2 b_{x} b-3 c_{x} a-c_{y} b+6 d_{y} a=0  \tag{2.17}\\
& b_{x x}-2 c_{x y}+3 d_{y y}-6 a_{x} d+b_{x} c+3 b_{y} d-2 c_{y} a-3 d_{x} a+3 d_{y} b=0
\end{align*}
$$

The mapping of equation (2.15) into linear equation (2.13) is reconstituting by finding the functions $\varphi(x, y)$ and $\psi(x, y)$ that satisfy the relations (2.16).

### 2.6 Theory of Compatibility

There are two approaches for studying compatibility. These approaches are related to the works of E. Cartan and C. H. Riquier.

The Cartan approach is based on the calculus of exterior differential forms. The problem of the compatibility of a system of partial differential equations is then reduced to the problem of the compatibility of a system of exterior differential forms. Cartan studied the formal algebraic properties of systems of exterior forms. For their description he introduced special integer numbers, named characters. With the help of the characters he formulated a criterion for a given system of partial differential equations to be involutive.

[^7]The Riquier approach has a different theory of establishing the involution. This method can be found in (Kuranashi, 1967) and (Pommaret, 1978). The main advantage of this approach is that there is no necessity to reduce the system of partial differential equations being studied to exterior differential forms. The calculations in the Riquier approach are shorter than in the Cartan approach. The main operations of the study of compatibility in the Riquier approach are prolongations of a system of partial differential equations and the study of the ranks of some matrices. In this thesis the Riquier approach is used.

### 2.6.1 Completely Integrable Systems

One class of overdetermined systems, for which the problem of compatibility is solved, is the class of completely integrable systems. The theory of completely integrable systems is developed in the general case.

Definition 2.6. A system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial z^{i}}{\partial a^{j}}=f_{j}^{i}(a, z),(i=1,2, \ldots, N ; j=1,2, \ldots, r) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called completely integrable if it has a solution for any initial values $a_{0}, z_{0}$ in some open domain $D$.

Theorem 2.2. A system of the type (2.18) is completely integrable if and only if all of the mixed derivatives equalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f_{j}^{i}}{\partial a^{\beta}}+\sum_{\gamma=1}^{N} f_{\beta}^{\gamma} \frac{\partial f_{j}^{i}}{\partial z^{\gamma}}=\frac{\partial f_{\beta}^{i}}{\partial a^{j}}+\sum_{\gamma=1}^{N} f_{j}^{\gamma} \frac{\partial f_{\beta}^{i}}{\partial z^{\gamma}},(i=1,2, \ldots, N ; \beta, j=1,2, \ldots, r) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

are identically satisfied with respect to the variables $(a, z) \in D$.

In practice, sometimes it is enough to use a particular case of the compatibility theorem:

Corollary 2.3. If in an overdetermined system of partial differential equations all derivatives of order $n$ are defined and comparison of all mixed derivatives of order $n+1$ does not produce new equations of order less or equal to $n$, then this system is compatible.

## CHAPTER III

LINEARIZATION OF FOURTH-ORDER

## ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS BY POINT TRANSFORMATIONS

Our starting point is a fourth-order ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}=f\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a real function $y=y(x)$. Here $f=f\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$ is a sufficiently many times continuously differentiable function of real variables $\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$. This chapter is devoted to studying the linearization problem of equation (3.1) which is to find an invertible change of independent and dependent variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\varphi(x, y), \quad u=\psi(x, y) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

mapping the nonlinear equation (3.1) into a linear equation.
In 1879, E. Laguerre showed that in any linear ordinary differential equation the two terms of orders next below the highest can be simultaneously removed by an equivalence transformation*. Therefore, the general linear $i$ th-order ordinary differential equation in Laguerre's form is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{(i)}+\alpha_{i-3}(t) u^{(i-3)}+\ldots+\alpha_{0}(t) u=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t$ and $u$ are the independent and dependent variables, respectively.

[^8]
### 3.1 Necessary Conditions for Linearization

We begin with investigating the necessary conditions for linearization. We consider an $i$ th-order ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(i)}=f\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, y^{(i-1)}\right) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general form of equation (3.4) that can be obtained from a linear ordinary differential equation by any point transformation (3.2) is found in this step. Necessary conditions for a linearizable fourth-order ordinary differential equation are studied here in more details.

### 3.1.1 Necessary Form of a Linearizable $i$ th-order ODE

Applying a point transformation (3.2), the derivatives are changed as follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d u}{d t}=\psi_{1}=\frac{D \psi}{D \varphi}, \frac{d^{2} u}{d t^{2}}=\psi_{2}=\frac{D \psi_{1}}{D \varphi}=\frac{D^{2} \psi D \varphi-D^{2} \varphi D \psi}{(D \varphi)^{3}}, \\
\frac{d^{k+1} u}{d t^{k+1}}=\psi_{k+1}=\frac{D \psi_{k}}{D \varphi},(k>1)
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
D=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+y^{\prime} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+y^{\prime \prime} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\prime}}+y^{\prime \prime \prime} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\prime \prime}}+y^{(4)} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\prime \prime \prime}}+\cdots
$$

is the operator of total derivative with respect to $x$. Notice that

$$
\begin{gathered}
D^{k} F=y^{(k)} F_{y}+k y^{(k-1)} D F_{y}+h_{F}\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, \ldots, y^{(k-3)}, y^{(k-2)}\right),(k>2) \\
\psi_{i}=\frac{1}{(D \varphi)^{i}}\left[D^{i} \psi-\frac{i(i-1)}{2}\left(D^{2} \varphi\right)(D \varphi)^{i-2} \psi_{i-1}-i\left(D^{i-1} \varphi\right)(D \varphi) \psi_{2}-\left(D^{i} \varphi\right) \psi_{1}\right]+\ldots, \\
\psi_{i-1}=\frac{\Delta}{(D \varphi)^{i+1}} y^{(i-1)}+\ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\Delta=\varphi_{x} \psi_{y}-\varphi_{y} \psi_{x} \neq 0$ is the Jacobian of the change of variables (3.2), $F=F(x, y)$ is an arbitrary function, and $i>3$. Here $\ldots$ means terms with
derivatives of order less than $i-1$. Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
(D \varphi)^{i} \psi_{i}= & y^{(i)} \frac{\Delta}{D \varphi}+i y^{(i-1)}\left[D \psi_{y}-\frac{D \psi}{D \varphi} D \varphi_{y}-\right.  \tag{3.5}\\
& \left.\frac{(i-1)}{2}\left(D^{2} \varphi\right) \frac{\Delta}{(D \varphi)^{2}}-\varphi_{y} \frac{D^{2} \psi D \varphi-D^{2} \varphi D \psi}{(D \varphi)^{2}}\right]+\cdots .
\end{align*}
$$

Calculations show that in the right hand side of equation (3.5) the term with the derivative $y^{(i-1)}$ is

$$
\begin{gathered}
(D \varphi)^{2}\left[D \psi_{y}-\frac{D \psi}{D \varphi} D \varphi_{y}-\frac{(i-1)}{2}\left(D^{2} \varphi\right) \frac{\Delta}{(D \varphi)^{2}}-\varphi_{y} \frac{D^{2} \psi D \varphi-D^{2} \varphi D \psi}{(D \varphi)^{2}}\right] \\
=-y^{\prime \prime} \varphi_{y} \frac{(i+1) \Delta}{2}+y^{\prime 2}\left(\varphi_{x y} \varphi_{y} \psi_{y}-\varphi_{y y} \frac{i \Delta}{2}-\varphi_{y y} \frac{\left(\varphi_{x} \psi_{y}+\varphi_{y} \psi_{x}\right)}{2}-\psi_{x y} \varphi_{y}^{2}+\psi_{y y} \varphi_{x} \varphi_{y}\right) \\
+y^{\prime}\left(-\varphi_{x y} i \Delta+\varphi_{x x} \varphi_{y} \psi_{y}-\varphi_{y y} \varphi_{x} \psi_{x}-\psi_{x x} \varphi_{y}^{2}+\psi_{y y} \varphi_{x}^{2}\right) \\
-\varphi_{x y} \varphi_{x} \psi_{x}-\varphi_{x x} \frac{i \Delta}{2}+\varphi_{x x} \frac{\left(\varphi_{x} \psi_{y}+\varphi_{y} \psi_{x}\right)}{2}+\psi_{x y} \varphi_{x}^{2}-\psi_{x x} \varphi_{x} \varphi_{y} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Substituting the resulting expression into the linear equation (3.3), the necessary form of a linearizable ordinary differential equation of $i$ th-order is

$$
\begin{gathered}
y^{(i)}+i y^{(i-1)} \frac{1}{\Delta D \varphi}\left[-y^{\prime \prime} \varphi_{y} \frac{(i+1) \Delta}{2}\right. \\
+y^{\prime 2}\left(\varphi_{x y} \varphi_{y} \psi_{y}-\varphi_{y y} \frac{i \Delta}{2}-\varphi_{y y} \frac{\left(\varphi_{x} \psi_{y}+\varphi_{y} \psi_{x}\right)}{2}-\psi_{x y} \varphi_{y}^{2}+\psi_{y y} \varphi_{x} \varphi_{y}\right) \\
+y^{\prime}\left(-\varphi_{x y} i \Delta+\varphi_{x x} \varphi_{y} \psi_{y}-\varphi_{y y} \varphi_{x} \psi_{x}-\psi_{x x} \varphi_{y}^{2}+\psi_{y y} \varphi_{x}^{2}\right) \\
\left.-\varphi_{x y} \varphi_{x} \psi_{x}-\varphi_{x x} \frac{i \Delta}{2}+\varphi_{x x} \frac{\left(\varphi_{x} \psi_{y}+\varphi_{y} \psi_{x}\right)}{2}+\psi_{x y} \varphi_{x}^{2}-\psi_{x x} \varphi_{x} \varphi_{y}\right]+\ldots=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

From this representation we can conclude that for the linearization problem one needs to study two cases: (a) $\varphi_{y}=0$, and (b) $\varphi_{y} \neq 0$. This corresponds to the following two necessary forms of linearizable ordinary differential equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(i)}+y^{(i-1)}\left[A_{1} y^{\prime}+A_{0}\right]+\ldots=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(i)}+y^{(i-1)} \frac{1}{y^{\prime}+r}\left[-y^{\prime \prime} \frac{i(i+1)}{2}+F_{2} y^{\prime 2}+F_{1} y^{\prime}+F_{0}\right]+\ldots=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{j}=F_{j}(x, y), A_{j}=A_{j}(x, y)$. If $\varphi_{y}=0$, in literature this class of transformations is called a fiber preserving transformations.

Theorem 3.1. Any linearizable ith-order $(i \geq 4)$ ordinary differential equation has to be either of the form (3.6) or of (3.7).

### 3.1.2 Necessary Form of a Linearizable Fourth-order ODE

As was obtained in the previous section, the transformations (3.2) with $\varphi_{y}=$ 0 and $\varphi_{y} \neq 0$, respectively, provide two distinctly different classes of linearizable equations.

If $\varphi_{y}=0$, working out the missing terms in equation (3.6), are substituting the resulting expression into the linear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{(4)}+\alpha(t) u^{\prime}+\beta(t) u=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain the following first class for linearization

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{(4)} & +\left(A_{1} y^{\prime}+A_{0}\right) y^{\prime \prime \prime}+B_{0} y^{\prime \prime 2}+\left(C_{2} y^{\prime 2}+C_{1} y^{\prime}+C_{0}\right) y^{\prime \prime}  \tag{3.9}\\
& +D_{4} y^{\prime 4}+D_{3} y^{\prime 3}+D_{2} y^{\prime 2}+D_{1} y^{\prime}+D_{0}=0,
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{j}=A_{j}(x, y), B_{j}=B_{j}(x, y), C_{j}=C_{j}(x, y)$ and $D_{j}=D_{j}(x, y)$ are arbitrary functions of $x, y$.

If $\varphi_{y} \neq 0$, we proceed likewise and setting $r=\frac{\varphi_{x}}{\varphi_{y}}$, arrive at the second class for linearization

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{(4)} & +\frac{1}{y^{\prime}+r}\left(-10 y^{\prime \prime}+F_{2} y^{\prime 2}+F_{1} y^{\prime}+F_{0}\right) y^{\prime \prime \prime} \\
& +\frac{1}{\left(y^{\prime}+r\right)^{2}}\left[15 y^{\prime \prime 3}+\left(H_{2} y^{\prime 2}+H_{1} y^{\prime}+H_{0}\right) y^{\prime \prime 2}\right. \\
& +\left(J_{4} y^{\prime 4}+J_{3} y^{\prime 3}+J_{2} y^{\prime 2}+J_{1} y^{\prime}+J_{0}\right) y^{\prime \prime}  \tag{3.10}\\
& +K_{7} y^{\prime 7}+K_{6} y^{\prime 6}+K_{5} y^{\prime 5}+K_{4} y^{\prime 4} \\
& \left.+K_{3} y^{\prime 3}+K_{2} y^{\prime 2}+K_{1} y^{\prime}+K_{0}\right]=0,
\end{align*}
$$

where $r=r(x, y), F_{j}=F_{j}(x, y), H_{j}=H_{j}(x, y), J_{j}=J_{j}(x, y)$ and $K_{j}=K_{j}(x, y)$ are arbitrary functions of $x, y$.

Thus, we have shown that every linearizable fourth-order ordinary differential equations belongs either to the class of equations (3.9) or to the class of equations (3.10).

### 3.2 The First Class of Linearizable Equations

### 3.2.1 The Linearization Test for Equation (3.9)

In this case, the linearizing transformation (3.2) must be a fiber preserving transformation, i.e., it has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\varphi(x), \quad u=\psi(x, y) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.2. Equation (3.9) is linearizable if and only if its coefficients obey the following conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{0 y}-A_{1 x}=0  \tag{3.12}\\
& 4 B_{0}-3 A_{1}=0  \tag{3.13}\\
& 12 A_{1 y}+3 A_{1}^{2}-8 C_{2}=0 \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
12 A_{1 x}+3 A_{0} A_{1}-4 C_{1}=0 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
32 C_{0 y}+12 A_{0 x} A_{1}-16 C_{1 x}+3 A_{0}^{2} A_{1}-4 A_{0} C_{1}=0 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 C_{2 y}+A_{1} C_{2}-24 D_{4}=0 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 C_{1 y}+A_{1} C_{1}-12 D_{3}=0 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
16 C_{1 x}-12 A_{0 x} A_{1}-3 A_{0}^{2} A_{1}+4 A_{0} C_{1}+8 A_{1} C_{0}-32 D_{2}=0 \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
192 D_{2 x}+36 A_{0 x} A_{0} A_{1}-48 A_{0 x} C_{1}-48 C_{0 x} A_{1}-288 D_{1 y}+9 A_{0}^{3} A_{1}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-12 A_{0}^{2} C_{1}-36 A_{0} A_{1} C_{0}+48 A_{0} D_{2}+32 C_{0} C_{1}=0 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 384 D_{1 x y}-\left[3 \left(\left(3 A_{0} A_{1}-4 C_{1}\right) A_{0}^{2}+16\left(2 A_{1} D_{1}+C_{0} C_{1}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.-16\left(A_{1} C_{0}-D_{2}\right) A_{0}\right) A_{0}-32\left(4\left(C_{1} D_{1}-2 C_{2} D_{0}+C_{0} D_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(3 A_{1} D_{0}-C_{0}^{2}\right) A_{1}\right)-96 D_{1 y} A_{0}+384 D_{0 y} A_{1}+1536 D_{0 y y} \\
& -16\left(3 A_{0} A_{1}-4 C_{1}\right) C_{0 x}+12\left(\left(3 A_{0} A_{1}-4 C_{1}\right) A_{0}\right. \\
& \left.\left.-4\left(A_{1} C_{0}-4 D_{2}\right)\right) A_{0 x}\right]=0 \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 3.3. Provided that the conditions (3.12)-(3.21) are satisfied, the linearizing transformation (3.11) is defined by a fourth-order ordinary differential equation for the function $\varphi(x)$, namely by the Riccati equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
40 \frac{d \chi}{d x}-20 \chi^{2}=8 C_{0}-3 A_{0}^{2}-12 A_{0 x} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=\frac{\varphi_{x x}}{\varphi_{x}} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by the following integrable system of partial differential equations for the function $\psi(x, y)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \psi_{y y}=\psi_{y} A_{1}, \quad 4 \psi_{x y}=\psi_{y}\left(A_{0}+6 \chi\right) \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1600 \psi_{x x x x}=9600 \psi_{x x x} \chi+160 \psi_{x x}\left(-12 A_{0 x}-3 A_{0}^{2}-90 \chi^{2}+8 C_{0}\right) \\
& +40 \psi_{x}\left(12 A_{0 x} A_{0}+72 A_{0 x} \chi-16 C_{0 x}+3 A_{0}^{3}+18 A_{0}^{2} \chi-12 A_{0} C_{0}\right. \\
& \left.+120 \chi^{3}-48 \chi C_{0}+24 D_{1}-8 \Omega\right)+\psi\left(144 A_{0 x}^{2}+72 A_{0 x} A_{0}^{2}-352 A_{0 x} C_{0}\right. \\
& -160 C_{0 x x}-80 C_{0 x} A_{0}-1600 D_{0 y}+640 D_{1 x}-80 \Omega_{x}+9 A_{0}^{4}-88 A_{0}^{2} C_{0} \\
& \left.+160 A_{0} D_{1}+30 A_{0} \Omega-400 A_{1} D_{0}+300 \chi \Omega+144 C_{0}^{2}\right)+1600 \psi_{y} D_{0} \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\chi$ is given by equation (3.23) and $\Omega$ is the following expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=A_{0}^{3}-4 A_{0} C_{0}+8 D_{1}-8 C_{0 x}+6 A_{0 x} A_{0}+4 A_{0 x x} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the coefficients $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of the resulting linear equation (3.8) are

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha=\frac{\Omega}{8 \varphi_{x}^{3}}  \tag{3.27}\\
\beta=\left(1600 \varphi_{x}^{4}\right)^{-1}\left(-144 A_{0 x}^{2}-72 A_{0 x} A_{0}^{2}+352 A_{0 x} C_{0}+160 C_{0 x x}+80 C_{0 x} A_{0}\right. \\
+1600 D_{0 y}-640 D_{1 x}+80 \Omega_{x}-9 A_{0}^{4}+88 A_{0}^{2} C_{0}-160 A_{0} D_{1}-30 A_{0} \Omega \\
\left.+400 A_{1} D_{0}-300 \chi \Omega-144 C_{0}^{2}\right) \tag{3.28}
\end{gather*}
$$

Remark 3.1. Since the system of equations (3.12)-(3.21) provides the necessary and sufficient conditions for linearization, it is invariant with respect to transformations (3.11). It means that the left-hand sides of equations (3.12)-(3.21) are relative invariants of second-order for the equivalence transformations defined by (3.11).

### 3.2.2 Relations Between Coefficients and Transformations

For proving the linearization theorems we need relations between the functions $\varphi(x), \psi(x, y)$ and the coefficients of equation (3.9).

Lemma 3.4. The coefficients of equation (3.9) and the functions $\varphi(x)$ and $\psi(x, y)$ in the transformation (3.11) are related by the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{1}=4\left(\psi_{y}\right)^{-1} \psi_{y y},  \tag{3.29}\\
& A_{0}=-2\left(\varphi_{x} \psi_{y}\right)^{-1}\left(3 \varphi_{x x} \psi_{y}-2 \varphi_{x} \psi_{x y}\right)  \tag{3.30}\\
& B_{0}=3\left(\psi_{y}\right)^{-1} \psi_{y y},  \tag{3.31}\\
& C_{2}=6\left(\psi_{y}\right)^{-1} \psi_{y y y}  \tag{3.32}\\
& C_{1}=-6\left(\varphi_{x} \psi_{y}\right)^{-1}\left(3 \varphi_{x x} \psi_{y y}-2 \varphi_{x} \psi_{x y y}\right)  \tag{3.33}\\
& C_{0}=-\left(\varphi_{x}^{2} \psi_{y}\right)^{-1}\left[\left(4 \varphi_{x x x} \varphi_{x}-15 \varphi_{x x}^{2}\right) \psi_{y}+6\left(3 \varphi_{x x} \psi_{x y}-\varphi_{x} \psi_{x x y}\right) \varphi_{x}\right] \tag{3.34}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{4}= & \left(\psi_{y}\right)^{-1} \psi_{y y y y}  \tag{3.35}\\
D_{3}= & -2\left(\varphi_{x} \psi_{y}\right)^{-1}\left(3 \varphi_{x x} \psi_{y y y}-2 \varphi_{x} \psi_{x y y y}\right)  \tag{3.36}\\
D_{2}= & -\left(\varphi_{x}^{2} \psi_{y}\right)^{-1}\left(4 \varphi_{x x x} \varphi_{x} \psi_{y y}-15 \varphi_{x x}^{2} \psi_{y y}+18 \varphi_{x x} \varphi_{x} \psi_{x y y}-6 \varphi_{x}^{2} \psi_{x x y y}\right)  \tag{3.37}\\
D_{1}= & -\left(\varphi_{x}^{3} \psi_{y}\right)^{-1}\left[3\left(5 \varphi_{x x}^{2} \psi_{y}-10 \varphi_{x x} \varphi_{x} \psi_{x y}+6 \varphi_{x}^{2} \psi_{x x y}\right) \varphi_{x x}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\varphi_{x}^{3} \psi_{y} \alpha+4 \psi_{x x x y}\right) \varphi_{x}^{3}-2\left(5 \varphi_{x x} \psi_{y}-4 \varphi_{x} \psi_{x y}\right) \varphi_{x x x} \varphi_{x}+\varphi_{x x x x} \varphi_{x}^{2} \psi_{y}\right]  \tag{3.38}\\
D_{0}= & -\left(\varphi_{x}^{3} \psi_{y}\right)^{-1}\left[\left(15 \varphi_{x x}^{3}-\varphi_{x}^{6} \alpha+\varphi_{x x x x} \varphi_{x}^{2}\right) \psi_{x}-\left(10 \varphi_{x x x} \varphi_{x x} \psi_{x}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-4 \varphi_{x x x} \varphi_{x} \psi_{x x}+15 \varphi_{x x}^{2} \psi_{x x}-6 \varphi_{x x} \varphi_{x} \psi_{x x x}+\varphi_{x}^{6} \beta \psi+\varphi_{x}^{2} \psi_{x x x x}\right) \varphi_{x}\right] \tag{3.39}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.2.3 Proof of the Linearization Theorems

The proof of the linearization theorems requires the study of integrability conditions for the unknown functions $\varphi(x)$ and $\psi(x, y)$. The functions $\varphi(x)$ and $\psi(x, y)$ satisfy equations (3.29)-(3.39) with given coefficients $A_{i}(x, y), B_{i}(x, y), C_{i}(x, y)$ and $D_{i}(x, y)$.

We first rewrite the expressions (3.29) and (3.30) for $A_{1}$ and $A_{0}$ in the following forms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{y y}=\frac{\psi_{y} A_{1}}{4}, \quad \psi_{x y}=\frac{\left(6 \varphi_{x x}+\varphi_{x} A_{0}\right)}{4 \varphi_{x}} \psi_{y} \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing the mixed derivative $\left(\psi_{y y}\right)_{x}=\left(\psi_{x y}\right)_{y}$, one arrives at equation (3.12). Then equations (3.31), (3.32) and (3.33) become equations (3.13), (3.14) and (3.15), respectively. Furthermore, equation (3.34) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{x x x}=-\frac{\left(12 A_{0 x} \varphi_{x}^{2}-60 \varphi_{x x}^{2}+3 \varphi_{x}^{2} A_{0}^{2}-8 \varphi_{x}^{2} C_{0}\right)}{40 \varphi_{x}} \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiation of equation (3.41) with respect to $y$ yields equation (3.16). Equations (3.35), (3.36) and (3.37) become in the form of equations (3.17), (3.18) and (3.19), respectively.

One can determine $\alpha$ from equation (3.38):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{4 A_{0 x x}+6 A_{0 x} A_{0}-8 C_{0 x}+A_{0}^{3}-4 A_{0} C_{0}+8 D_{1}}{8 \varphi_{x}^{3}} . \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\varphi=\varphi(x)$, then $\alpha_{y}=0$, which yields equation (3.20). From equation (3.39) one finds

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{x x x x}= & -\frac{1}{40 \varphi_{x}^{3}}\left[32 A_{0 x x} \varphi_{x}^{3} \psi_{x}-72 A_{0 x} \varphi_{x x} \varphi_{x}^{2} \psi_{x}+48 A_{0 x} \varphi_{x}^{3} \psi_{x x}\right. \\
& +36 A_{0 x} \varphi_{x}^{3} \psi_{x} A_{0}-48 C_{0 x} \varphi_{x}^{3} \psi_{x}-120 \varphi_{x x}^{3} \psi_{x}+360 \varphi_{x x}^{2} \varphi_{x} \psi_{x x} \\
& -240 \varphi_{x x} \varphi_{x}^{2} \psi_{x x x}-18 \varphi_{x x} \varphi_{x}^{2} \psi_{x} A_{0}^{2}+48 \varphi_{x x} \varphi_{x}^{2} \psi_{x} C_{0}+40 \varphi_{x}^{7} \beta \psi  \tag{3.43}\\
& +12 \varphi_{x}^{3} \psi_{x x} A_{0}^{2}-32 \varphi_{x}^{3} \psi_{x x} C_{0}+5 \varphi_{x}^{3} \psi_{x} A_{0}^{3}-20 \varphi_{x}^{3} \psi_{x} A_{0} C_{0} \\
& \left.+40 \varphi_{x}^{3} \psi_{x} D_{1}-40 \varphi_{x}^{3} \psi_{y} D_{0}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Forming the mixed derivative $\left(\psi_{x x x x}\right)_{y}=\left(\psi_{x y}\right)_{x x x}$ one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta= & \frac{1}{1600 \varphi_{x}^{5}}\left[320 A_{0 x x x} \varphi_{x}-1200 A_{0 x x} \varphi_{x x}+360 A_{0 x x} \varphi_{x} A_{0}+336 A_{0 x}^{2} \varphi_{x}\right. \\
& -1800 A_{0 x} \varphi_{x x} A_{0}-12 A_{0 x} \varphi_{x} A_{0}^{2}+32 A_{0 x} \varphi_{x} C_{0}-480 C_{0 x x} \varphi_{x} \\
& +2400 C_{0 x} \varphi_{x x}+1600 D_{0 y} \varphi_{x}-300 \varphi_{x x} A_{0}^{3}+1200 \varphi_{x x} A_{0} C_{0}  \tag{3.44}\\
& -2400 \varphi_{x x} D_{1}-39 \varphi_{x} A_{0}^{4}+208 \varphi_{x} A_{0}^{2} C_{0}-400 \varphi_{x} A_{0} D_{1} \\
& \left.+400 \varphi_{x} A_{1} D_{0}-144 \varphi_{x} C_{0}^{2}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Differentiation of $\beta$ with respect to $y$ yields equation (3.21).
From equation (3.41) one can rewrite the representation for $C_{0}$. Denoting $\chi=\frac{\varphi_{x x}}{\varphi_{x}}$ leads to equation (3.22) and the representations for $\psi_{y y}$ and $\psi_{x y}$ in the equations (3.40) become equations (3.24). Rewriting the representation for $\alpha$ from equation (3.42) in the following form

$$
\alpha=\frac{\Omega}{8 \varphi_{x}^{3}},
$$

where

$$
\Omega=A_{0}^{3}-4 A_{0} C_{0}+8 D_{1}-8 C_{0 x}+6 A_{0 x} A_{0}+4 A_{0 x x}
$$

then $\beta$ of equation (3.44) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta=\left(1600 \varphi_{x}^{4}\right)^{-1}\left(-144 A_{0 x}^{2}-72 A_{0 x} A_{0}^{2}+352 A_{0 x} C_{0}+160 C_{0 x x}+80 C_{0 x} A_{0}\right. \\
& +1600 D_{0 y}-640 D_{1 x}+80 \Omega_{x}-9 A_{0}^{4}+88 A_{0}^{2} C_{0}-160 A_{0} D_{1}-30 A_{0} \Omega \\
& \left.+400 A_{1} D_{0}-300 \chi \Omega-144 C_{0}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, equation (3.43) becomes equation (3.25). This completes the proof of the theorems.

### 3.2.4 Illustration of the Linearization Theorems

Example 3.1. Consider the nonlinear ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2} y\left(2 y^{(4)}+y\right)+8 x^{2} y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+16 x y y^{\prime \prime \prime}+6 x^{2} y^{\prime \prime 2}+48 x y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}+24 y y^{\prime \prime}+24 y^{\prime 2}=0 . \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is an equation of the form (3.9) with coefficients

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{1}=\frac{4}{y}, A_{0}=\frac{8}{x}, B_{0}=\frac{3}{y}, C_{2}=0, C_{1}=\frac{24}{x y}, C_{0}=\frac{12}{x^{2}} \\
D_{4}=0, D_{3}=0, D_{2}=\frac{12}{x^{2} y}, D_{1}=0, D_{0}=\frac{y}{2} \tag{3.46}
\end{gather*}
$$

One can check that the coefficients (3.46) obey the conditions (3.12)-(3.21). Thus, equation (3.45) is linearizable. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
8 C_{0}-3 A_{0}^{2}-12 A_{0 x}=0 \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the equation (3.22) is written as

$$
2 \frac{d \chi}{d x}-\chi^{2}=0
$$

Let us take its simplest solution $\chi=0$. Then invoking equation (3.23), we let

$$
\varphi=x
$$

Now equations (3.24) are rewritten as

$$
\frac{\psi_{y y}}{\psi_{y}}=\frac{1}{y}, \quad \frac{\psi_{x y}}{\psi_{y}}=\frac{2}{x}
$$

and yield

$$
\psi_{y}=K x^{2} y, \quad K=\text { const } .
$$

Hence,

$$
\psi=K \frac{x^{2} y^{2}}{2}+f(x)
$$

Since one can use any particular solution, we set $K=2, \quad f(x)=0$ and take

$$
\psi=x^{2} y^{2}
$$

Invoking equation (3.47) and noting that equation (3.26) yields $\Omega=0$, one can readily verify that the function $\psi=x^{2} y^{2}$ solves equation (3.25) as well. Hence, one obtains the following transformations

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=x, \quad u=x^{2} y^{2} \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\Omega=0$, equations (3.27) and (3.28) give

$$
\alpha=0, \quad \beta=\frac{1}{\varphi_{x}^{4}}=1
$$

Hence, the equation (3.45) is mapped by the transformation (3.48) to the linear equation

$$
u^{(4)}+u=0 .
$$

Example 3.2. The third-order member of the Riccati Hierarchy is given by Euler, Euler and Leach (2007) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}+4 y y^{\prime \prime}+3 y^{\prime 2}+6 y^{2} y^{\prime}+y^{4}=0 . \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (Ibragimov and Meleshko, 2005) and (Euler et al., (2003)) one checks that this equation cannot be linearized by a point transformation or contact transformation or generalized Sundman transformation. Under the Riccati transformation $y=\frac{a \omega^{\prime}}{\omega}$ the equation (3.49) becomes (Andriopoulos and Leach, 2007)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\omega^{3} \omega^{(4)}+4(a-1) \omega^{2} \omega^{\prime} \omega^{\prime \prime \prime}+3(a-1) \omega^{2} \omega^{\prime \prime 2}  \tag{3.50}\\
+6(a-1)(a-2) \omega \omega^{\prime 2} \omega^{\prime \prime}+(a-1)(a-2)(a-3) \omega^{\prime 4}=0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

It is an equation of the form (3.9) with the coefficients

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{1}=\frac{4(a-1)}{\omega}, A_{0}=0, B_{0}=\frac{3(a-1)}{\omega}, \\
C_{2}=\frac{6\left(a^{2}-3 a+2\right)}{\omega^{2}}, C_{1}=0, C_{0}=0,  \tag{3.51}\\
D_{4}=\frac{a^{3}-6 a^{2}+11 a-6}{\omega^{3}}, D_{3}=0, D_{2}=0, D_{1}=0, D_{0}=0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

One can verify that the coefficients (3.51) obey the linearization conditions (3.12)(3.21). Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
8 C_{0}-3 A_{0}^{2}-12 A_{0 x}=0 \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the equation (3.22) is written as

$$
2 \frac{d \chi}{d x}-\chi^{2}=0
$$

We take its simplest solution $\chi=0$ and obtain from equation (3.23) the equation $\varphi^{\prime \prime}=0$, whence

$$
\varphi=x
$$

Equations (3.24) have the form

$$
\frac{\psi_{\omega \omega}}{\psi_{\omega}}=\frac{a-1}{\omega}, \quad \psi_{x \omega}=0
$$

and yield

$$
\psi_{\omega}=K \omega^{(a-1)}, \quad K=\text { const }
$$

Hence

$$
\psi=K \frac{\omega^{a}}{a}+f(x)
$$

Since one can use any particular solution, we set $K=a, f(x)=0$ and take

$$
\psi=\omega^{a} .
$$

Invoking equation (3.52) and noting that equation (3.26) yields $\Omega=0$, one can readily verifies that the function $\psi=\omega^{a}$ solves equation (3.25) as well. One obtains the following transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=x, \quad u=\omega^{a} \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\Omega=0$, equations (3.27) and (3.28) give

$$
\alpha=0, \quad \beta=0 .
$$

Thus, the equation (3.50) is mapped by the transformation (3.53) to the linear equation

$$
u^{(4)}=0 .
$$

Furthermore, one can transform the solution $u(t)$ to the Riccati substitution $y=\frac{a \omega^{\prime}}{\omega}$. The solution of the linear equation is

$$
u(t)=c_{0}+c_{1} t+c_{2} t^{2}+c_{3} t^{3},
$$

where $c_{i},(i=0,1,2,3)$ are arbitrary constants. By using the transformation (3.53), one finds

$$
\omega^{a}=c_{0}+c_{1} x+c_{2} x^{2}+c_{3} x^{3} .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
y & =\left(\ln \omega^{a}\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\left(\ln \left(c_{0}+c_{1} x+c_{2} x^{2}+c_{3} x^{3}\right)\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\frac{c_{1}+2 c_{2} x+3 c_{3} x^{2}}{c_{0}+c_{1} x+c_{2} x^{2}+c_{3} x^{3}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This example shows that as for second-order ordinary differential equations (Ibragimov and Meleshko, 2007) ${ }^{\dagger}$ the Riccati substitution can map a third-order ordinary differential equation into a linearizable fourth-order ordinary differential equation. Using the criteria of linearization obtained in this thesis, one can obtain complete criteria for third-order ordinary differential equations linearizable by Riccati substitution.

[^9]
### 3.2.5 Linearization of Traveling Waves of PDEs

Solutions of many partial differential equations were obtained by assuming that a solution is a traveling wave type.

## - One Class of Fourth-order Partial Differential Equations

Let us consider the nonlinear fourth-order partial differential equation (Clarkson and Priestley, 1999)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}=\left(\kappa u+\gamma u^{2}\right)_{x x}+\nu u u_{x x x x}+\mu u_{x x t t}+\alpha u_{x} u_{x x x}+\beta u_{x x}^{2}, \tag{3.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mu, \nu$ and $\kappa$ are arbitrary constants. This equation may be thought of as a fourth-order analogue of a generalization of the Camassa-Holm equation, about which there has been considerable recent interest. Furthermore, equation (3.54) is a Boussinesq-type equation which arises as a model of vibrations of harmonic mass-spring chain and admits both compacton and conventional solitons.

Of particular interest among solutions of equation (3.54) are traveling wave solutions:

$$
u(x, t)=H(x-D t),
$$

where $D$ is a constant phase velocity and the argument $x-D t$ is a phase of the wave. Substituting the representation of a solution into equation (3.54), one finds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nu H+\mu D^{2}\right) H^{(4)}+\alpha H^{\prime} H^{\prime \prime \prime}+\beta H^{\prime 2}+\left(2 \gamma H+\kappa-D^{2}\right) H^{\prime \prime}+2 \gamma H^{\prime 2}=0 \tag{3.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is an equation of the form (3.9) with coefficients

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{1}=\frac{\alpha}{\nu H+\mu D^{2}}, \quad A_{0}=0, \quad B_{0}=\frac{\beta}{\nu H+\mu D^{2}} \\
C_{2}=C_{1}=0, \quad C_{0}=\frac{2 \gamma H+\kappa-D^{2}}{\nu H+\mu D^{2}} \\
D_{4}=D_{3}=0, \quad D_{2}=\frac{2 \gamma}{\nu H+\mu D^{2}}, \quad D_{1}=D_{0}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

It is assumed that $\nu \neq 0$ and $\gamma \neq 0$.
Equation (3.55) is linearizable if and only if

$$
\alpha=4 \nu, \beta=3 \nu, \quad \kappa=\frac{(2 \gamma \mu+\nu) D^{2}}{\nu} .
$$

## - The Shallow Water Wave Equation

In this topic we discuss the generalized shallow water wave (GSWW) equation (Clarkson and Mansfield, 1994)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x x x t}+\alpha u_{x} u_{x t}+\beta u_{t} u_{x x}-u_{x t}-u_{x x}=0, \tag{3.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are arbitrary, non-zero, constants. This equation, together with several variants, can be derived from the classical shallow water theory in the so-called Boussinesq approximation (Whitham, 1998).

Substituting the traveling wave representation of a solution into equation (3.56), one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
-D H^{(4)}-\left(D(\alpha+\beta) H^{\prime}+(1-D)\right) H^{\prime \prime}=0 \tag{3.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has the form of equation (3.9) with the following coefficients

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{1}=A_{0}=B_{0}=C_{2}=0, \quad C_{1}=\alpha+\beta, C_{0}=\frac{1-D}{D} \\
D_{4}=D_{3}=D_{2}=D_{1}=D_{0}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Equation (3.57) is linearizable if and only if

$$
\alpha=-\beta
$$

## - Boussinesq Equation

Let us consider the Boussinesq equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}-u u_{x x}-u_{x}^{2}-u_{x x x x}=0 \tag{3.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the traveling wave representation of a solution into equation (3.58), one finds

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{(4)}+\left(H-D^{2}\right) H^{\prime \prime}+H^{\prime 2}=0 . \tag{3.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is an equation of the form (3.9) with the coefficients

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{1}=0, A_{0}=0, B_{0}=0, C_{2}=0, C_{1}=0, C_{0}=-D^{2}+H,  \tag{3.60}\\
D_{4}=0, D_{3}=0, D_{2}=1, D_{1}=0, D_{0}=0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Since the coefficients (3.60) do not satisfy the linearization conditions (3.16), (3.19) and (3.21), hence, the equation (3.59) is not linearizable.

### 3.2.6 Application of the Linearization Theorems to a System of Two Second-order ODEs

In this section we give some necessary and sufficient conditions of linearization for a system of two second-order ordinary differential equations with two dependent variables $y, z$ and one independent variable $x$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=f_{1}\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, z\right), \quad z^{\prime \prime}=f_{2}\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, z\right) \tag{3.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming that $f_{1 z} \neq 0$, by virtue of the Inverse Function Theorem the first equation of (3.61) can be solved with respect to $z=g\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Substituting this into the second equation of (3.61), one obtains that system (3.61) is equivalent to the fourth-order ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{(4)} g_{y^{\prime \prime}} & +y^{\prime \prime \prime 2} g_{y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime}}+y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(2 g_{y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}} y^{\prime \prime}+2 g_{y^{\prime \prime} y} y^{\prime}+2 g_{y^{\prime \prime} x}+g_{y^{\prime}}\right)+g_{y^{\prime} y^{\prime}} y^{\prime \prime 2}  \tag{3.62}\\
& +\left(2 g_{y^{\prime} y} y^{\prime}+2 g_{y^{\prime} x}+g_{y}\right) y^{\prime \prime}+g_{y y} y^{\prime 2}+2 g_{x y} y^{\prime}+g_{x x}-f_{2}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Applying linearization theorems to equation (3.62) one can obtain conditions for the functions $f_{2}\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, z\right)$ and $g\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, z\right)$ which are necessary and sufficient for
equation (3.62) to be linearizable. It is worth noting that, in general, these linearizing transformations, which are point transformations for equation (3.62), are not point transformations for system of equations (3.61).

Since one of the necessary conditions for linearization of equation (3.62) requires that this equation has to be a linear equation with respect to the thirdorder derivative $y^{\prime \prime \prime}$, one obtains that $g_{y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime}}=0$, i.e., $g=g_{0}+g_{1} y^{\prime \prime}$, where $g_{i}=$ $g_{i}\left(x, y, y^{\prime}\right),(i=0,1)$. Since $g_{y^{\prime \prime}} \neq 0$, the function $g_{1} \neq 0$. Equation (3.62) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{(4)} & +\left[\left(3 g_{1 y^{\prime}} y^{\prime \prime}+2 g_{1 y} y^{\prime}+g_{0 y^{\prime}}+2 g_{1 x}\right) y^{\prime \prime \prime}+g_{1 y^{\prime} y^{\prime}} y^{\prime \prime 3}\right. \\
& +\left(2 g_{1 y^{\prime} y} y^{\prime}+g_{0 y^{\prime} y^{\prime}}+2 g_{1 y^{\prime} x}+g_{1 y}\right) y^{\prime \prime 2} \\
& +\left(g_{1 y y} y^{\prime 2}+2\left(g_{0 y^{\prime} y}+g_{1 x y}\right) y^{\prime}+2 g_{0 y^{\prime} x}+g_{1 x x}+g_{0 y}\right) y^{\prime \prime} \\
& \left.+g_{0 y y} y^{\prime 2}+2 g_{0 x y} y^{\prime}+g_{0 x x}-f_{2}\right] / g_{1}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Considering the coefficient related with the product $y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}$, for a linearizable equation one obtains either $g_{1 y^{\prime}}=0$ or $3\left(y^{\prime}+r\right) g_{1 y^{\prime}}+10 g_{1}=0$, where $r=r(x, y)$. In this section we study the case $g_{1 y^{\prime}}=0$. Since the coefficients with the derivative $y^{\prime \prime \prime}$ has to be linear with respect to the first-order derivative $y^{\prime}$, one obtains $g_{0 y^{\prime} y^{\prime} y^{\prime}}=0$, that is

$$
g_{0}=g_{00}+g_{01} y^{\prime}+g_{02} y^{\prime 2}
$$

where $g_{0 i}=g_{0 i}(x, y),(i=0,1,2)$. Hence, the coefficients $A_{1}$ and $A_{0}$ in equation (3.9) are

$$
A_{1}=2\left(g_{1 y}+g_{02}\right) / g_{1}, \quad A_{0}=\left(2 g_{1 x}+g_{01}\right) / g_{1} .
$$

Proceeding to compare coefficients of equation (3.62) with equation (3.9) we obtain that ${ }^{\ddagger}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{2}= & f_{22} z^{2}+\left(f_{210}+f_{211} y^{\prime}+f_{212} y^{\prime 2}\right) z \\
& +f_{200}+f_{201} y^{\prime}+f_{202} y^{\prime 2}+f_{203} y^{\prime 3}+f_{204} y^{\prime 4}
\end{aligned}
$$

[^10]where $f_{22}=f_{22}(x, y), f_{21 i}=f_{21 i}(x, y),(i=0,1,2), f_{20 i}=f_{20 i}(x, y),(i=$ $0,1,2,3,4)$ and
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{0}= & \left(g_{1 y}-f_{22} g_{1}^{2}+2 g_{02}\right) / g_{1} \\
C_{2}= & \left(5 g_{02 y}+g_{1 y y}-f_{212} g_{1}-2 f_{22} g_{02} g_{1}\right) / g_{1}, \\
C_{1}= & \left(3 g_{01 y}+4 g_{02 x}+2 g_{1 x y}-f_{211} g_{1}-2 f_{22} g_{01} g_{1}\right) / g_{1}, \\
C_{0}= & \left(g_{00 y}+2 g_{01 x}+g_{1 x x}-f_{210} g_{1}-2 f_{22} g_{00} g_{1}\right) / g_{1}, \\
D_{4}= & \left(g_{02 y y}-f_{204}-f_{212} g_{02}-f_{22} g_{02}^{2}\right) / g_{1}, \\
D_{3}= & \left(g_{01 y y}+2 g_{02 x y}-f_{203}-f_{211} g_{02}-f_{212} g_{01}-2 f_{22} g_{01} g_{02}\right) / g_{1}, \\
D_{2}= & \left(g_{00 y y}+2 g_{01 x y}+g_{02 x x}-f_{202}-f_{210} g_{02}-f_{211} g_{01}-f_{212} g_{00}\right. \\
& \left.-2 f_{22} g_{00} g_{02}-f_{22} g_{01}^{2}\right) / g_{1}, \\
D_{1}= & \left(2 g_{00 x y}+g_{01 x x}-f_{201}-f_{210} g_{01}-f_{211} g_{00}-2 f_{22} g_{00} g_{01}\right) / g_{1}, \\
D_{0}= & \left(g_{00 x x}-f_{200}-f_{210} g_{00}-f_{22} g_{00}^{2}\right) / g_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

For the sake of simplicity we present here the linearization conditions for the case $f_{22}=0$. One can verify that in the case $f_{22}=0$ the found coefficients $A_{i}, B_{i}, C_{i}$ and $D_{i}$ satisfy the linearization conditions (3.12)-(3.21) if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{01 y}= & \left(2 g_{02 x} g_{1}-2 g_{1 x} g_{02}+g_{01} g_{02}\right) / g_{1},  \tag{3.63}\\
g_{1 y}= & g_{02},  \tag{3.64}\\
g_{00 y y}= & \left(f_{210 y} g_{1}^{3}+g_{00 y} g_{02} g_{1}+g_{02 x x} g_{1}^{2}-2 g_{02 x} g_{1 x} g_{1}+g_{02 x} g_{01} g_{1}\right. \\
& \left.-g_{1 x x} g_{02} g_{1}+2 g_{1 x}^{2} g_{02}-g_{1 x} g_{01} g_{02}\right) / g_{1}^{2}  \tag{3.65}\\
f_{210 y}= & f_{202} / g_{1}  \tag{3.66}\\
f_{201 y}= & \left(2 f_{202 x} g_{1}+f_{201} g_{02}-f_{202} g_{01}\right) / g_{1},  \tag{3.67}\\
f_{200 y y}= & \left(f_{200 y} g_{02} g_{1}+f_{202 x x} g_{1}^{2}-f_{202 y} g_{00} g_{1}-2 g_{00 y} f_{202} g_{1}\right. \\
& \left.+g_{02 x} f_{201} g_{1}-g_{1 x} f_{201} g_{02}-f_{202} f_{210} g_{1}^{2}+2 f_{202} g_{00} g_{02}\right) / g_{1}^{2} \tag{3.68}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{203}=f_{204}=f_{211}=f_{212}=0 \tag{3.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

One type of the functions $f_{2}\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, z\right)$ and $g\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right)$ satisfying conditions (3.63)-(3.69) is ${ }^{\S}$

$$
f_{2}=z \mu_{2}+\mu_{3} H+\mu_{5}, \quad g=y^{\prime \prime} H_{y}+y^{\prime 2} H_{y y}+2 y^{\prime} H_{x y}+\mu_{1} H+\mu_{4}
$$

where $\mu_{i}=\mu_{i}(x), \quad(i=1,2,3,4,5)$ are arbitrary functions, and the function $H(x, y)$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(\frac{H_{x y}}{H_{y}}\right)_{x}+\left(\frac{H_{x y}}{H_{y}}\right)^{2}\right)_{y}=0 . \tag{3.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

System (3.61) corresponding to these functions is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=z / H_{y}-\left(y^{\prime 2} H_{y y}+2 y^{\prime} H_{x y}+\mu_{4}\right) / H-\mu_{1}, \quad z^{\prime \prime}=z \mu_{2}+\mu_{3} H+\mu_{5} . \tag{3.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we can conclude that a system (3.61) is linearizable if it has the form (3.71) where the function $H$ satisfies the equation (3.70).

### 3.3 The Second Class of Linearizable Equations

### 3.3.1 The Linearization Test for Equation (3.10)

The following theorems provide the test for linearization of the second class.

Theorem 3.5. Equation (3.10) is linearizable if and only if its coefficients obey conditions: (G.1)-(G.18).

The necessary and sufficient conditions comprise eighteen differential equations (G.1)-(G.18) for twenty one coefficients of equation (3.10).

Theorem 3.6. Provided that the conditions (G.1)-(G.18) are satisfied, the transformation (3.2) mapping equation (3.10) into a linear equation (3.8) is obtained

[^11]by solving the compatible system of equations for the functions $\varphi(x, y)$ and $\psi(x, y)$ : (G.19)-(G.22)l. The coefficients $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are given by equations (G.23) and (G.24).

Remark 3.2. Equations (G.1)-(G.18) define eighteen relative invariants of thirdorder of point transformations (3.2).

### 3.3.2 Relations Between Coefficients and Transformations

Lemma 3.7. The coefficients of equation (3.10) and the functions $\varphi(x, y)$ and $\psi(x, y)$ in the transformation (3.2) are related by equations ${ }^{* *}$ (G.26)-(G.44).

### 3.3.3 Proof of the Linearization Theorems

The problem is: for the given coefficients $F_{i}(x, y), H_{i}(x, y), J_{i}(x, y), K_{i}(x, y)$ of equation (3.10), find the integrability conditions for the functions $\varphi(x, y)$ and $\psi(x, y)$.

Recall that, according to our notations, the following equations hold

$$
\alpha_{x}=r \alpha_{y}, \quad \beta_{x}=r \beta_{y}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{x}=r \varphi_{y}, \quad \psi_{x}=\frac{\psi_{y} \varphi_{x}-\Delta}{\varphi_{y}} \tag{3.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations (G.26) and (G.27) one finds

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varphi_{y y}=\left[\left(4 \Delta_{y}-F_{2} \Delta\right) \varphi_{y}\right] /(10 \Delta)  \tag{3.73}\\
\Delta_{x}=\left(20 r_{y} \Delta+4 \Delta_{y} r+F_{1} \Delta-2 F_{2} r \Delta\right) / 4 .
\end{gather*}
$$

[^12]Comparison of the mixed derivative $\left(\varphi_{x}\right)_{y y}=\left(\varphi_{y y}\right)_{x}$ gives equation (G.1). Then equations (G.28)-(G.31) become equations (G.2)-(G.5) and equation (G.32) gives

$$
\Delta_{y y}=-\left(20 F_{2 y} \Delta^{2}-48 \Delta_{y}^{2}+4 \Delta_{y} F_{2} \Delta+7 F_{2}^{2} \Delta^{2}-20 J_{4} \Delta^{2}\right) /(40 \Delta)
$$

The equation $\left(\Delta_{y y}\right)_{x}=\left(\Delta_{x}\right)_{y y}$ leads to equation (G.6). Equations (G.33)-(G.36) yield equations (G.7)-(G.10), and from equation (G.37) one finds

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{y y y y}= & {\left[300 \psi_{y y y} \varphi_{y} \Delta^{2}\left(4 \Delta_{y}-F_{2} \Delta\right)+5 \psi_{y y} \varphi_{y} \Delta\left(-120 F_{2 y} \Delta^{2}-144 \Delta_{y}^{2}\right.\right.} \\
& \left.+72 \Delta_{y} F_{2} \Delta-39 F_{2}^{2} \Delta^{2}+80 J_{4} \Delta^{2}\right)+\psi_{y} \varphi_{y}\left(-500 \varphi_{y}^{3} \alpha \Delta^{3}\right. \\
& -150 F_{2 y y} \Delta^{3}+360 F_{2 y} \Delta_{y} \Delta^{2}-165 F_{2 y} F_{2} \Delta^{3}+100 J_{4 y} \Delta^{3}  \tag{3.74}\\
& +96 \Delta_{y}^{3}-72 \Delta_{y}^{2} F_{2} \Delta+108 \Delta_{y} F_{2}^{2} \Delta^{2}-240 \Delta_{y} J_{4} \Delta^{2}-24 F_{2}^{3} \Delta^{3} \\
& \left.\left.+60 F_{2} J_{4} \Delta^{3}\right)-500 \psi \varphi_{y}^{5} \beta \Delta^{3}+500 K_{7} \Delta^{4}\right] /\left(500 \varphi_{y} \Delta^{3}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (G.38) defines $\alpha$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\left(4 F_{2 y y}+6 F_{2 y} F_{2}-8 J_{4 y}+F_{2}^{3}-4 F_{2} J_{4}-8 K_{6}+56 K_{7} r\right) /\left(8 \varphi_{y}^{3}\right) \tag{3.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relation $\alpha_{x}-r \alpha_{y}=0$ leads to equation (G.11). Furthermore, considering $\left(\psi_{x}\right)_{\text {yyyy }}-\left(\psi_{y y y y}\right)_{x}=0$, one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta= & 120 \Delta_{y}\left(-4 F_{2 y y}-6 F_{2 y} F_{2}+8 J_{4 y}-F_{2}^{3}+4 F_{2} J_{4}+8 K_{6}-56 K_{7} r\right) \\
& +\Delta\left(320 F_{2 y y y}+480 F_{2 y y} F_{2}+336 F_{2 y}^{2}+168 F_{2 y} F_{2}^{2}+32 F_{2 y} J_{4}\right.  \tag{3.76}\\
& -480 J_{4 y y}-240 J_{4 y} F_{2}-1600 K_{7 x}+1600 K_{7 y} r-400 F_{1} K_{7} \\
& \left.-9 F_{2}^{4}+88 F_{2}^{2} J_{4}+160 F_{2} K_{6}-320 F_{2} K_{7} r-144 J_{4}^{2}\right) /\left(1600 \Delta \varphi_{y}^{4}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The relation $\beta_{x}-r \beta_{y}=0$ leads to equation (G.12). Equations (G.39)-(G.44) become equations (G.13)-(G.18), respectively.

Let us turn now to the integrability problem. One can find all secondorder derivatives of function $\varphi$ and all fourth-order derivatives of the function $\psi$ by using equations (3.72), (3.73) and (3.74). So that one obtains at equations
(G.19)-(G.22). Finally, the coefficients $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of the resulting linear equations (3.75) and (3.76) are given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha=\Theta /\left(8 \varphi_{y}^{3}\right), \\
\beta=+\left(-144 F_{2 y}^{2} \Delta-72 F_{2 y} F_{2}^{2} \Delta+352 F_{2 y} J_{4} \Delta+160 J_{4 y y} \Delta+80 J_{4 y} F_{2} \Delta\right. \\
+640 K_{6 y} \Delta-1600 K_{7 x} \Delta-2880 K_{7 y} r \Delta-4480 r_{y} K_{7} \Delta+80 \Theta_{y} \Delta \\
-120 \Delta_{y} \Theta-400 F_{1} K_{7} \Delta-9 F_{2}^{4} \Delta+88 F_{2}^{2} J_{4} \Delta+160 F_{2} K_{6} \Delta \\
\left.-320 F_{2} K_{7} r \Delta-144 J_{4}^{2} \Delta\right) /\left(1600 \varphi_{y}^{4} \Delta\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\Theta=\left(F_{2}^{2}-4 J_{4}\right) F_{2}-8\left(K_{6}-7 K_{7} r\right)-8 J_{4 y}+6 F_{2 y} F_{2}+4 F_{2 y y} .
$$

Hence, we complete the proof of theorems.

### 3.3.4 Illustration of the Linearization Theorems

Example 3.3. Consider the non-linear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}-\frac{10}{y^{\prime}} y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{1}{y^{\prime 2}}\left(15 y^{\prime \prime 3}-x y^{\prime 7}-y^{\prime 6}\right)=0 \tag{3.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has the form of equation (3.10) with the following coefficients:

$$
\begin{gather*}
r=0, F_{2}=0, F_{1}=0, F_{0}=0, H_{2}=0, H_{1}=0, H_{0}=0 \\
J_{4}=0, J_{3}=0, J_{2}=0, J_{1}=0, J_{0}=0, K_{7}=-x  \tag{3.78}\\
K_{6}=-1, K_{5}=0, K_{4}=0, K_{3}=0, K_{2}=0, K_{1}=0, K_{0}=0
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us test the equation (3.77) for linearization by using Theorem 3.5. It is manifest that the equations (G.1)-(G.18) are satisfied by the coefficients (3.78). Thus, the equation (3.77) is linearizable, and we can proceed further.

Let us take its simplest solution $\varphi=y$ and $\psi=x$ which satisfy the compatible system of equations (G.19)-(G.22). So that one obtains the following transformations

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=y, \quad u=x \tag{3.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\Theta=8$, equations (G.23) and (G.24) give

$$
\alpha=1, \quad \beta=1 .
$$

Hence, the equation (3.77) is mapped by the transformation (3.79) into the linear equation

$$
u^{(4)}+u^{\prime}+u=0 .
$$

## CHAPTER IV

## LINEARIZATION OF FOURTH-ORDER

## ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

 BY CONTACT TRANSFORMATIONSRecall that a transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\varphi(x, y, p), \quad u=\psi(x, y, p), \quad s=g(x, y, p) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the variables $x, y$ and $p=y^{\prime}=d y / d x$ is called a contact transformation if it obeys the contact condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=u^{\prime}=\frac{d u}{d t} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This chapter deals with the linearization of fourth-order ordinary differential equations (3.1) by means of contact transformations (4.1). A contact transformation (4.1) preserves the contact condition (4.2) if

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x, y, p)=\frac{D \psi(x, y, p)}{D \varphi(x, y, p)}=\frac{\psi_{x}+p \psi_{y}+y^{\prime \prime} \psi_{p}}{\varphi_{x}+p \varphi_{y}+y^{\prime \prime} \varphi_{p}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Splitting equation (4.3), it implies that the functions $\varphi, \psi$ and $g$ are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{p}=g \varphi_{p}, \quad \psi_{x}+p \psi_{y}=\left(\varphi_{x}+p \varphi_{y}\right) g \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if $\varphi_{p}=0$, then $\psi_{p}=0$, and hence, the transformation (4.1) becomes a point transformation. Since the linearization problem using point transformations was solved in the previous chapter, we further assume that $\varphi_{p} \neq 0$. Moreover, by virtue of equations (4.4), the Jacobian of the contact transformation (4.1) is

$$
\left(\varphi_{y} g-\psi_{y}\right)\left(\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p\right) \varphi_{p}-\left(\varphi_{x}+\varphi_{y} p\right) g_{p}\right) \neq 0
$$

Applying a contact transformation (4.1), the derivatives are changed as follows. The tangent conditions $d u^{\prime}=u^{\prime \prime} d t, d u^{\prime \prime}=u^{\prime \prime \prime} d t, d u^{\prime \prime \prime}=u^{(4)} d t, \ldots$ give the representation of the transformed derivatives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d^{2} u}{d t^{2}}= g_{1}= \\
& \frac{D g}{D \varphi}, \\
& \frac{d^{3} u}{d t^{3}}=g_{2}= \frac{D^{2} g D \varphi-D^{2} \varphi D g}{(D \varphi)^{3}}=\frac{1}{(D \varphi)^{2}}\left(D^{2} g-D^{2} \varphi g_{1}\right) \\
& \frac{d^{4} u}{d t^{4}}= g_{3}= \\
& \frac{1}{(D \varphi)^{3}}\left[D^{3} g-3\left(D^{2} \varphi\right) D \varphi g_{2}-\left(D^{3} \varphi\right) g_{1}\right]  \tag{4.5}\\
& \frac{d^{(k+1)} u}{d t^{k+1)}=} g_{k}= \\
& \frac{1}{(D \varphi)^{k}}\left[D^{k} g-\frac{k(k-1)}{2}\left(D^{2} \varphi\right)(D \varphi)^{k-2} g_{k-1}\right. \\
&\left.-k\left(D^{k-1} \varphi\right)(D \varphi) g_{2}-\left(D^{k} \varphi\right) g_{1}\right]+h_{k}\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, \ldots, y^{(k-1)}\right), \quad(k>3)
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that for any function $F=F(x, y, p)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
D F= & y^{\prime \prime} F_{p}+p F_{y}+F_{x}, \\
D^{2} F= & y^{\prime \prime \prime} F_{p}+y^{\prime \prime}\left(y^{\prime \prime} F_{p p}+2 \widetilde{D} F_{p}+F_{y}\right)+p \widetilde{D} F_{y}+\widetilde{D} F_{x}, \\
= & y^{\prime \prime \prime} F_{p}+y^{\prime \prime}\left(2 D F_{p}+F_{y}-y^{\prime \prime} F_{p p}\right)+p \widetilde{D} F_{y}+\widetilde{D} F_{x}, \\
D^{k-1} F= & y^{(k)} F_{p}+y^{(k-1)}\left((k-1) D F_{p}+F_{y}-\delta_{k, 3} y^{\prime \prime} F_{p p}\right) \\
& +f_{F}\left(y^{(k-2)}, y^{(k-3)}, \ldots, y^{\prime}, y, x\right), \quad(k \geq 3),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta_{k j}$ is the Kronecker symbol, and

$$
\widetilde{D}=\partial_{x}+p \partial_{y}
$$

### 4.1 Necessary Conditions for Linearization

Here we consider $i$ th-order ordinary differential equation (3.4). Our goal is to describe all equations (3.4), which are equivalent with respect to contact transformations (4.1) to a linear equation.

We start with investigating the necessary conditions for linearization. The general form of equation (3.4) that can be obtained from a linear ordinary differ-
ential equation by a contact transformation (4.1) is found on this step. Necessary conditions for a linearizable fourth-order ordinary differential equation are studied here in more details.

Because of the formulae of changing the derivatives (4.5), for obtaining necessary conditions one has to study separately equations of order two, three, four and orders greater than four. Here we present necessary conditions for equations of fourth-order $(i=4)$ and higher $(i>4)$.

### 4.1.1 Necessary Form of a Linearizable Fourth-order ODE

As was obtained in the previous section, the transformation (4.1) provides the change of derivatives (4.5). Substituting $u, u^{\prime}$ and $u^{(4)}$ into the linear equation (3.8), and setting $a(x, y, p)=\left(\varphi_{x}+p \varphi_{y}\right) / \varphi_{p}$, we arrive at the following equation

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y^{(4)}+\frac{1}{y^{\prime \prime}+a}\left[-3 y^{\prime \prime \prime 2}+\left(A_{2} y^{\prime \prime 2}+A_{1} y^{\prime \prime}+A_{0}\right) y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right.  \tag{4.6}\\
\left.+B_{5} y^{\prime \prime 5}+B_{4} y^{\prime \prime 4}+B_{3} y^{\prime \prime 3}+B_{2} y^{\prime \prime 2}+B_{1} y^{\prime \prime}+B_{0}\right]=0
\end{array}
$$

where $A_{i}=A_{i}(x, y, p)$ and $B_{i}=B_{i}(x, y, p)$ are some functions of $x, y, p$. Thus, we have proved the theorem.

Theorem 4.1. Any fourth-order ordinary differential equation linearizable by a contact transformation belongs to the class of equations (4.6).

Remark 4.1. Comparing the general forms of fourth-order ordinary differential equations linearizable by point transformations and contact transformations, we can conclude that in contrast to third-order ordinary differential equations, the sets linearizable by these two types of transformations are disjoint.

### 4.1.2 Necessary Form of a Linearizable $i$ th-order ODE

In this section necessary conditions for linearizable ordinary differential equations of order $i>4$ are obtained.

Calculations show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{i-2}= & \frac{1}{(D \varphi)^{i-2}}\left[D^{i-2} g-\frac{(i-2)(i-3)}{2}\left(D^{2} \varphi\right)(D \varphi)^{i-4} g_{i-3}\right. \\
& \left.-(i-2)\left(D^{i-3} \varphi\right)(D \varphi) g_{2}-\left(D^{i-2} \varphi\right) g_{1}\right]+\cdots \\
= & \frac{1}{(D \varphi)^{i-2}}\left[D^{i-2} g-\left(D^{i-2} \varphi\right) g_{1}\right]+\cdots \\
= & \frac{1}{(D \varphi)^{i-2}} y^{(i-1)}\left[g_{p}-\varphi_{p} g_{1}\right]+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Here ... means terms with derivatives of order less than $i-1$. Hence, the function $g_{i-1}$ has the representation

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{i-1}= & \frac{1}{(D \varphi)^{i-1}}\left[y^{(i)} g_{p}+y^{(i-1)}\left((i-1) D g_{p}+g_{y}\right)-\frac{(i-1)(i-2)}{2} \frac{D^{2} \varphi}{D \varphi} y^{(i-1)}\left(g_{p}-\varphi_{p} g_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-(i-1)(D \varphi) g_{2} y^{(i-1)} \varphi_{p}-g_{1}\left(y^{(i)} \varphi_{p}+y^{(i-1)}\left((i-1) D \varphi_{p}+\varphi_{y}\right)\right)\right]+\cdots \\
= & \frac{1}{(D \varphi)^{i-1}}\left[y^{(i)}\left(g_{p}-\varphi_{p} g_{1}\right)+y^{(i-1)}\left[\left((i-1) D g_{p}+g_{y}\right)-\frac{(i-1)(i-2)}{2} \frac{D^{2} \varphi}{D \varphi}\left(g_{p}-\varphi_{p} g_{1}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-(i-1)(D \varphi) g_{2} \varphi_{p}-g_{1}\left((i-1) D \varphi_{p}+\varphi_{y}\right)\right]\right]+\cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting derivatives of the function $u(t)$ into a linear equation (3.3), one obtains

$$
y^{(i)}+y^{(i-1)} \lambda_{i}+\ldots=0, \quad(i>4),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{i}\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)= & \Delta^{-1}\left[(i-1) D g_{p}+g_{y}-\frac{(i-1)(i-2)}{2} \frac{D^{2} \varphi}{D \varphi}\left(g_{p}-\varphi_{p} g_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-(i-1) \varphi_{p} \frac{D^{2} g D \varphi-D^{2} \varphi D g}{(D \varphi)^{2}}-\frac{D g}{D \varphi}\left((i-1) D \varphi_{p}+\varphi_{y}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\Delta(x, y, p)=\frac{g_{p} D \varphi-\varphi_{p} D g}{D \varphi}=\frac{g_{p} \widetilde{D} \varphi-\varphi_{p} \widetilde{D} g}{D \varphi} \neq 0
$$

The function $\lambda_{i}\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$ has the form

$$
\lambda_{i}=\frac{1}{y^{\prime \prime}+a}\left[-\frac{i(i-1)}{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+\left(A_{2} y^{\prime \prime 2}+A_{1} y^{\prime \prime}+A_{0}\right)\right]
$$

with some functions $A_{i}=A_{i}(x, y, p),(i=0,1,2)$. Thus, the necessary form of a linearizable ordinary differential equation of $i$ th-order is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(i)}+y^{(i-1)} \frac{1}{y^{\prime \prime}+a}\left[-\frac{i(i-1)}{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+\left(A_{2} y^{\prime \prime 2}+A_{1} y^{\prime \prime}+A_{0}\right)\right]+\ldots \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.2. Any ith-order ( $i>4$ ) ordinary differential equation linearizable by a contact transformation belongs to the class of equations (4.7).

### 4.2 Formulation of the Linearization Theorems

We have shown in the previous section that every linearizable fourth-order ordinary differential equation belongs to the class of equations (4.6) that are at most quadratic in $y^{\prime \prime \prime}$. In this section, we formulate the main theorems containing necessary and sufficient conditions for linearization, the methods for constructing the linearizing transformations as well as the coefficients of the resulting linear equations.

Theorem 4.3. Equation (4.6) is linearizable if and only if its coefficients obey the following conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
-4 f_{q} f_{r}+6 f_{r} D f_{r}-f_{r}^{3}-8 f_{p}-4 D^{2} f_{r}+8 D f_{q}=\lambda_{1}(q+a)^{3}  \tag{4.8}\\
-1440 f_{r} D^{2} f_{r}-1600 f_{y}+832 f_{q} D f_{r}-144 f_{q}^{2}+1512 D f_{r} f_{r}^{2}-808 f_{q} f_{r}^{2} \\
+480 D^{3} f_{r}-1600 f_{p} f_{r}-189 f_{r}^{4}+2000 D f_{q} f_{r}-864 D f_{r}^{2}-1120 D^{2} f_{q} \\
+1600 D f_{p}=\frac{(q+a)^{2}}{3}\left[-600 \lambda_{1 p}(q+a)^{2}+1800 \lambda_{1} r+30 \lambda_{1}\left(-24 a_{p} q\right.\right.  \tag{4.9}\\
\left.-24 a_{p} a-10 A_{0}-12 A_{1} q-2 A_{1} a-15 A_{2} q^{2}-6 A_{2} q a-A_{2} a^{2}\right) \\
\left.+\lambda_{2}(q+a)^{2}\right]
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda_{1 x}=\left(-12 \lambda_{1 p} a_{p} p+\lambda_{1 p}\left(-A_{1} p+2 A_{2} p a+10 a\right)\right.  \tag{4.10}\\
\left.-6 a_{p} \lambda_{1}+3 \lambda_{1}\left(-A_{1}+2 A_{2} a-\mu_{1} p\right)\right) / 10, \\
\lambda_{1 y}=\left(12 \lambda_{1 p} a_{p}+\lambda_{1 p}\left(A_{1}-2 A_{2} a\right)+3 \lambda_{1} \mu_{1}\right) / 10,  \tag{4.11}\\
\lambda_{2 y}=\left(12 \lambda_{2 p} a_{p}+\lambda_{2 p}\left(A_{1}-2 A_{2} a\right)+1800 \lambda_{1} \mu_{2} p+4 \lambda_{2} \mu_{1}\right) / 10, \tag{4.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $p=y^{\prime}, q=y^{\prime \prime}$ and $r=y^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

The following notations are used :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1}= & 4 A_{2 p p}+6 A_{2 p} A_{2}+8 B_{4 p}-32 B_{5 p} a-8 B_{5 x}-8 B_{5 y} p-56 a_{p} B_{5} \\
& -4 A_{1} B_{5}+A_{2}^{3}+4 A_{2} B_{4}-12 A_{2} B_{5} a, \\
\lambda_{2}= & 960 A_{1 p} B_{5}-432 A_{2 p}^{2}-216 A_{2 p} A_{2}^{2}-1056 A_{2 p} B_{4}+5040 A_{2 p} B_{5} a \\
& -1680 A_{2 x} B_{5}-1680 A_{2 y} B_{5} p-480 B_{4 p p}-240 B_{4 p} A_{2}+1920 B_{5 p x} \\
& +1920 B_{5 p y} p+480 B_{5 p p} a+960 B_{5 p} a_{p}+480 B_{5 p} A_{1}-240 B_{5 p} A_{2} a \\
& +480 B_{5 x} A_{2}+480 B_{5 y} A_{2} p+6720 B_{5 y}+240 \lambda_{1 p}-480 a_{p} A_{2} B_{5} \\
& -120 A_{1} A_{2} B_{5}-27 A_{2}^{4}-264 A_{2}^{2} B_{4}+1560 A_{2}^{2} B_{5} a-90 A_{2} \lambda_{1} \\
& -640 B_{3} B_{5}-432 B_{4}^{2}+6880 B_{4} B_{5} a-17200 B_{5}^{2} a^{2}, \\
\mu_{1}= & -8 A_{2 p} a+6 A_{2 y} p+4 a_{p} A_{2}+A_{1 p}+6 A_{2 x}+3 A_{1} A_{2}-6 A_{2}^{2} a \\
& +8 B_{3}-32 B_{4} a+80 B_{5} a^{2}, \\
\mu_{2}= & \left(156 A_{2 p} a_{p}+3 A_{2 p}\left(A_{1}-52 A_{2} a\right)+150 A_{2 y}\left(A_{2} p-1\right)\right. \\
& +2 a_{p}\left(57 A_{2}^{2}+92 B_{4}-460 B_{5} a\right)+150 A_{2 x} A_{2}-40 B_{3 p}+100 B_{4 p} a \\
& +60 B_{4 x}+60 B_{4 y} p-100 B_{5 p} a^{2}-300 B_{5 x} a-300 B_{5 y} p a+50 A_{0} B_{5} \\
& +57 A_{1} A_{2}^{2}+12 A_{1} B_{4}-110 A_{1} B_{5} a-114 A_{2}^{3} a+140 A_{2} B_{3} \\
& \left.-584 A_{2} B_{4} a+1570 A_{2} B_{5} a^{2}-15 A_{2} \mu_{1}\right) /(60 p) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 4.4. Provided that the conditions (4.8)-(4.12) are satisfied, the transformation (4.1) mapping equation (4.6) into a linear equation (3.8) is obtained by
solving the following compatible system of equations for the functions $\varphi(x, y, p)$, $\psi(x, y, p), g(x, y, p)$ and $k(x, y, p):$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi_{x}=a \varphi_{p}-p \varphi_{y}, \quad \varphi_{y}=\varphi_{p}\left(12 a_{p}+A_{1}-2 A_{2} a\right) / 10, \\
\varphi_{p p p}=\left(60 \varphi_{p p}^{2}+\varphi_{p}^{2}\left(-12 A_{2 p}-3 A_{2}^{2}-8 B_{4}+40 B_{5} a\right)\right) /\left(40 \varphi_{p}\right), \\
\psi_{x}=-p \psi_{y}+g\left(\varphi_{x}+p \varphi_{y}\right), \quad \psi_{y}=-\varphi_{p} k+\varphi_{y} g, \quad \psi_{p}=\varphi_{p} g, \\
g_{x}=g_{p} a-g_{y} p+k, \quad g_{y}=\left(-6 \varphi_{p p} k-\varphi_{p} A_{2} k+4 \varphi_{y} g_{p}\right) /\left(4 \varphi_{p}\right), \\
g_{p p p}=\left(-7200 \varphi_{p p}^{2} g_{p}+14400 \varphi_{p p} \varphi_{p} g_{p p}+900 \varphi_{p p} \lambda_{1} \psi+120 \varphi_{p}^{2} g_{p}\left(-12 A_{2 p}\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.-3 A_{2}^{2}-8 B_{4}+40 B_{5} a\right)+600 \varphi_{p}^{2}\left(-8 B_{5} k-g \lambda_{1}\right)-\varphi_{p} \lambda_{2} \psi\right) /\left(4800 \varphi_{p}^{2}\right), \\
k_{x}=\left(2 \varphi_{p p} k\left(-12 a_{p} p-A_{1} p+2 A_{2} p a+10 a\right)+\varphi_{p} k\left(56 a_{p}+8 A_{1}-6 A_{2} a-\omega p\right)\right) /\left(40 \varphi_{p}\right), \\
k_{y}=\left(2 \varphi_{p p} k\left(12 a_{p}+A_{1}-2 A_{2} a\right)+\varphi_{p} k \omega\right) /\left(40 \varphi_{p}\right), \quad k_{p}=\left(2 \varphi_{p p} k+\varphi_{p} A_{2} k\right) /\left(4 \varphi_{p}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
k=g_{x}+g_{y} p-g_{p} a \neq 0  \tag{4.13}\\
\omega=12 A_{1 p}-56 A_{2 p} a+32 A_{2 x}+32 A_{2 y} p+28 a_{p} A_{2}+21 A_{1} A_{2} \\
-42 A_{2}^{2} a+56 B_{3}-224 B_{4} a+560 B_{5} a^{2} .
\end{gather*}
$$

The coefficients $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of the resulting linear equation (3.8) are given by

$$
\alpha=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{8 \varphi_{p}^{3}}, \quad \beta=\frac{-900 \varphi_{p p} \lambda_{1}+\varphi_{p} \lambda_{2}}{4800 \varphi_{p}^{5}} .
$$

Remark 4.2. If the left hand sides of equations (4.8), (4.9) are equal to zero, and equation (4.6) is linearizable by contact transformations, then $\lambda_{1}=0$ and $\lambda_{2}=0$. In this case equations (4.10)-(4.12) are satisfied, and $\alpha=0, \beta=0$. This particular case was studied in (Dridi and Neut, 2005)*. Conversely, if an equation (4.6) can

[^13] These equations are equivalent to the form (4.6).
be mapped into the trivial equation $u^{(4)}=0$, then $\alpha=0, \beta=0$. This leads to $\lambda_{1}=0$ and $\lambda_{2}=0$. Hence, the left hand side of equations (4.8), (4.9) are equal to zero. Thus, the result obtained in the thesis extends linearization conditions obtained in (Dridi and Neut, 2005) for the most general case of linear equations.

### 4.3 Relations Between Coefficients and Transformations

Lemma 4.5. The coefficients of equation (4.6) and the functions $\varphi(x, y, p)$, $\psi(x, y, p)$ and $g(x, y, p)$ in the transformation (4.1) mapping linear equation (3.8) into equation (4.6) are related by equations ${ }^{\dagger}$ (I.1)-(I.9).

### 4.4 Proof of the Linearization Theorems

Proof : For given coefficients $A_{i}(x, y, p)$ and $B_{i}(x, y, p)$ of equation (4.6), we have to find the necessary and sufficient conditions for integrability of the overdetermined system of equations (I.1)-(I.9) for the unknown functions $\varphi(x, y, p)$, $\psi(x, y, p), g(x, y, p)$ and $k(x, y, p)$.

Defining the derivatives $\psi_{p}$ and $\psi_{x}$ from equation (4.4), and equating the mixed derivative $\left(\psi_{p}\right)_{x}=\left(\psi_{x}\right)_{p}$, one finds from this equation the derivative $\psi_{y}$. Recall that, according to our notation $\varphi_{x}=a \varphi_{p}-p \varphi_{y}$ and for simplicity of calculation, we introduce the function $k$ as in equation (4.13). From equation (4.13) one finds the derivative $g_{x}$. The equations $\left(\psi_{x}\right)_{y}=\left(\psi_{y}\right)_{x}$ and $\left(\psi_{y}\right)_{p}=\left(\psi_{p}\right)_{y}$ can be solved with respect to the derivatives $k_{x}$ and $k_{p}$, respectively. Equations (I.1)-(I.5) give $g_{y}, k_{y}, a_{x}, \alpha$ and $\varphi_{p p p}$. Thus, equations $\left(g_{x}\right)_{y}=\left(g_{y}\right)_{x},\left(\varphi_{x}\right)_{y}=\left(\varphi_{y}\right)_{x}$ and $\left(k_{x}\right)_{p}=\left(k_{p}\right)_{x}$ can be solved with respect to the derivatives $\varphi_{y}, A_{1 x}$ and $A_{2 p x}$, respectively. The equation (I.6) defines the derivative $a_{p p p}$.

[^14]Since $\alpha(x, y, p)=\alpha \circ \varphi(x, y, p)$, one obtains the relations

$$
\alpha_{x} \varphi_{y}-\alpha_{y} \varphi_{x}=0, \quad \alpha_{x} \varphi_{p}-\alpha_{p} \varphi_{x}=0, \quad \alpha_{y} \varphi_{p}-\alpha_{p} \varphi_{y}=0
$$

From these relations, one finds $\beta$ and $g_{p p p}$. Equations (I.8)-(I.9) serve for finding the derivative $A_{0 x}$ and the coefficient $B_{0}$. Notice that the following derivatives

$$
\varphi_{x}, \varphi_{y}, \varphi_{p p p}, \psi_{x}, \psi_{y}, \psi_{p}, g_{x}, g_{y}, g_{p p p}, k_{x}, k_{y}, k_{p}
$$

are found through

$$
\varphi_{p}, \varphi_{p p}, g_{p}, g_{p p}, \psi, g, k
$$

Thus, one has found all third-order derivatives of the function $\varphi$, all first-order derivatives of the function $\psi$, all third-order derivatives of the function $g$ and all first-order derivatives of the function $k$. The remaining compatibility conditions are obtained by equating the mixed derivatives (with corresponding orders) of the functions $\varphi(x, y, p), \psi(x, y, p), g(x, y, p)$ and $k(x, y, p)$.

Since $\beta(x, y, p)=\beta \circ \varphi(x, y, p)$, one obtains the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{x} \varphi_{y}-\beta_{y} \varphi_{x}=0,  \tag{4.14}\\
& \beta_{x} \varphi_{p}-\beta_{p} \varphi_{x}=0,  \tag{4.15}\\
& \beta_{y} \varphi_{p}-\beta_{p} \varphi_{y}=0 . \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing the mixed derivatives $\left(\varphi_{x}\right)_{p p p}=\left(\varphi_{p p p}\right)_{x}$ and $\left(\varphi_{y}\right)_{p p p}=\left(\varphi_{p p p}\right)_{y}$, one obtains the derivatives $A_{1 p p p}$ and $a_{p p}$. The equation $a_{p p p}=\left(a_{p p}\right)_{p}$ gives the derivative $A_{1 p p}$. Substituting $A_{1 p p}$ into the relation $A_{1 p p p}=\left(A_{1 p p}\right)_{p}$, one finds the derivative $A_{2 p y}$. Setting $\left(k_{x}\right)_{y}=\left(k_{y}\right)_{x}$ and $\left(k_{y}\right)_{p}=\left(k_{p}\right)_{y}$, one gets only the derivative $A_{0 p p}$. The derivative $B_{4 p x x}$ is found from equation (I.7). The relations (4.14)-(4.16) and the mixed derivatives $\left(g_{x}\right)_{p p p}=\left(g_{p p p}\right)_{x},\left(g_{y}\right)_{p p p}=\left(g_{p p p}\right)_{y}$ give the conditions for $B_{4 p p x}, B_{4 p p y}$ and $B_{4 p x}$. The equation $\left(a_{x}\right)_{p p}=\left(a_{p p}\right)_{x}$ provides the expression
for $A_{2 x x}$. The equations $B_{4 p p x}=\left(B_{4 p x}\right)_{p},\left(A_{1 x}\right)_{p p}=\left(A_{1 p p}\right)_{x},\left(A_{2 x x}\right)_{p}=\left(A_{2 p x}\right)_{x}$, $\left(A_{0 x}\right)_{p p}=\left(A_{0 p p}\right)_{x}$ and $B_{4 p x x}=\left(B_{4 p x}\right)_{x}$ give, respectively: $B_{3 p p p}, B_{4 x x}, B_{3 p p x}, B_{3 x x}$ and $A_{0 p}$. The derivative $a_{p y}$ can be found from the equation $A_{0 p p}=\left(A_{0 p}\right)_{p}$. Equation $\left(a_{p y}\right)_{p}=\left(a_{p p}\right)_{y}$ yields the derivative $A_{1 p y}$. One can find the derivative $A_{0 y}$ from the equation $\left(A_{0 p}\right)_{x}=\left(A_{0 x}\right)_{p}$. Comparing the mixed derivatives $\left(A_{0 p}\right)_{y}=\left(A_{0 y}\right)_{p}$ and $\left(A_{1 p y}\right)_{p}=\left(A_{1 p p}\right)_{y}$, one arrives to formulae for the derivatives $B_{2 p x}$ and $B_{2 p p p}$, respectively. The equations $\left(A_{0 x}\right)_{y}=\left(A_{0 y}\right)_{x}$ and $\left(B_{3 x x}\right)_{p p}=\left(B_{3 p p x}\right)_{x}$ give the derivatives $B_{1 p x}$ and $B_{5 x x x x}$, respectively.

Analyzing the results of (Dridi and Neut, 2005), and recalculating the left hand sides of equations (4.8)-(4.12), we could represent the obtained conditions in the final criteria of linearization of a fourth-order ordinary differential equation in the form presented in (4.8)-(4.12).

### 4.5 Illustration of the Linearization Theorems

Consider the nonlinear ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-16 y^{\prime 2} y^{\prime \prime} y^{(4)}+48 y^{\prime 2} y^{\prime \prime \prime 2}+y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime 5} x-48 y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime 2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}-y^{\prime \prime 5} y+12 y^{\prime \prime 4}=0 \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is an equation of the form (4.6) with coefficients

$$
\begin{gathered}
a=0, \quad A_{2}=\frac{3}{p}, \quad A_{1}=0, \quad A_{0}=0 \\
B_{5}=\frac{-p x+y}{16 p^{2}}, \quad B_{4}=\frac{-3}{4 p^{2}}, \quad B_{3}=B_{2}=B_{1}=B_{0}=0 \\
\lambda_{1}=0, \quad \lambda_{2}=\frac{300}{p^{2}}, \quad \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\omega=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

These coefficients obey the linearization conditions (4.8)-(4.12). Thus, equation (4.17) is linearizable. The linearizing transformation is found as follows. The equations for the function $\varphi$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{y}=0, \quad \varphi_{x}=0, \quad \varphi_{p p p}=\frac{12 p^{2} \varphi_{p p}^{2}+3 \varphi_{p}^{2}}{8 p^{2} \varphi_{p}} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $\varphi=\sqrt{p}$ is a particular solution of the equations (4.18). In this case $g_{y}=0$. Then the function $k(x, y, p)$ has to satisfy the equations

$$
k_{x}=0, \quad k_{y}=0, \quad k_{p}=\frac{g_{x}}{2 p} .
$$

Since $g_{x}=k$ and $k=k(p)$, the general solution is $k=k_{0} \sqrt{p}$, where $k_{0}$ is constant. So that $g=k_{0} x \sqrt{p}+f(p)$, in particular, one can consider

$$
g=x \sqrt{p}
$$

One can readily verify that the function $g=x \sqrt{p}$ solves equation for $g_{p p p}$ as well. Solving system of equations $\psi_{x}=-p \psi_{y}, \psi_{y}=-1 / 2$ and $\psi_{p}=x / 2$, one finds

$$
\psi=\frac{x p-(y-C)}{2}
$$

where $C$ is constant. Taking for example $C=0$, one obtains the transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\sqrt{p}, \quad \psi=\frac{x p-y}{2}, \quad g=x \sqrt{p} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of the resulting linear equation (3.8) are

$$
\alpha=0, \quad \beta=\frac{1}{16 p^{2} \varphi_{p}^{4}}=1
$$

Hence, the nonlinear equation (4.17) is mapped by the transformation (4.19) into the linear equation

$$
u^{(4)}+u=0 .
$$

### 4.6 Application of the Linearization Theorems to a System of Two Second-order ODEs

In this section we give some conditions for linearization of a system of two second-order ordinary differential equations with two dependent variables $y, z$ and one independent variable $x$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=f_{1}\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, z\right), \quad z^{\prime \prime}=f_{2}\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, z\right) \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming that $f_{1 z} \neq 0$, by virtue of the Inverse Function Theorem the first equation of (4.20) can be solved with respect to $z=h\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Substituting this into the second equation of (4.20), one obtains that system (4.20) is equivalent to the fourth-order ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{(4)} h_{y^{\prime \prime}} & +y^{\prime \prime \prime} h_{y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime}}+y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(2 h_{y^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}} y^{\prime \prime}+2 h_{y^{\prime \prime} y} y^{\prime}+2 h_{y^{\prime \prime} x}+h_{y^{\prime}}\right)+h_{y^{\prime} y^{\prime}} y^{\prime \prime 2}  \tag{4.21}\\
& +\left(2 h_{y^{\prime} y} y^{\prime}+2 h_{y^{\prime} x}+h_{y}\right) y^{\prime \prime}+h_{y y} y^{\prime 2}+2 h_{x y} y^{\prime}+h_{x x}-f_{2}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Applying linearization theorems to equation (4.21) one can obtain conditions for the functions $f_{2}\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, z\right)$ and $h\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right)$ which are necessary and sufficient for equation (4.21) to be linearizable.

Since one of the necessary conditions for linearization of equation (4.21) requires that this equation has to be a quadratic equation with respect to the third-order derivative $y^{\prime \prime \prime}$ with the coefficient $-\frac{3}{y^{\prime \prime}+a}$, one obtains that the general form of the function $h$ is

$$
h=h_{0}+\frac{h_{1}}{\left(y^{\prime \prime}+a\right)^{2}},
$$

where $h_{i}=h_{i}\left(x, y, y^{\prime}\right),(i=0,1)$. Since $h_{y^{\prime \prime}} \neq 0$, the function $h_{1} \neq 0$. Because of the coefficients with the derivative $y^{\prime \prime \prime}$ have to be quadratic with respect to the second-order derivative $y^{\prime \prime}$, one obtains $h_{0 y^{\prime}}=0$, which means that $h_{0}=h_{0}(x, y)$. Hence, the coefficients $A_{2}, A_{1}$ and $A_{0}$ in equation (4.6) are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{2}=3 h_{1 p} /\left(2 h_{1}\right) \\
& A_{1}=\left(2\left(h_{1 x}+h_{1 y} p\right)+h_{1 p} a-5 a_{p} h_{1}\right) / h_{1} \\
& A_{0}=\left(\left(4\left(h_{1 x}+h_{1 y} p\right)-h_{1 p} a\right) a-12 a_{y} h_{1} p-12 a_{x} h_{1}+2 a_{p} a h_{1}\right) /\left(2 h_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p=y^{\prime}$.
Since the coefficients with the derivative $y^{\prime \prime 6}$ have to be zero, one arrives at
equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(y^{\prime \prime}+a\right)^{4} f_{2 y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime}}+24\left(y^{\prime \prime}+a\right)^{3} f_{2 y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime}} \\
+18\left(y^{\prime \prime}+a\right)^{2} f_{2 y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime}}+480\left(y^{\prime \prime}+a\right) f_{2 y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime}}+360 f_{2 y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime}}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

The general solution of this equation is

$$
f_{2}=\frac{c_{1}}{y^{\prime \prime}+a}+\frac{c_{2}}{\left(y^{\prime \prime}+a\right)^{2}}+\frac{c_{3}}{\left(y^{\prime \prime}+a\right)^{3}}+\frac{c_{4}}{\left(y^{\prime \prime}+a\right)^{4}}+c_{5} y^{\prime \prime}+c_{6}
$$

where $c_{i}=c_{i}\left(x, y, y^{\prime}\right),(i=1,2, \ldots, 6)$ and one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{5}=-\left(h_{0 y}-c_{5}\right) /\left(2 h_{1}\right), \\
& B_{4}=-\left(2 h_{0 x y} p+h_{0 x x}+h_{0 y y} p^{2}+4 h_{0 y} a+h_{1 p p}-4 a c_{5}-c_{6}\right) /\left(2 h_{1}\right), \\
& B_{3}=\left(2 a_{p p} h_{1}+4 a_{p} h_{1 p}-8 h_{0 x y} a p-4 h_{0 x x} a-4 h_{0 y y} a p^{2}-6 h_{0 y} a^{2}-2 h_{1 p x}\right. \\
&\left.-2 h_{1 p y} p-2 h_{1 p p} a-h_{1 y}+6 a^{2} c_{5}+4 a c_{6}+c_{1}\right) /\left(2 h_{1}\right), \\
& B_{2}=\left(4 a_{p x} h_{1}+4 a_{p y} h_{1} p+2 a_{p p} a h_{1}-6 a_{p}^{2} h_{1}+4 a_{p} h_{1 p} a+4 a_{p} h_{1 x}+4 a_{p} h_{1 y} p\right. \\
&+4 a_{x} h_{1 p}+4 a_{y} h_{1 p} p+2 a_{y} h_{1}-12 h_{0 x y} a^{2} p-6 h_{0 x x} a^{2}-6 h_{0 y y} a^{2} p^{2} \\
&-4 h_{0 y} a^{3}-4 h_{1 p x} a-4 h_{1 p y} a p-h_{1 p p} a^{2}-2 h_{1 x y} p-h_{1 x x}-h_{1 y y} p^{2} \\
&\left.-2 h_{1 y} a+4 a^{3} c_{5}+6 a^{2} c_{6}+3 a c_{1}+c_{2}\right) /\left(2 h_{1}\right), \\
& B_{1}=\left(4 a_{p x} a h_{1}+4 a_{p y} a h_{1} p-12 a_{p} a_{x} h_{1}-12 a_{p} a_{y} h_{1} p+4 a_{p} h_{1 x} a+4 a_{p} h_{1 y} a p\right. \\
&+4 a_{x y} h_{1} p+2 a_{x x} h_{1}+4 a_{x} h_{1 p} a+4 a_{x} h_{1 x}+4 a_{x} h_{1 y} p+2 a_{y y} h_{1} p^{2} \\
&+4 a_{y} h_{1 p} a p+4 a_{y} h_{1 x} p+4 a_{y} h_{1 y} p^{2}+2 a_{y} a h_{1}-8 h_{0 x y} a^{3} p-4 h_{0 x x} a^{3} \\
&-4 h_{0 y y} a^{3} p^{2}-h_{0 y} a^{4}-2 d f\left(h_{1}, p, x\right) a^{2}-2 h_{1 p y} a^{2} p-4 h_{1 x y} a p-2 h_{1 x x} a \\
&\left.-2 h_{1 y y} a p^{2}-h_{1 y} a^{2}+a^{4} c_{5}+4 a^{3} c_{6}+3 a^{2} c_{1}+2 a c_{2}+c_{3}\right) /\left(2 h_{1}\right), \\
& B_{0}=\left(4 a_{x y} a h_{1} p+2 a_{x x} a h_{1}-6 a_{x}^{2} h_{1}-12 a_{x} a_{y} h_{1} p+4 a_{x} h_{1 x} a+4 a_{x} h_{1 y} a p\right. \\
&+ 2 a_{y y} a h_{1} p^{2}-6 a_{y}^{2} h_{1} p^{2}+4 a_{y} h_{1 x} a p+4 a_{y} h_{1 y} a p^{2}-2 h_{0 x y} a^{4} p-h_{0 x x} a^{4} \\
&-h_{0 y y} a^{4} p^{2}-2 h_{1 x y} a^{2} p-h_{1 x x} a^{2}-h_{1 y y} a^{2} p^{2}+a^{4} c_{6}+a^{3} c_{1}+a^{2} c_{2} \\
&\left.+a c_{3}+c_{4}\right) /\left(2 h_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the sake of simplicity here we consider a particular case where $a=0, h_{0}=0$ and $h_{1 x}=0$. We present the linearization conditions for the case $h_{1 y}=0$ and $h_{1 y} \neq 0$.

### 4.6.1 Case $h_{1 y}=0$

One can verify that in this case the coefficients $A_{i}$ and $B_{i}$ found satisfy the linearization conditions (4.8)-(4.12) if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=c_{2}=c_{3}=c_{4}=0, \quad c_{6 x}=c_{6 y}=0, \quad c_{5 x x}=c_{5 x y}=c_{5 y y}=0 \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case the functions $f_{2}\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, z\right)$ and $h\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right)$ satisfying conditions (4.22) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}=\left(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} x+\nu_{3} y\right) y^{\prime \prime}+\nu_{4}, \quad h=\frac{\nu_{5}^{2}}{y^{\prime \prime 2}}, \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu_{i}=\nu_{i}\left(y^{\prime}\right),(i=1, \ldots, 5)$ are arbitrary functions ${ }^{\ddagger}$. System (4.20) corresponding to these functions is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\nu_{5}}{\sqrt{z}}, \quad z^{\prime \prime}=\left(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} x+\nu_{3} y\right) \frac{\nu_{5}}{\sqrt{z}}+\nu_{4} . \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we can conclude that a system (4.20) is linearizable if it has the form (4.24).

### 4.6.2 Case $h_{1 y} \neq 0$

In this case setting $c_{1}=0$, the found coefficients $A_{i}$ and $B_{i}$ obey the linearization conditions (4.8)-(4.12) if and only if

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{2}=c_{3}=c_{4}=0, \quad h_{1 p}=4 h_{1} / p, \quad h_{1 y y}=6 h_{1 y}^{2} /\left(5 h_{1}\right),  \tag{4.25}\\
c_{6 x}=-\left(c_{6 p} p^{3}-6 h_{1}\right) h_{1 y} /\left(5 p h_{1}\right), \quad c_{6 y}=\left(c_{6 p} p+3 c_{6}\right) h_{1 y} /\left(5 h_{1}\right), \tag{4.26}
\end{gather*}
$$

[^15]\[

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{5 x x}= & -\left(20 c_{5 p x} h_{1} p^{4}+2 c_{5 p p} h_{1 y} p^{6}+15 c_{5 p} h_{1 y} p^{5}+60 c_{5 x} h_{1} p^{3}\right. \\
& \left.+5 c_{5 y} h_{1} p^{4}+20 h_{1 y} c_{5} p^{4}+10 c_{6} h_{1} p^{2}-120 h_{1}^{2}\right) h_{1 y} /\left(50 p^{2} h_{1}^{2}\right),  \tag{4.27}\\
c_{5 x y}= & \left(10\left(c_{5 p y} p^{2}+4 c_{5 x}+c_{5 p x} p\right) h_{1}-\left(20 c_{5 p y} h_{1} p-2 c_{5 p p} h_{1 y} p^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-15 c_{5 p} h_{1 y} p+25 c_{5 y} h_{1}-20 h_{1 y} c_{5}\right) p\right) h_{1 y} /\left(50 h_{1}^{2}\right),  \tag{4.28}\\
c_{5 y y}= & -\left(2 c_{5 p p} h_{1 y} p^{2}+15 c_{5 p} h_{1 y} p-75 c_{5 y} h_{1}+20 h_{1 y} c_{5}\right. \\
& \left.-20 c_{5 p y} h_{1} p\right) h_{1 y} /\left(50 h_{1}^{2}\right) . \tag{4.29}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

One type of the functions $f_{2}\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, z\right)$ and $h\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right)$ satisfying conditions (4.25)-(4.29) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}=\frac{\sigma_{1}}{y^{3}}+\frac{3 y^{\prime 2}}{\kappa y^{5}}+y^{\prime \prime}\left(-\frac{3}{4 \kappa y^{4}}+\frac{\sigma_{1}}{2 y^{2} y^{\prime 2}}+\frac{\sigma_{2}}{\sqrt{y^{\prime} y^{\prime 2}}}+\frac{\sigma_{3}}{y y^{\prime 3}}+\frac{\sigma_{4}}{y^{\prime 4}}\right), \quad h=\frac{y^{\prime 4}}{\kappa y^{5} y^{\prime \prime 2}}, \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{i}=\sigma_{i}\left(\frac{y}{p}-x\right),(i=1,2,3,4)$ are arbitrary functions and $\kappa$ is a constant ${ }^{\S}$. System (4.20) corresponding to these functions is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{y^{\prime 2}}{\sqrt{\kappa y^{5} z}}, \quad z^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\sigma_{1}}{y^{3}}+\frac{3 y^{\prime 2}}{\kappa y^{5}}+\frac{y^{\prime 2}}{\sqrt{\kappa y^{5} z}}\left(-\frac{3}{4 \kappa y^{4}}+\frac{\sigma_{1}}{2 y^{2} y^{\prime 2}}+\frac{\sigma_{2}}{\sqrt{y^{\prime} y^{\prime 2}}}+\frac{\sigma_{3}}{y y^{\prime 3}}+\frac{\sigma_{4}}{y^{\prime 4}}\right) . \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we can conclude that a system (4.20) is linearizable if it has the form (4.31).

## CHAPTER V

## CONCLUSIONS

This thesis is devoted to the study of the linearization problem of fourthorder ordinary differential equations via point and contact transformations. The results obtained are separated into two parts.

In the first part, the criteria for fourth-order ordinary differential equations to be linearizable by point transformations are given. Two distinctly different classes for linearization are provided: the sets of all fourth-order ordinary differential equations that are linearizable by point transformations are contained either in the class of equations (3.9) or in the class of equations (3.10).

- The main Theorem 3.2 for the first class, provides necessary and sufficient conditions for linearization. The explicit procedure for constructing the linearizing point transformations and the formulae for the coefficients of the resulting linear equations are summarized in Theorem 3.3. An example of a third-order member of Riccati Hierarchy equation which is not linearizable by a point transformation or contact transformation or generalized Sundman transformation, but is linearizable by our method, is given. Linearization of traveling waves of partial differential equation are applied. Applications of how one can effect linearization for a system of two second-order ordinary differential equations are presented.
- The main Theorem 3.5 for the second class, provides necessary and sufficient conditions for linearization. The procedure for obtaining the linearizing point transformations and the coefficients of the resulting linear equations is
summarized in Theorem 3.6.

Moreover, the general form of ordinary differential equations of order greater than four linearizable via point transformations are obtained.

The second part deals with the linearization of fourth-order ordinary differential equations by contact transformations. The general form of fourth-order ordinary differential equations that are linearizable via contact transformations is (4.6). Conditions which guarantee that equations (4.6) can be linearizable are provided Theorem 4.3. The explicit procedure for obtaining the linearizing contact transformations and coefficients of the resulting linear equations are presented in Theorem 4.4. The linearization criteria obtained for fourth-order ordinary differential equations are applied to a system of two second-order ordinary differential equations. The general form of ordinary differential equations of order greater than four linearizable via contact transformations are provided.

Furthermore, it is proven that the set of fourth-order ordinary differential equations linearizable by point transformations and the set of fourth-order ordinary differential equations linearizable by contact transformations are disjoint.

We can conclude that the criteria for fourth-order ordinary differential equations to be linearizable via point and contact transformations are completed. Program for checking the linearizable criteria have also been developed.

In the future work I will analyze the conditions for fourth-order ordinary differential equations to be linearizable by tangent transformations.
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## APPENDICES

## APPENDIX A

## REMARKS ON CONTACT

## TRANSFORMATIONS OF SECOND-ORDER

## ODEs

The contact condition has the following meaning. Let the function $y(x)$ be given. The transformed function $u(t)$ is found from the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t=\varphi\left(x, y(x), y^{\prime}(x)\right), \\
& u=\psi\left(x, y(x), y^{\prime}(x)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By virtue of the Inverse Function Theorem, the first equation gives

$$
x=\tau(t)
$$

and then

$$
u(t)=\psi\left(\tau(t), y(\tau(t)), y^{\prime}(\tau(t))\right)
$$

Hence, it is assumed $D \varphi \neq 0$. The derivative is

$$
u^{\prime}(t)=\frac{D \psi}{D \varphi}\left(\tau(t), y(\tau(t)), y^{\prime}(\tau(t)), y^{\prime \prime}(\tau(t))\right) .
$$

The contact condition requires

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x, y, p)=\frac{D \psi}{D \varphi}(x, y, p, w), \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p=y^{\prime}$ and $w=y^{\prime \prime}$. Equation (A.1) is rewritten in the form

$$
g\left(\varphi_{x}+p \varphi_{y}+w \varphi_{p}\right)=\psi_{x}+p \psi_{y}+w \psi_{p}
$$

Since the contact condition is satisfied for any $w=y^{\prime \prime}$, one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
g\left(\varphi_{x}+p \varphi_{y}\right) & =\psi_{x}+p \psi_{y}  \tag{A.2}\\
g \varphi_{p} & =\psi_{p} .
\end{align*}
$$

S. Lie showed that all second-order ordinary differential equations are equivalent with respect to the set of contact transformations. In fact, let us prove that any equation

$$
y^{\prime \prime}=f(x, y, p)
$$

is equivalent with respect to the set of contact transformations to the equation

$$
u^{\prime \prime}=0 .
$$

Since $u^{\prime \prime}=\frac{D g}{D \varphi}$, one needs to find functions $\varphi(x, y, p), \psi(x, y, p)$ and $g(x, y, p)$ which satisfy (A.2) and the equation $D g=0$, which is

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{x}+p g_{y}+f g_{p}=0 \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that the Jacobian of the transformation is

$$
\Delta=\left(\psi_{y}-g \varphi_{y}\right)\left(g_{p}\left(\varphi_{x}+p \varphi_{y}\right)-\varphi_{p}\left(g_{x}+p g_{y}\right)\right) \neq 0
$$

or we can write

$$
\Delta=\left(\psi_{y}-g \varphi_{y}\right) g_{p}\left(\varphi_{x}+p \varphi_{y}+f \varphi_{p}\right) \neq 0
$$

Without loss of generality it is assumed that $f \neq 0$.
Assume that $g(x, y, p)$ is some solution of (A.3) such that $g_{p} \neq 0$. Since $f \neq 0$, then the value

$$
g_{x}+p g_{y} \neq 0
$$

Let us denote

$$
\alpha=\psi-\varphi g .
$$

Equations (A.2) become

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha_{x}+p \alpha_{y}=\varphi f g_{p}, \\
\alpha_{p}+\varphi g_{p}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, the function $\alpha(x, y, p)$, has to satisfy the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{x}+p \alpha_{y}+f \alpha_{p}=0 . \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that the requirement $\Delta \neq 0$ leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{y} g_{p}-\alpha_{p} g_{y} \neq 0 . \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $g_{p} \neq 0$, then for solving equation (A.4) one can change the independent variables $(x, y, p)$ into $(x, y, g)$.

$$
\text { Let } p=h(x, y, g), \alpha=H(x, y, g) . \text { Since }
$$

$$
\alpha_{x}=H_{x}+H_{g} g_{x}, \quad \alpha_{y}=H_{y}+H_{g} g_{y}, \quad \alpha_{p}=H_{g} g_{p}
$$

then the function $H(x, y, g)$ has to satisfy the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{x}+h H_{y}=0 . \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition (A.5) becomes

$$
H_{y} \neq 0 .
$$

In equation (A.6) the variable $g$ plays the role of a parameter. Finding any solution $H(x, y, g)$ of equation (A.6) satisfying this condition one finds the transformation of the equation $y^{\prime \prime}=f(x, y, p)$ to the equation $u^{\prime \prime}=0$.

## APPENDIX B

# A PARTICULAR LINEARIZATION PROBLEM OF FOURTH-ORDER ODEs UNDER CONTACT TRANSFORMATIONS 

In 2005, Dridi and Neut used Cartan's method to study the equivalence problem of fourth-order ordinary differential equation with the flat model under contact transformations. As a result, they obtained that the following propositions are equivalent:
(i) the equation $y^{(4)}=f\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$ is equivalent to the equation $u^{(4)}=0$ under a contact transformation,
(ii) the equation $y^{(4)}=f\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$ admits a contact symmetry group of 8 parameters,
(iii) $f$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{r r r}=0, \quad f_{r r}^{2}+6 f_{q r r}=0, \\
-4 f_{q} f_{r}+6 f_{r} D f_{r}-f_{r}^{3}-8 f_{q}-4 D^{2} f_{r}+8 D f_{q}=0, \\
-1440 f_{r} D^{2} f_{r}-1600 f_{y}+832 f_{q} D f_{r}-144 f_{q}{ }^{2}+1512 D f_{r} f_{r}{ }^{2} \\
-808 f_{q} f_{r}^{2}+480 D^{3} f_{r}-1600 f_{p} f_{r}-189 f_{r}^{4}+2000 D f_{q} f_{r} \\
-864\left(D f_{r}\right)^{2}-1120 D^{2} f_{q}+1600 D f_{q}=0,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $p=y^{\prime}, q=y^{\prime \prime}, r=y^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $D=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+p \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+q \frac{\partial}{\partial p}+r \frac{\partial}{\partial q}+f(x, y, p, q, r) \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$.

## APPENDIX C

## LINEAR SECOND-ORDER ODEs

The general form of a linear second-order ordinary differential equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(x)+a(x) y^{\prime}(x)+b(x) y(x)=c(x) . \tag{C.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Any linear second-order ordinary differential equation (C.1) is equivalent to the equation

$$
u^{\prime \prime}=0
$$

In fact, because a solution of equation (C.1) is represented as

$$
y=y_{h}+y_{p}
$$

where $y_{h}$ is a solution of the homogeneous equation

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+a y^{\prime}+b y=0
$$

and $y_{p}$ is a particular solution of equation (C.1), one can construct the transformation

$$
t=x, \quad v=y-y_{p}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v^{\prime}=y^{\prime}-y_{p}^{\prime} \\
& v^{\prime \prime}=y^{\prime \prime}-y_{p}^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting these expressions into equation (C.1), one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(v^{\prime \prime}+y_{p}^{\prime \prime}\right)+a\left(v^{\prime}+y_{p}^{\prime}\right)+b\left(v+y_{p}\right) & =c \\
\left(v^{\prime \prime}+a v^{\prime}+b v\right)+\left(y_{p}^{\prime \prime}+a y_{p}^{\prime}+b y_{p}\right) & =c \\
\left(v^{\prime \prime}+a v^{\prime}+b v\right)+c & =c \\
v^{\prime \prime}+a v^{\prime}+b v & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

That is we can exclude the coefficient $c$ from equation (C.1).
Let us exclude the coefficients $a$ and $b$ in the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+a y^{\prime}+b y=0 . \tag{C.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that a solution of equation (C.2) has the form

$$
y(x)=u(t) q(x) .
$$

Consider the transformation

$$
t=x, \quad u=\frac{y}{q} ; \quad q \neq 0 .
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{\prime}=u^{\prime} q+u q^{\prime} \\
& y^{\prime \prime}=u^{\prime \prime} q+2 u^{\prime} q^{\prime}+u q^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting these expressions into equation (C.2), one has

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(u^{\prime \prime} q+2 u^{\prime} q^{\prime}+u q^{\prime \prime}\right)+a\left(u^{\prime} q+u q^{\prime}\right)+b u q=0 \\
u^{\prime \prime} q+u^{\prime}\left(2 q^{\prime}+a q\right)+u\left(q^{\prime \prime}+a q^{\prime}+b q\right)=0
\end{array}
$$

Choosing $q(x)$ which satisfies equation (C.2), one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+u^{\prime}\left(\frac{2 q^{\prime}}{q}+a\right)=0 \tag{C.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we can exclude the coefficient $b$ in equation (C.2).
Next, let the function $h(x)$ such that

$$
h^{\prime}=\frac{2 q^{\prime}}{q}+a .
$$

Then, equation (C.3) becomes

$$
u^{\prime \prime}+u^{\prime} h^{\prime}=0 .
$$

Because of

$$
e^{\int_{0}^{t} h^{\prime}(s) d s}\left(u^{\prime \prime}+u^{\prime} h^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

so that,

$$
e^{h}\left(u^{\prime \prime}+u^{\prime} h^{\prime}\right)=0 .
$$

Setting $v^{\prime}=u^{\prime} e^{h}$. Hence, one obtains

$$
v^{\prime \prime}=0 .
$$

## APPENDIX D

## SOME MATERIAL FOR REVIEW AND REFERENCE

Theorem 4.1. (Inverse Function Theorem). Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be continuously differentiable on some open set containing $a$, and suppose $\operatorname{det} J f(a) \neq 0$, where $J$ is the Jacobian matrix. Then there is some open set $V$ containing $a$ and an open $W$ containing $f(a)$ such that $f: V \rightarrow W$ has a continuous inverse $f^{-1}: W \rightarrow V$ which is differentiable for all $y \in W$.

Theorem 4.2. (Faa de Bruno Formula). If $g$ and $f$ are functions with a sufficient number of derivatives, then

$$
\frac{d^{k}}{d t^{k}} g(f(t))=\sum \frac{k!}{l_{1}!l_{2}!\cdots l_{k}!} g^{(m)}(f(t))\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(t)}{1!}\right)^{l_{1}}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(t)}{2!}\right)^{l_{2}} \cdots\left(\frac{f^{(k)}(t)}{k!}\right)^{l_{k}}
$$

where the sum is over all different solutions in nonnegative integers $l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{k}$ of $l_{1}+2 l_{2}+\cdots+k l_{k}=k$, and $m=l_{1}+l_{2}+\cdots+l_{k}$.

Theorem 4.3. (Leibnitz Formula for the $n$-th Derivative of a Product). Let $u(x)$ and $v(x)$ be functions of class $C^{n}$, i.e., functions with continuous $n$-th derivative. Then their product is also of class $C^{n}$, and

$$
\frac{d^{n}}{d x^{n}}[u(x) v(x)]=\sum_{r=0}^{n}\binom{n}{r} \frac{d^{r}}{d x^{r}}[u(x)] \frac{d^{n-r}}{d x^{n-r}}[v(x)],
$$

where

$$
\binom{n}{r}=\frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!}
$$

is the usual binomial coefficient.

## APPENDIX E

## PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1

Proof : The substitution $y=v q$ leads equation (2.11) to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{(k)}+\bar{a}_{k-2} v^{(k-2)}+\cdots+\bar{a}_{1} v^{\prime}+\bar{a}_{0} v=0 . \tag{E.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, the Leibnitz formula for the derivative of the product of the functions $v$ and $q$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
(v q)^{(k)} & =\sum_{r=0}^{k} \frac{k!}{(k-r)!r!} v^{(k-r)} q^{(r)} \\
& =\sum_{r=2}^{k} \frac{k!}{(k-r)!r!} v^{(k-r)} q^{(r)}+v^{(k)} q+k v^{(k-1)} q^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, equation (2.11) becomes

$$
v^{(k)} q+v^{(k-1)}\left(k q^{\prime}+a_{k-1} q\right)+\cdots=0 .
$$

Choosing the function $q$ such that

$$
k q^{\prime}+a_{k-1} q=0
$$

one obtains equation (E.1).
Let us exclude the coefficient $a_{k-2}$ in the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(k)}+a_{k-2} y^{(k-2)}+a_{k-3} y^{(k-3)}+\cdots+a_{1} y^{\prime}+a_{0} y=0 . \tag{E.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this purpose, one can use the change

$$
t=\varphi(x), \quad y=u q(x)
$$

Consider

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{(k)}+a_{k-2} y^{(k-2)}= & \left(\frac{d^{k}}{d t^{k}} u\right) q+k\left(\frac{d^{k-1}}{d t^{k-1}} u\right) q^{\prime}+\frac{k(k-1)}{2}\left(\frac{d^{k-2}}{d t^{k-2}} u\right) q^{\prime \prime} \\
& +\cdots+a_{k-2}\left[\left(\frac{d^{k-2}}{d t^{k-2}} u\right) q+\cdots\right] \tag{E.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the Faa de Bruno formula, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^{k}}{d t^{k}} u & =\sum \frac{k!}{l_{1}!l_{2}!\cdots l_{k}!} u^{\left(l_{1}+l_{2}+\cdots+l_{k}\right)}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{1!}\right)^{l_{1}}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}}{2!}\right)^{l_{2}} \cdots\left(\frac{\varphi^{(k)}}{k!}\right)^{l_{k}} \\
& =b_{0} u^{(k)}+b_{1} u^{(k-1)}+b_{2} u^{(k-2)}+\cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

For finding the coefficient $b_{0}$ one has to solve the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
l_{1}+2 l_{2}+\cdots+k l_{k} & =k, \\
l_{1}+l_{2}+\cdots+l_{k} & =k .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
l_{2}+2 l_{3}+\cdots+(k-1) l_{k}=0
$$

This means that $l_{2}=l_{3}=\cdots=l_{k}=0$ and $l_{1}=k$.
Similar for the coefficient $b_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
l_{1}+2 l_{2}+\cdots+k l_{k} & =k, \\
l_{1}+l_{2}+\cdots+l_{k} & =k-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Elimination of $l_{1}$ gives

$$
l_{2}+2 l_{3}+\cdots+(k-1) l_{k}=1,
$$

whence $l_{2}=1, l_{3}=\cdots=l_{k}=0$ and $l_{1}=k-2$.
For $b_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
l_{1}+2 l_{2}+\cdots+k l_{k} & =k, \\
l_{1}+l_{2}+\cdots+l_{k} & =k-2,
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
l_{2}+2 l_{3}+\cdots+(k-1) l_{k}=2
$$

which gives case $l_{2}=2, l_{3}=\cdots=l_{k}=0$ and then $l_{1}=k-4$ and case $l_{2}=0, l_{3}=$ $1, l_{4}=\cdots=l_{k}=0, l_{1}=k-3$.

Notice that for $k=3$ the first case is not involved in calculations.
Thus, one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{0}=\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{k} \\
& b_{1}=\frac{k(k-1)}{2}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{k-2} \varphi^{\prime \prime} \\
& b_{2}=\alpha_{k} \frac{k!}{(k-4)!2}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{k-4}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}}{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{k!}{(k-3)!}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{k-3}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}}{3!}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha_{k}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}0, & k=3 \\ 1, & k \neq 3\end{array}=1-\delta_{k_{3}}\right.$.
Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^{k} u}{d t^{k}}= & u^{(k)}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{k}+\frac{k(k-1)}{2}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{k-2} \varphi^{\prime \prime} u^{(k-1)} \\
& +\left[\alpha_{k} \frac{k!}{(k-4)!2}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{k-4}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}}{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{k!}{(k-3)!}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{k-3}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}}{3!}\right)\right] u^{(k-2)}+\cdots \\
\frac{d^{k-1} u}{d t^{k-1}=} & u^{(k-1)}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{k-1}+\frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{2}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{k-3} \varphi^{\prime \prime} u^{(k-2)}+\cdots \\
\frac{d^{k-2} u}{d t^{k-2}}= & u^{(k-2)}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{k-2}+\cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting these expressions into equation (E.3), one arrives at equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{(k)}+a_{k-2} y^{(k-2)}= & q u^{(k)}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{k}+u^{(k-1)}\left[\frac{k(k-1)}{2} \varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{k-2} q+k q^{\prime}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{k-1}\right] \\
& +u^{(k-2)}\left\{q \left(\frac{k!}{(k-3)!}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{k-3}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}}{3!}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\alpha_{k} \frac{k!}{(k-4)!2}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{k-4}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}}{2}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& +k q^{\prime}\left(\frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{2}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{k-3} \varphi^{\prime \prime}\right)+\frac{k(k-1)}{2} q^{\prime \prime}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{k-2} \\
& \left.+a_{k-2} q\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{k-2}\right\}+\cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

The functions $q(x)$ and $\varphi(x)$ satisfy the equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{(k-1)}{2} \varphi^{\prime \prime} q+q^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime}=0  \tag{E.4}\\
q \frac{k!}{(k-3)!} \frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}}{3!}+\alpha_{k} \frac{k!}{(k-4)!2} \frac{1}{\varphi^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}}{2}\right)^{2} q+k q^{\prime} \frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{2} \varphi^{\prime \prime}  \tag{E.5}\\
+\frac{k(k-1)}{2} q^{\prime \prime} \varphi^{\prime}+a_{k-2} q \varphi^{\prime}=0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

From equation (E.4) one obtains

$$
q^{\prime}=q \frac{(1-k)}{2} \frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}}{\varphi^{\prime}}
$$

so that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
q^{\prime \prime} & =\frac{(1-k)}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}}{\varphi^{\prime}}-\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}}{\varphi^{\prime}}\right)^{2}\right)+\frac{(1-k)}{2}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}}{\varphi^{\prime}}\right)^{2}\right] q \\
& =\frac{(1-k)}{2}\left[\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}}{\varphi^{\prime}}-\frac{(k+1)}{2}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}}{\varphi^{\prime}}\right)^{2}\right] q
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting these expressions into equation (E.5), one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{k!}{(k-3)!} \frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}}{3!} & +\alpha_{k} \frac{k!}{(k-4)!2} \frac{1}{\varphi^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}}{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{k(k-1)(k-2)}{2} \frac{(1-k)}{2} \frac{\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}}{\varphi^{\prime}} \\
& +\frac{k(k-1)}{2} \frac{(1-k)}{2}\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}-\frac{(k+1)}{2} \frac{\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}}{\varphi^{\prime}}\right)+a_{k-2} \varphi^{\prime}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, equation (E.2) is equivalent to equation (2.12). The proof is thus complete.

## APPENDIX F

## COMPATIBILITY ANALYZE OF THE SYSTEM OF EQUATIONS FOR FUNCTIONS $\varphi$ AND $\psi$ IN SECTION 2.5

Since for a given equation there are only two unknown functions $\varphi(x, y)$ and $\psi(x, y)$, equations (2.16) form an overdetermined system of partial differential equations. Let us analyze the compatibility of this system.

First assume that $\varphi_{y}=0$. From relations (2.16) one defines

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=0, \quad \psi_{y y}=\psi_{y} b, \quad 2 \psi_{x y}=\varphi_{x}^{-1} \psi_{y} \varphi_{x x}+c \psi_{y}, \quad \psi_{x x}=\varphi_{x}^{-1} \psi_{x} \varphi_{x x}+\psi_{y} d \tag{F.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing the mixed derivatives $\left(\psi_{x y}\right)_{y}=\left(\psi_{y y}\right)_{x}$ and $\left(\psi_{x y}\right)_{x}=\left(\psi_{x x}\right)_{y}$, one finds

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{y}=2 b_{x}, \quad \varphi_{x}^{-2}\left(2 \varphi_{x} \varphi_{x x}-3 \varphi_{x x}^{2}\right)=4\left(d_{y}+b d\right)-\left(2 c_{x}+c^{2}\right) . \tag{F.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $\varphi_{y}=0$, differentiating the last equation with respect to $y$, one obtains

$$
d_{y y}-b_{x x}-b_{x} c+b_{y} d+d_{y} b=0
$$

Thus, a second-order ordinary differential equation of the form (2.15) is linearizable with the function $\varphi=\varphi(x)$ if the coefficients of this equation satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=0, \quad c_{y}=2 b_{x}, \quad d_{y y}-b_{x x}-b_{x} c+b_{y} d+d_{y} b=0 . \tag{F.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functions $\varphi(x)$ and $\psi(x, y)$ are restituted by solving the involutive overdetermined system of equations (F.1) and (F.2).

Relations (2.16) in the case $\varphi(y) \neq 0$ are analyzed similarly, but the process is more cumbersome. In fact, from equations (2.16) one finds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{y} \psi_{y y}=\left(\varphi_{y y} \psi_{y}+a \Delta\right) \\
& 2 \varphi_{y}^{2} \psi_{x y}=2 \varphi_{x y} \varphi_{y} \psi_{y}-\varphi_{y y} \Delta-\left(a \varphi_{x}-b \varphi_{y}\right) \Delta \\
& \varphi_{y}^{2} \psi_{x x}=2 \varphi_{x y} \varphi_{y} \psi_{x}-\varphi_{x} \varphi_{y y} \psi_{x}-\varphi_{x}^{2} \psi_{x} a+\varphi_{x} \varphi_{y} \psi_{x} b+\varphi_{y}^{2}\left(\psi_{y} d-\psi_{x} c\right) \\
& \varphi_{y}^{2} \varphi_{x x}=2 \varphi_{x y} \varphi_{x} \varphi_{y}-\varphi_{x}^{2} \varphi_{y y}-\varphi_{x}^{3} a+\varphi_{x}^{2} \varphi_{y} b-\varphi_{x} \varphi_{y}^{2} c+\varphi_{y}^{3} d
\end{aligned}
$$

From the equations $\left(\psi_{x y}\right)_{y}=\left(\psi_{y y}\right)_{x}$ and $\left(\psi_{x y}\right)_{x}=\left(\psi_{x x}\right)_{y}$, one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \varphi_{y} \varphi_{y y y}= & 3\left(\varphi_{y y}^{2}-2 \varphi_{x y} \varphi_{y} a+2 \varphi_{x} \varphi_{y y} a+\varphi_{x}^{2} a^{2}\right)-2 \varphi_{x} \varphi_{y}\left(a_{y}+a b\right) \\
& +\varphi_{y}^{2}\left(2 b_{y}-4 a_{x}+4 a c-b^{2}\right) \\
6 \varphi_{y}^{2} \varphi_{x y y}= & 3\left(4 \varphi_{x y} \varphi_{y y} \varphi_{y}-\varphi_{x} \varphi_{y y}^{2}+2 \varphi_{x} \varphi_{y y} \varphi_{y} b-2 \varphi_{x y} \varphi_{y}^{2} b\right) \\
& +3 \varphi_{x}^{3} a^{2}+3 \varphi_{x} \varphi_{y}^{2}\left(-2 a_{x}+2 a c-b^{2}\right)+2 \varphi_{y}^{3}\left(-b_{x}+2 c_{y}+3 a d\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Forming the mixed derivatives $\left(\varphi_{x y y}\right)_{y}=\left(\varphi_{y y y}\right)_{x}$ and $\left(\varphi_{x x}\right)_{y y}=\left(\varphi_{x y y}\right)_{x}$, one obtains equations (2.17). Conditions (F.3) form a particular case of the relations (2.17): they are selected by the way of finding a linearizing transformation.

## APPENDIX G

## EQUATIONS FOR THE SECOND CLASS OF LINEARIZABLE EQUATIONS IN SECTION 3.3

## G. 1 Equations for Theorem 3.5 in Section 3.3.1

In this section we present equations which were used in previous sections.

$$
\begin{align*}
& 10 r_{y y}=-\left(F_{1 y}+F_{2 x}+F_{2 y} r+r_{y} F_{2}\right),  \tag{G.1}\\
& 10 r_{x}=10 r_{y} r-F_{0}+F_{1} r-F_{2} r^{2},  \tag{G.2}\\
& H_{2}=-3 F_{2},  \tag{G.3}\\
& 4 H_{1}=-3\left(5 F_{1}-2 F_{2} r\right),  \tag{G.4}\\
& 4 H_{0}=-3\left(6 F_{0}-F_{1} r\right),  \tag{G.5}\\
& 10 F_{1 y y}=-\left(F_{1 y} F_{2}-40 F_{2 x y}-16 F_{2 x} F_{2}+20 F_{2 y y} r+40 F_{2 y} r_{y}\right. \\
& \left.+14 F_{2 y} F_{2} r+20 J_{4 x}-20 J_{4 y} r+14 r_{y} F_{2}^{2}-40 r_{y} J_{4}\right),  \tag{G.6}\\
& 12 F_{2 x}=12 F_{2 y} r-3 F_{1} F_{2}+6 F_{2}^{2} r+4 J_{3}-16 J_{4} r,  \tag{G.7}\\
& 60 F_{1 x}=60 F_{1 y} r-36 F_{0} F_{2}-15 F_{1}^{2}+66 F_{1} F_{2} r-36 F_{2}^{2} r^{2}+40 J_{2} \\
& -80 J_{3} r+80 J_{4} r^{2}, \tag{G.8}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 60 F_{0 x}=60 F_{0 y} r-51 F_{0} F_{1}+66 F_{0} F_{2} r+36 F_{1}^{2} r-72 F_{1} F_{2} r^{2}+36 F_{2}^{2} r^{3} \\
& +60 J_{1}-80 J_{2} r+80 J_{3} r^{2}-80 J_{4} r^{3}, \tag{G.9}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 20 J_{0}=9 F_{0}^{2}-18 F_{0} F_{1} r+18 F_{0} F_{2} r^{2}+9 F_{1}^{2} r^{2}-18 F_{1} F_{2} r^{3}+9 F_{2}^{2} r^{4} \\
& +20 J_{1} r-20 J_{2} r^{2}+20 J_{3} r^{3}-20 J_{4} r^{4} \tag{G.10}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 120 J_{3 y y}=216 F_{1 y} F_{2 y}+54 F_{1 y} F_{2}^{2}-48 F_{1 y} J_{4}+360 F_{2 y y} r_{y}+90 F_{2 y y} F_{1} \\
& -180 F_{2 y y} F_{2} r-432 F_{2 y}^{2} r+324 F_{2 y} r_{y} F_{2}+189 F_{2 y} F_{1} F_{2}-486 F_{2 y} F_{2}^{2} r \\
& -192 F_{2 y} J_{3}+864 F_{2 y} J_{4} r-60 J_{3 y} F_{2}+720 J_{4 x y}+180 J_{4 x} F_{2}-240 J_{4 y y} r \\
& -1200 J_{4 y} r_{y}+60 J_{4 y} F_{2} r+720 K_{6 x}-720 K_{6 y} r-5040 K_{7 x} r+5040 K_{7 y} r^{2} \\
& +36 r_{y} F_{2}^{3}-432 r_{y} F_{2} J_{4}-2160 r_{y} K_{6}+15120 r_{y} K_{7} r+504 F_{0} K_{7}+36 F_{1} F_{2}^{3} \\
& -102 F_{1} F_{2} J_{4}-504 F_{1} K_{7} r-72 F_{2}^{4} r-48 F_{2}^{2} J_{3}+396 F_{2}^{2} J_{4} r+504 F_{2} K_{7} r^{2} \\
& +136 J_{3} J_{4}-544 J_{4}^{2} r \tag{G.11}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 240 J_{4 x y y}=-\left(36 F_{1 y} F_{2 y y}+162 F_{1 y} F_{2 y} F_{2}-72 F_{1 y} J_{4 y}+36 F_{1 y} F_{2}^{3}\right. \\
& -168 F_{1 y} F_{2} J_{4}-72 F_{1 y} K_{6}-168 F_{1 y} K_{7} r-72 F_{2 y y} F_{2 y} r+144 F_{2 y y} r_{y} F_{2} \\
& +54 F_{2 y y} F_{1} F_{2}-108 F_{2 y y} F_{2}^{2} r-72 F_{2 y y} J_{3}+288 F_{2 y y} J_{4} r+432 F_{2 y}^{2} r_{y} \\
& +108 F_{2 y}^{2} F_{1}-540 F_{2 y}^{2} F_{2} r-144 F_{2 y} J_{3 y}+528 F_{2 y} J_{4 x}+192 F_{2 y} J_{4 y} r \\
& +324 F_{2 y} r_{y} F_{2}^{2}-1008 F_{2 y} r_{y} J_{4}+162 F_{2 y} F_{1} F_{2}^{2}-132 F_{2 y} F_{1} J_{4}-396 F_{2 y} F_{2}^{3} r \\
& -180 F_{2 y} F_{2} J_{3}+1320 F_{2 y} F_{2} J_{4} r+144 F_{2 y} K_{6} r-336 F_{2 y} K_{7} r^{2}-36 J_{3 y} F_{2}^{2} \\
& +176 J_{3 y} J_{4}+120 J_{4 x y} F_{2}+132 J_{4 x} F_{2}^{2}-432 J_{4 x} J_{4}-240 J_{4 y y y} r-960 J_{4 y y} r_{y} \\
& -120 J_{4 y y} F_{2} r-768 J_{4 y} r_{y} F_{2}-138 J_{4 y} F_{1} F_{2}+288 J_{4 y} F_{2}^{2} r+184 J_{4 y} J_{3} \\
& -1008 J_{4 y} J_{4} r+960 K_{6 x y}+240 K_{6 x} F_{2}-960 K_{6 y y} r-3840 K_{6 y} r_{y} \\
& -240 K_{6 y} F_{2} r-1920 K_{7 x y} r-2400 K_{7 x x}+2880 K_{7 x} r_{y}-600 K_{7 x} F_{1} \\
& -480 K_{7 x} F_{2} r+4320 K_{7 y y} r^{2}+24000 K_{7 y} r_{y} r+432 K_{7 y} F_{0}+168 K_{7 y} F_{1} r \\
& +912 K_{7 y} F_{2} r^{2}+20160 r_{y}^{2} K_{7}+1728 r_{y} F_{1} K_{7}+36 r_{y} F_{2}^{4}-264 r_{y} F_{2}^{2} J_{4} \\
& -1248 r_{y} F_{2} K_{6}+5280 r_{y} F_{2} K_{7} r+160 r_{y} J_{4}^{2}+408 F_{0} F_{2} K_{7}+150 F_{1}^{2} K_{7} \\
& +27 F_{1} F_{2}^{4}-120 F_{1} F_{2}^{2} J_{4}-168 F_{1} F_{2} K_{6}+168 F_{1} F_{2} K_{7} r-54 F_{2}^{5} r-36 F_{2}^{3} J_{3} \\
& +384 F_{2}^{3} J_{4} r+336 F_{2}^{2} K_{6} r-1344 F_{2}^{2} K_{7} r^{2}+160 F_{2} J_{3} J_{4}-640 F_{2} J_{4}^{2} r \\
& -400 J_{2} K_{7}+224 J_{3} K_{6}-368 J_{3} K_{7} r-896 J_{4} K_{6} r+3872 J_{4} K_{7} r^{2} \\
& \left.+672 F_{0 y} K_{7}\right),  \tag{G.12}\\
& 4 J_{4 x}=4 J_{4 y} r-F_{1} J_{4}+2 F_{2} J_{4} r-4 K_{5}+24 K_{6} r-84 K_{7} r^{2} \tag{G.13}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 60 F_{0 y y}=-\left(30 F_{0 y} F_{2}+36 F_{1 y} F_{1}-36 F_{1 y} F_{2} r-60 F_{2 y y} r^{2}+24 F_{2 y} F_{0}\right. \\
& -36 F_{2 y} F_{1} r-54 F_{2 y} F_{2} r^{2}-40 J_{2 y}+40 J_{3 y} r+80 J_{4 y} r^{2} \\
& -36 r_{y} F_{1} F_{2}+36 r_{y} F_{2}^{2} r+40 r_{y} J_{3}-80 r_{y} J_{4} r+6 F_{0} F_{2}^{2}-6 F_{0} J_{4} \\
& +9 F_{1}^{2} F_{2}-18 F_{1} F_{2}^{2} r-12 F_{1} J_{3}+24 F_{1} J_{4} r-6 F_{2}^{3} r^{2}-10 F_{2} J_{2} \\
& \left.+22 F_{2} J_{3} r+26 F_{2} J_{4} r^{2}-60 K_{4}+180 K_{5} r-180 K_{6} r^{2}-420 K_{7} r^{3}\right),  \tag{G.14}\\
& \quad 20 J_{2 x}=20 J_{2 y} r+20 J_{3 x} r-20 J_{3 y} r^{2}-14 F_{0} J_{3}+28 F_{0} J_{4} r-5 F_{1} J_{2} \\
& \quad+19 F_{1} J_{3} r-28 F_{1} J_{4} r^{2}+10 F_{2} J_{2} r-24 F_{2} J_{3} r^{2}+28 F_{2} J_{4} r^{3} \\
& \quad-120 K_{3}+360 K_{4} r-640 K_{5} r^{2}+840 K_{6} r^{3}-840 K_{7} r^{4}, \tag{G.15}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 60 J_{1 x}=60 J_{1 y} r-40 J_{3 x} r^{2}+40 J_{3 y} r^{3}-42 F_{0} J_{2}+42 F_{0} J_{3} r-70 F_{0} J_{4} r^{2} \\
& -15 F_{1} J_{1}+42 F_{1} J_{2} r-52 F_{1} J_{3} r^{2}+70 F_{1} J_{4} r^{3}+30 F_{2} J_{1} r \\
& -42 F_{2} J_{2} r^{2}+62 F_{2} J_{3} r^{3}-70 F_{2} J_{4} r^{4}-600 K_{2}+1080 K_{3} r-1380 K_{4} r^{2} \\
& +1700 K_{5} r^{3}-2100 K_{6} r^{4}+2100 K_{7} r^{5} \tag{G.16}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 80 K_{1}=3 F_{0}^{2} F_{1}-6 F_{0}^{2} F_{2} r-6 F_{0} F_{1}^{2} r+18 F_{0} F_{1} F_{2} r^{2}-12 F_{0} F_{2}^{2} r^{3}-8 F_{0} J_{1} \\
& +16 F_{0} J_{2} r-24 F_{0} J_{3} r^{2}+32 F_{0} J_{4} r^{3}+3 F_{1}^{3} r^{2}-12 F_{1}^{2} F_{2} r^{3}+15 F_{1} F_{2}^{2} r^{4} \\
& +8 F_{1} J_{1} r-16 F_{1} J_{2} r^{2}+24 F_{1} J_{3} r^{3}-32 F_{1} J_{4} r^{4}-6 F_{2}^{3} r^{5}-8 F_{2} J_{1} r^{2} \\
& +16 F_{2} J_{2} r^{3}-24 F_{2} J_{3} r^{4}+32 F_{2} J_{4} r^{5}+160 K_{2} r-240 K_{3} r^{2}+320 K_{4} r^{3} \\
& -400 K_{5} r^{4}+480 K_{6} r^{5}-560 K_{7} r^{6} \tag{G.17}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 400 K_{0}=-\left(6 F_{0}^{3}-33 F_{0}^{2} F_{1} r+48 F_{0}^{2} F_{2} r^{2}+48 F_{0} F_{1}^{2} r^{2}-126 F_{0} F_{1} F_{2} r^{3}\right. \\
& +78 F_{0} F_{2}^{2} r^{4}+40 F_{0} J_{1} r-80 F_{0} J_{2} r^{2}+120 F_{0} J_{3} r^{3}-160 F_{0} J_{4} r^{4}-21 F_{1}^{3} r^{3} \\
& +78 F_{1}^{2} F_{2} r^{4}-93 F_{1} F_{2}^{2} r^{5}-40 F_{1} J_{1} r^{2}+80 F_{1} J_{2} r^{3}-120 F_{1} J_{3} r^{4}+160 F_{1} J_{4} r^{5} \\
& +36 F_{2}^{3} r^{6}+40 F_{2} J_{1} r^{3}-80 F_{2} J_{2} r^{4}+120 F_{2} J_{3} r^{5}-160 F_{2} J_{4} r^{6}-400 K_{2} r^{2} \\
& \left.+800 K_{3} r^{3}-1200 K_{4} r^{4}+1600 K_{5} r^{5}-2000 K_{6} r^{6}+2400 K_{7} r^{7}\right) . \tag{G.18}
\end{align*}
$$

## G. 2 Equations for Theorem 3.6 in Section 3.3.1

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varphi_{x}=r \varphi_{y},  \tag{G.19}\\
\varphi_{y} \psi_{x}=r \varphi_{y} \psi_{y}-\Delta,  \tag{G.20}\\
10 \Delta \varphi_{y y}=\varphi_{y}\left(4 \Delta_{y}-F_{2} \Delta\right),  \tag{G.21}\\
500 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y y y y} \Delta^{3}=300 \psi_{y y y} \varphi_{y} \Delta^{2}\left(4 \Delta_{y}-F_{2} \Delta\right)+5 \psi_{y y} \varphi_{y} \Delta\left(-120 F_{2 y} \Delta^{2}\right. \\
\left.-144 \Delta_{y}^{2}+72 \Delta_{y} F_{2} \Delta-39 F_{2}^{2} \Delta^{2}+80 J_{4} \Delta^{2}\right)+\psi_{y} \varphi_{y}\left(-500 \varphi_{y}^{3} \alpha \Delta^{3}\right. \\
-150 F_{2 y y} \Delta^{3}+360 F_{2 y} \Delta_{y} \Delta^{2}-165 F_{2 y} F_{2} \Delta^{3}+100 J_{4 y} \Delta^{3}+96 \Delta_{y}^{3} \\
\left.-72 \Delta_{y}^{2} F_{2} \Delta+108 \Delta_{y} F_{2}^{2} \Delta^{2}-240 \Delta_{y} J_{4} \Delta^{2}-24 F_{2}^{3} \Delta^{3}+60 F_{2} J_{4} \Delta^{3}\right) \\
-500 \psi \varphi_{y}^{5} \beta \Delta^{3}+500 K_{7} \Delta^{4},  \tag{G.22}\\
\alpha=\frac{\Theta}{8 \varphi_{y}^{3}},  \tag{G.23}\\
\beta=\left(1600 \Delta \varphi_{y}^{4}\right)^{-1}\left[\Delta \left(-144 F_{2 y}^{2}-72 F_{2 y} F_{2}^{2}+352 F_{2 y} J_{4}+160 J_{4 y y}\right.\right. \\
+80 J_{4 y} F_{2}+640 K_{6 y}-1600 K_{7 x}-2880 K_{7 y} r+80 \Theta_{y}-4480 r_{y} K_{7} \\
\left.-400 F_{1} K_{7}-9 F_{2}^{4}+88 F_{2}^{2} J_{4}+160 F_{2} K_{6}-320 F_{2} K_{7} r-144 J_{4}^{2}\right) \\
\left.-120 \Delta_{y} \Theta\right], \tag{G.24}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Theta$ is the following expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta=\left(F_{2}^{2}-4 J_{4}\right) F_{2}-8\left(K_{6}-7 K_{7} r\right)-8 J_{4 y}+6 F_{2 y} F_{2}+4 F_{2 y y} \tag{G.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

## G. 3 Equations for Lemma 3.7 in Section 3.3.2

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{2}=-2\left(\varphi_{y} \Delta\right)^{-1}\left(5 \varphi_{y y} \Delta-2 \varphi_{y} \Delta_{y}\right),  \tag{G.26}\\
& F_{1}= 4\left(\varphi_{y} \Delta\right)^{-1}\left[\left(\Delta_{x}+\Delta_{y} r-5 r_{y} \Delta\right) \varphi_{y}-5 \varphi_{y y} r \Delta\right]  \tag{G.27}\\
& F_{0}=-2\left(\varphi_{y} \Delta\right)^{-1}\left[\left(\left(5 r_{y} \Delta-2 \Delta_{x}\right) r+5 r_{x} \Delta\right) \varphi_{y}+5 \varphi_{y y} r^{2} \Delta\right],  \tag{G.28}\\
& H_{2}= 6\left(\varphi_{y} \Delta\right)^{-1}\left(5 \varphi_{y y} \Delta-2 \varphi_{y} \Delta_{y}\right),  \tag{G.29}\\
& H_{1}=-3\left(\varphi_{y} \Delta\right)^{-1}\left[\left(5 \Delta_{x}+3 \Delta_{y} r-25 r_{y} \Delta\right) \varphi_{y}-20 \varphi_{y y} r \Delta\right]  \tag{G.30}\\
& H_{0}=3\left(\varphi_{y} \Delta\right)^{-1}\left[\left(5\left(3 r_{x}+2 r_{y} r\right) \Delta-\left(5 \Delta_{x}-\Delta_{y} r\right) r\right) \varphi_{y}+10 \varphi_{y y} r^{2} \Delta\right]  \tag{G.31}\\
& J_{4}=-\left(\varphi_{y}^{2} \Delta\right)^{-1}\left(10 \varphi_{y y y} \varphi_{y} \Delta-45 \varphi_{y y}^{2} \Delta+30 \varphi_{y y} \varphi_{y} \Delta_{y}-6 \varphi_{y}^{2} \Delta_{y y}\right)  \tag{G.32}\\
& J_{3}= 2\left(\varphi_{y}^{2} \Delta\right)^{-1}\left[3 \left(\left(2\left(\Delta_{x y}+\Delta_{y y} r-5 r_{y} \Delta_{y}\right)-5 r_{y y} \Delta\right) \varphi_{y}^{2}\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.-5\left(\left(\Delta_{x}+3 \Delta_{y} r-4 r_{y} \Delta\right) \varphi_{y}-6 \varphi_{y y} r \Delta\right) \varphi_{y y}\right)-20 \varphi_{y y y} \varphi_{y} r \Delta\right]  \tag{G.33}\\
& J_{2}= 6\left(\varphi_{y}^{2} \Delta\right)^{-1}\left[\left(\Delta_{x x}+\Delta_{y y} r^{2}+4 \Delta_{x y} r-5\left(2 \Delta_{x}+3 \Delta_{y} r-5 r_{y} \Delta\right) r_{y}\right.\right. \\
&\left.-10 r_{y y} r \Delta-5 r_{x} \Delta_{y}-5 r_{x y} \Delta\right) \varphi_{y}^{2}-5\left(\left(\left(3\left(\Delta_{x}+\Delta_{y} r\right)-10 r_{y} \Delta\right) r\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.\left.-2 r_{x} \Delta\right) \varphi_{y}-9 \varphi_{y y} r^{2} \Delta\right) \varphi_{y y}-10 \varphi_{y y y} \varphi_{y} r^{2} \Delta\right], \tag{G.34}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
J_{1}=-2\left(\varphi_{y}^{2} \Delta\right)^{-1}\left[\left(\left(5\left(3\left(3 \Delta_{x}+\Delta_{y} r\right)-14 r_{y} \Delta\right) r_{y}-6\left(\Delta_{x y} r+\Delta_{x x}\right)\right.\right.\right.
$$

$$
\left.\left.+20 r_{y y} r \Delta\right) r+5\left(3\left(\Delta_{x}+\Delta_{y} r\right)-16 r_{y} \Delta\right) r_{x}+5 r_{x x} \Delta+20 r_{x y} r \Delta\right) \varphi_{y}^{2}
$$

$$
+15\left(\left(\left(3 \Delta_{x}+\Delta_{y} r-8 r_{y} \Delta\right) r-4 r_{x} \Delta\right) \varphi_{y}-6 \varphi_{y y} r^{2} \Delta\right) \varphi_{y y} r
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+20 \varphi_{y y y} \varphi_{y} r^{3} \Delta\right] \tag{G.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{0}= & -\left(\varphi_{y}^{2} \Delta\right)^{-1}\left[\left(\left(2\left(\left(5 r_{y y} r \Delta-3 \Delta_{x x}\right) r+5 r_{x x} \Delta+5 r_{x y} r \Delta\right)\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-5\left(7 r_{y} \Delta-6 \Delta_{x}\right) r_{y} r\right) r-5\left(2\left(7 r_{y} \Delta-3 \Delta_{x}\right) r+9 r_{x} \Delta\right) r_{x}\right) \varphi_{y}^{2} \\
& -5\left(3\left(2\left(\left(2 r_{y} \Delta-\Delta_{x}\right) r+2 r_{x} \Delta\right) \varphi_{y}+3 \varphi_{y y} r^{2} \Delta\right) \varphi_{y y}\right. \\
& \left.\left.-2 \varphi_{y y y} \varphi_{y} r^{2} \Delta\right) r^{2}\right] \tag{G.36}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{7}= & -\left(\varphi_{y}^{2} \Delta\right)^{-1}\left[\varphi_{y y y y} \varphi_{y}^{2} \psi_{y}-10 \varphi_{y y y} \varphi_{y y} \varphi_{y} \psi_{y}+4 \varphi_{y y y} \varphi_{y}^{2} \psi_{y y}+15 \varphi_{y y}^{3} \psi_{y}\right. \\
& \left.-15 \varphi_{y y}^{2} \varphi_{y} \psi_{y y}+6 \varphi_{y y} \varphi_{y}^{2} \psi_{y y y}-\varphi_{y}^{7} \beta \psi-\varphi_{y}^{6} \psi_{y} \alpha-\varphi_{y}^{3} \psi_{y y y y}\right] \tag{G.37}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{6}= & \left(\varphi_{y}^{3} \Delta\right)^{-1}\left[3 \left(5\left(\left(7 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y y} r-6 \Delta_{y}\right) \varphi_{y}-7\left(\varphi_{y} \psi_{y} r-\Delta\right) \varphi_{y y}\right) \varphi_{y y}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-2\left(7 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y y y} r-5 \Delta_{y y}\right) \varphi_{y}^{2}\right) \varphi_{y y}+\left(7 \varphi_{y}^{5} \beta \psi r+7 \varphi_{y}^{4} \psi_{y} \alpha r-\varphi_{y}^{3} \alpha \Delta\right. \\
& \left.+7 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y y y y} r-4 \Delta_{y y y}\right) \varphi_{y}^{3}+2\left(35 \varphi_{y y} \varphi_{y} \psi_{y} r-30 \varphi_{y y} \Delta-14 \varphi_{y}^{2} \psi_{y y} r\right. \\
& \left.\left.+10 \varphi_{y} \Delta_{y}\right) \varphi_{y y y} \varphi_{y}-\left(7 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y} r-5 \Delta\right) \varphi_{y y y y} \varphi_{y}^{2}\right] \tag{G.38}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{5}= & -\left(\varphi_{y}^{3} \Delta\right)^{-1}\left[\left(2\left(3\left(\Delta_{x y y}+3 \Delta_{y y y} r-5 r_{y} \Delta_{y y}-5 r_{y y} \Delta_{y}\right)-5 r_{y y y} \Delta\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.-3\left(7 \varphi_{y}^{4} \beta \psi r+7 \varphi_{y}^{3} \psi_{y} \alpha r-2 \varphi_{y}^{2} \alpha \Delta+7 \psi_{y y y y} r\right) \varphi_{y} r\right) \varphi_{y}^{3} \\
& -3\left(2\left(5\left(\Delta_{x y}+5 \Delta_{y y} r-4 r_{y} \Delta_{y}-2 r_{y y} \Delta\right)-21 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y y y} r^{2}\right) \varphi_{y}^{2}\right. \\
& -15\left(\left(\Delta_{x}+11 \Delta_{y} r-3 r_{y} \Delta-7 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y y} r^{2}\right) \varphi_{y}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+7\left(\varphi_{y} \psi_{y} r-2 \Delta\right) \varphi_{y y} r\right) \varphi_{y y}\right) \varphi_{y y}-2\left(\left(5\left(\Delta_{x}+11 \Delta_{y} r-3 r_{y} \Delta\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-42 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y y} r^{2}\right) \varphi_{y}+15\left(7 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y} r-12 \Delta\right) \varphi_{y y} r\right) \varphi_{y y y} \varphi_{y} \\
& \left.+3\left(7 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y} r-10 \Delta\right) \varphi_{y y y y} \varphi_{y}^{2} r\right] \tag{G.39}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{4}= & -\left(\varphi_{y}^{3} \Delta\right)^{-1}\left[\left(2 \left(45 r_{y y} r_{y} \Delta-10 r_{y y} \Delta_{x}-55 r_{y y} \Delta_{y} r+50 r_{y}^{2} \Delta_{y}\right.\right.\right. \\
& -20 r_{y} \Delta_{x y}-50 r_{y} \Delta_{y y} r+11 \Delta_{x y y} r+2 \Delta_{x x y}+17 \Delta_{y y y} r^{2} \\
& \left.-20 r_{y y y} r \Delta-5 r_{x} \Delta_{y y}-10 r_{x y} \Delta_{y}-5 r_{x y y} \Delta\right) \\
& \left.-5\left(7 \varphi_{y}^{4} \beta \psi r+7 \varphi_{y}^{3} \psi_{y} \alpha r-3 \varphi_{y}^{2} \alpha \Delta+7 \psi_{y y y y} r\right) \varphi_{y} r^{2}\right) \varphi_{y}^{3} \\
& +15\left(\left(3\left(\left(5\left(\Delta_{x}+5 \Delta_{y} r\right)-14 r_{y} \Delta\right) r-r_{x} \Delta\right)-35 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y y} r^{3}\right) \varphi_{y}\right. \\
& \left.+35\left(\varphi_{y} \psi_{y} r-3 \Delta\right) \varphi_{y y} r^{2}\right) \varphi_{y y}^{2}-10\left(\Delta_{x x}+31 \Delta_{y y} r^{2}+13 \Delta_{x y} r\right. \\
& -8\left(\Delta_{x}+6 \Delta_{y} r-2 r_{y} \Delta\right) r_{y}-26 r_{y y} r \Delta-4 r_{x} \Delta_{y}-4 r_{x y} \Delta \\
& \left.-21 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y y y} r^{3}\right) \varphi_{y y} \varphi_{y}^{2}-10\left(\left(\left(5\left(\Delta_{x}+5 \Delta_{y} r\right)-14 r_{y} \Delta\right) r-r_{x} \Delta\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-14 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y y} r^{3}\right) \varphi_{y}+5\left(7 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y} r-18 \Delta\right) \varphi_{y y} r^{2}\right) \varphi_{y y y} \varphi_{y} \\
& \left.+5\left(7 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y} r-15 \Delta\right) \varphi_{y y y y} \varphi_{y}^{2} r^{2}\right], \tag{G.40}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{3}= & -\left(\varphi_{y}^{3} \Delta\right)^{-1}\left[\left(\left(13 \Delta_{x x y}+35 \Delta_{y y y} r^{2}\right) r+\Delta_{x x x}+31 \Delta_{x y y} r^{2}\right.\right. \\
& -5\left(3 \Delta_{x x}+26 \Delta_{y y} r^{2}+23 \Delta_{x y} r-\left(15 \Delta_{x}+49 \Delta_{y} r-25 r_{y} \Delta\right) r_{y}\right) r_{y} \\
& -5\left(13 \Delta_{x}+32 \Delta_{y} r-50 r_{y} \Delta\right) r_{y y} r-65 r_{y y y} r^{2} \Delta-5\left(3 \Delta_{x y}+5 \Delta_{y y} r\right. \\
& \left.-16 r_{y} \Delta_{y}-7 r_{y y} \Delta\right) r_{x}-5 r_{x x} \Delta_{y}-5 r_{x x y} \Delta \\
& -5\left(3 \Delta_{x}+11 \Delta_{y} r-15 r_{y} \Delta\right) r_{x y}-30 r_{x y y} r \Delta-5\left(7 \varphi_{y}^{4} \beta \psi r+7 \varphi_{y}^{3} \psi_{y} \alpha r\right. \\
& \left.\left.-4 \varphi_{y}^{2} \alpha \Delta+7 \psi_{y y y y} r\right) \varphi_{y} r^{3}\right) \varphi_{y}^{3}-5\left(2 \left(\left(2\left(2 \Delta_{x x}+17 \Delta_{y y} r^{2}+11 \Delta_{x y} r\right)\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.-\left(29 \Delta_{x}+75 \Delta_{y} r-51 r_{y} \Delta\right) r_{y}-45 r_{y y} r \Delta\right) r-\left(3 \Delta_{x}+13 \Delta_{y} r-13 r_{y} \Delta\right) r_{x} \\
& \left.-r_{x x} \Delta-14 r_{x y} r \Delta-21 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y y y} r^{4}\right) \varphi_{y}^{2}-3\left(\left(6 \left(\left(5\left(\Delta_{x}+3 \Delta_{y} r\right)-13 r_{y} \Delta\right) r\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.-2 r_{x} \Delta\right)-35 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y y} r^{3}\right) \varphi_{y}+35\left(\varphi_{y} \psi_{y} r-4 \Delta\right) \varphi_{y y} r^{2}\right) \varphi_{y y} r\right) \varphi_{y y} \\
& -10\left(2\left(\left(5\left(\Delta_{x}+3 \Delta_{y} r\right)-13 r_{y} \Delta\right) r-2 r_{x} \Delta-7 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y y} r^{3}\right) \varphi_{y}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+5\left(7 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y} r-24 \Delta\right) \varphi_{y y} r^{2}\right) \varphi_{y y y} \varphi_{y} r+5\left(7 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y} r-20 \Delta\right) \varphi_{y y y y} \varphi_{y}^{2} r^{3}\right], \quad(\mathrm{G} .4] \tag{G.41}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{2}= & -\left(\varphi_{y}^{3} \Delta\right)^{-1}\left[\left(\left(3\left(\left(5 \Delta_{x x y}+7 \Delta_{y y y} r^{2}\right) r+\Delta_{x x x}+7 \Delta_{x y y} r^{2}\right)\right.\right.\right. \\
& -\left(3\left(13 \Delta_{x x}+28 \Delta_{y y} r^{2}+39 \Delta_{x y} r\right)+\left(204 r_{y} \Delta-161 \Delta_{x}-217 \Delta_{y} r\right) r_{y}\right) r_{y} \\
& \left.-\left(79 \Delta_{x}+116 \Delta_{y} r-264 r_{y} \Delta\right) r_{y y} r-54 r_{y y y} r^{2} \Delta\right) r \\
& -\left(3\left(2 \Delta_{x x}+7 \Delta_{y y} r^{2}+11 \Delta_{x y} r\right)+\left(171 r_{y} \Delta-64 \Delta_{x}-140 \Delta_{y} r\right) r_{y}\right. \\
& \left.-72 r_{y y} r \Delta-18 r_{x} \Delta_{y}\right) r_{x}-\left(4 \Delta_{x}+11 \Delta_{y} r-21 r_{y} \Delta\right) r_{x x}-12 r_{x x y} r \Delta \\
& -r_{x x x} \Delta-\left(\left(37 \Delta_{x}+53 \Delta_{y} r-150 r_{y} \Delta\right) r-33 r_{x} \Delta\right) r_{x y}-33 r_{x y y} r^{2} \Delta \\
& \left.-3\left(7 \varphi_{y}^{4} \beta \psi r+7 \varphi_{y}^{3} \psi_{y} \alpha r-5 \varphi_{y}^{2} \alpha \Delta+7 \psi_{y y y y} r\right) \varphi_{y} r^{4}\right) \varphi_{y}^{3} \\
& -3\left(2 \left(5 \left(\left(2 \Delta_{x x}+7 \Delta_{y y} r^{2}+6 \Delta_{x y} r-\left(13 \Delta_{x}+19 \Delta_{y} r-20 r_{y} \Delta\right) r_{y}\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.-13 r_{y y} r \Delta\right) r^{2}-\left(\left(3 \Delta_{x}+5 \Delta_{y} r-11 r_{y} \Delta\right) r-r_{x} \Delta\right) r_{x}-r_{x x} r \Delta \\
& \left.\left.-6 r_{x y} r^{2} \Delta\right)-21 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y y y} r^{5}\right) \varphi_{y}^{2}-15\left(\left(2 \left(\left(5\left(\Delta_{x}+2 \Delta_{y} r\right)-12 r_{y} \Delta\right) r\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.-3 r_{x} \Delta\right)-7 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y y} r^{3}\right) \varphi_{y}+7\left(\varphi_{y} \psi_{y} r-5 \Delta\right) \varphi_{y y} r^{2}\right) \varphi_{y y} r^{2}\right) \varphi_{y y} \\
& -2\left(2\left(5\left(\left(5\left(\Delta_{x}+2 \Delta_{y} r\right)-12 r_{y} \Delta\right) r-3 r_{x} \Delta\right)-21 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y y} r^{3}\right) \varphi_{y}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+15\left(7 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y} r-30 \Delta\right) \varphi_{y y} r^{2}\right) \varphi_{y y y} \varphi_{y} r^{2}+3\left(7 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y} r-25 \Delta\right) \varphi_{y y y y} \varphi_{y}^{2} r^{4}\right] \tag{G.42}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{1}= & -\left(\varphi_{y}^{3} \Delta\right)^{-1}\left[\left(\left(7\left(\Delta_{x x y}+\Delta_{y y y} r^{2}\right) r+3 \Delta_{x x x}+7 \Delta_{x y y} r^{2}\right.\right.\right. \\
& -\left(33 \Delta_{x x}+28 \Delta_{y y} r^{2}+49 \Delta_{x y} r+2\left(59 r_{y} \Delta-56 \Delta_{x}-42 \Delta_{y} r\right) r_{y}\right) r_{y} \\
& \left.-\left(43 \Delta_{x}+42 \Delta_{y} r-128 r_{y} \Delta\right) r_{y y} r-23 r_{y y y} r^{2} \Delta\right) r^{2} \\
& -\left(\left(12 \Delta_{x x}+7 \Delta_{y y} r^{2}+21 \Delta_{x y} r+2\left(86 r_{y} \Delta-49 \Delta_{x}-35 \Delta_{y} r\right) r_{y}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-49 r_{y y} r \Delta\right) r+\left(85 r_{y} \Delta-15 \Delta_{x}-21 \Delta_{y} r\right) r_{x}\right) r_{x} \\
& -\left(\left(8 \Delta_{x}+7 \Delta_{y} r-32 r_{y} \Delta\right) r-10 r_{x} \Delta\right) r_{x x}-9 r_{x x y} r^{2} \Delta-2 r_{x x x} r \Delta \\
& -\left(\left(29 \Delta_{x}+21 \Delta_{y} r-95 r_{y} \Delta\right) r-46 r_{x} \Delta\right) r_{x y} r-16 r_{x y y} r^{3} \Delta \\
& \left.-\left(7 \varphi_{y}^{4} \beta \psi r+7 \varphi_{y}^{3} \psi_{y} \alpha r-6 \varphi_{y}^{2} \alpha \Delta+7 \psi_{y y y y} r\right) \varphi_{y} r^{5}\right) \varphi_{y}^{3} \\
& -\left(2 \left(5 \left(\left(4 \Delta_{x x}+7 \Delta_{y y} r^{2}+7 \Delta_{x y} r-\left(23 \Delta_{x}+21 \Delta_{y} r-31 r_{y} \Delta\right) r_{y}\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.-17 r_{y y} r \Delta\right) r^{2}-\left(\left(9 \Delta_{x}+7 \Delta_{y} r-27 r_{y} \Delta\right) r-6 r_{x} \Delta\right) r_{x}-3 r_{x x} r \Delta \\
& \left.\left.-10 r_{x y} r^{2} \Delta\right)-21 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y y y} r^{5}\right) \varphi_{y}^{2}-15\left(\left(3 \left(\left(5 \Delta_{x}+7 \Delta_{y} r-11 r_{y} \Delta\right) r\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.-4 r_{x} \Delta\right)-7 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y y} r^{3}\right) \varphi_{y}+7\left(\varphi_{y} \psi_{y} r-6 \Delta\right) \varphi_{y y} r^{2}\right) \varphi_{y y} r^{2}\right) \varphi_{y y} r \\
& -2\left(\left(5\left(\left(5 \Delta_{x}+7 \Delta_{y} r-11 r_{y} \Delta\right) r-4 r_{x} \Delta\right)-14 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y y} r^{3}\right) \varphi_{y}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+5\left(7 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y} r-36 \Delta\right) \varphi_{y y} r^{2}\right) \varphi_{y y y} \varphi_{y} r^{3}+\left(7 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y} r-30 \Delta\right) \varphi_{y y y y} \varphi_{y}^{2} r^{5}\right], \tag{G.43}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{0}= & \left(\varphi_{y}^{3} \Delta\right)^{-1}\left[\left(\left(\left(\left(2\left(r_{x x y}+2 r_{y y y} r^{2}\right) r+r_{x x x}+3 r_{x y y} r^{2}\right) \Delta\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.+3\left(3 \Delta_{x}+2 \Delta_{y} r-8 r_{y} \Delta\right) r_{y y} r^{2}\right) r-\left(\left(10 r_{x}+11 r_{y} r\right) \Delta\right. \\
& \left.-\left(4 \Delta_{x}+\Delta_{y} r\right) r\right) r_{x x}-\left(\left(13 r_{x}+20 r_{y} r\right) \Delta-\left(7 \Delta_{x}+3 \Delta_{y} r\right) r\right) r_{x y} r \\
& +\left(\left(\varphi_{y}^{4} \beta \psi+\varphi_{y}^{3} \psi_{y} \alpha+\psi_{y y y y}\right) r-\varphi_{y}^{2} \alpha \Delta\right) \varphi_{y} r^{5}+\left(9 \Delta_{x x}+4 \Delta_{y y} r^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+7 \Delta_{x y} r-2\left(13 \Delta_{x}+6 \Delta_{y} r-12 r_{y} \Delta\right) r_{y}\right) r_{y} r^{2}-\left(\left(\Delta_{x x y}+\Delta_{y y y} r^{2}\right) r\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\Delta_{x x x}+\Delta_{x y y} r^{2}\right) r^{2}\right) r-\left(\left(2\left(\left(17 \Delta_{x}+5 \Delta_{y} r-23 r_{y} \Delta\right) r_{y}+6 r_{y y} r \Delta\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.-\left(6 \Delta_{x x}+\Delta_{y y} r^{2}+3 \Delta_{x y} r\right)\right) r^{2}-\left(5\left(3 r_{x}+8 r_{y} r\right) \Delta\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.-3\left(5 \Delta_{x}+\Delta_{y} r\right) r\right) r_{x}\right) r_{x}\right) \varphi_{y}^{3}-\left(\left(2 \left(\left(5\left(r_{x x}+3 r_{y y} r^{2}+2 r_{x y} r\right) \Delta\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.+3 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y y y} r^{4}+5\left(5 \Delta_{x}+3 \Delta_{y} r-6 r_{y} \Delta\right) r_{y} r-5\left(\Delta_{x x}+\Delta_{y y} r^{2}+\Delta_{x y} r\right) r\right) r \\
& \left.-5\left(\left(3 r_{x}+7 r_{y} r\right) \Delta-\left(3 \Delta_{x}+\Delta_{y} r\right) r\right) r_{x}\right) \varphi_{y}^{2}-15\left(\left(3\left(r_{x}+2 r_{y} r\right) \Delta\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+\varphi_{y} \psi_{y y} r^{3}-3\left(\Delta_{x}+\Delta_{y} r\right) r\right) \varphi_{y}-\left(\varphi_{y} \psi_{y} r-7 \Delta\right) \varphi_{y y} r^{2}\right) \varphi_{y y} r^{2}\right) \varphi_{y y} \\
& +\left(2 \left(\left(5\left(r_{x}+2 r_{y} r\right) \Delta+2 \varphi_{y} \psi_{y y} r^{3}-5\left(\Delta_{x}+\Delta_{y} r\right) r\right) \varphi_{y}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.-5\left(\varphi_{y} \psi_{y} r-6 \Delta\right) \varphi_{y y} r^{2}\right) \varphi_{y y y}+\left(\varphi_{y} \psi_{y} r-5 \Delta\right) \varphi_{y y y y} \varphi_{y} r^{2}\right) \varphi_{y} r^{2}\right) r^{2}\right] . \quad(\mathrm{G} .4 \tag{G.44}
\end{align*}
$$

## APPENDIX H

## MORE CALCULATIONS IN SECTION 3.2.6

## H. 1 Obtaining the Coefficients $B_{i}, C_{i}$ and $D_{i}$

Since the coefficients with the derivative $y^{\prime \prime 3}$ have to be zero, one obtains $f_{2 y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime \prime}}=0$, that is

$$
f_{2}=f_{20}+f_{21} z+f_{22} z^{2}
$$

where $f_{2 i}=f_{2 i}\left(x, y, y^{\prime}\right),(i=0,1,2)$. Because the coefficients with the derivative $y^{\prime \prime 2}$ have to depend on $x$ and $y$, then

$$
\left(\frac{g_{1 y}-f_{22} g_{1}^{2}+2 g_{02}}{g_{1}}\right)_{y^{\prime}}=0
$$

i.e., $f_{22}=f_{22}(x, y)$, so that

$$
B_{0}=\left(g_{1 y}-f_{22} g_{1}^{2}+2 g_{02}\right) / g_{1}
$$

Because of the necessary form (3.9), the coefficient related to the product of $y^{\prime 3} y^{\prime \prime}$ has to be zero, so that $f_{21}$ has the form

$$
f_{21}=f_{210}+f_{211} y^{\prime}+f_{212} y^{\prime 2}
$$

where $f_{21 i}=f_{21 i}(x, y),(i=0,1,2)$. Thus, one obtains that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{2}=\left(5 g_{02 y}+g_{1 y y}-f_{212} g_{1}-2 f_{22} g_{02} g_{1}\right) / g_{1}, \\
& C_{1}=\left(3 g_{01 y}+4 g_{02 x}+2 g_{1 x y}-f_{211} g_{1}-2 f_{22} g_{01} g_{1}\right) / g_{1}, \\
& C_{0}=\left(g_{00 y}+2 g_{01 x}+g_{1 x x}-f_{210} g_{1}-2 f_{22} g_{00} g_{1}\right) / g_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the coefficients with the derivative $y^{\prime 5}$ have to be zero, one obtains $f_{20 y^{\prime} y^{\prime} y^{\prime} y^{\prime} y^{\prime}}=0$, that is

$$
f_{20}=f_{200}+f_{201} y^{\prime}+f_{202} y^{\prime 2}+f_{203} y^{\prime 3}+f_{204} y^{\prime 4}
$$

where $f_{20 i}=f_{20 i}(x, y),(i=0,1, \ldots, 4)$. Hence, the coefficients $D_{i},(i=0,1, \ldots, 4)$ in equations (3.35)-(3.39) are in the following forms

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{4}= & \left(g_{02 y y}-f_{204}-f_{212} g_{02}-f_{22} g_{02}^{2}\right) / g_{1} \\
D_{3}= & \left(g_{01 y y}+2 g_{02 x y}-f_{203}-f_{211} g_{02}-f_{212} g_{01}-2 f_{22} g_{01} g_{02}\right) / g_{1} \\
D_{2}= & \left(g_{00 y y}+2 g_{01 x y}+g_{02 x x}-f_{202}-f_{210} g_{02}-f_{211} g_{01}-f_{212} g_{00}\right. \\
& \left.-2 f_{22} g_{00} g_{02}-f_{22} g_{01}^{2}\right) / g_{1} \\
D_{1}= & \left(2 g_{00 x y}+g_{01 x x}-f_{201}-f_{210} g_{01}-f_{211} g_{00}-2 f_{22} g_{00} g_{01}\right) / g_{1} \\
D_{0}= & \left(g_{00 x x}-f_{200}-f_{210} g_{00}-f_{22} g_{00}^{2}\right) / g_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

## H. 2 Obtaining the Form of Functions $f_{2}$ and $g$

One can rewrite equations (3.63) and (3.64) in the following forms

$$
\frac{g_{01 y} g_{1}-g_{01} g_{1 y}}{g_{1}^{2}}=\frac{2\left(g_{02 x} g_{1}-g_{02} g_{1 x}\right)}{g_{1}^{2}}
$$

or

$$
\left(\frac{g_{01}}{g_{1}}\right)_{y}=\left(\frac{2 g_{02}}{g_{1}}\right)_{x}
$$

or

$$
\left(2 \lambda_{x}\right)_{y}=\left(2 \lambda_{y}\right)_{x}
$$

where $\lambda=\lambda(x, y)$. That is

$$
g_{01}=2 g_{1} \lambda_{x}, \quad g_{02}=g_{1} \lambda_{y} .
$$

Since $g_{02}=g_{1 y}$, then $g_{1 y}=g_{1} \lambda_{y}$. The general solution of this equation is

$$
g_{1}=e^{\lambda+k(x)}
$$

One can use any particular solution $k(x)=0$, so that

$$
g_{1}=e^{\lambda} .
$$

Therefore equation (3.66) becomes

$$
f_{202}=e^{\lambda} f_{210 y}
$$

Thus equations (3.65), (3.67) and (3.68) are written in the following form

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{00 y y}= & g_{00 y} \lambda_{y}+e^{\lambda}\left(f_{210 y}+2 \lambda_{x y} \lambda_{x}+\lambda_{x x y}\right)  \tag{H.1}\\
f_{201 y}= & f_{201} \lambda_{y}+2 e^{\lambda} f_{210 x y}  \tag{H.2}\\
f_{200 y y}= & f_{200 y} \lambda_{y}-e^{\lambda}\left(f_{210} f_{210 y}+2 f_{210 x y} \lambda_{x}+f_{210 x x y}+f_{210 y} \lambda_{x x}\right. \\
& \left.+f_{210 y} \lambda_{x}^{2}\right)-f_{210 y y} g_{00}-2 f_{210 y} g_{00 y}+f_{210 y} \lambda_{y} g_{00}+\lambda_{x y} f_{201} . \tag{H.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, functions $f_{2}$ and $g$ have the following form

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{2} & =f_{210} g+e^{\lambda} f_{210 y} y^{\prime 2}+f_{201} y^{\prime}+f_{200} \\
g & =e^{\lambda}\left(2 \lambda_{x} y^{\prime}+\lambda_{y} y^{\prime 2}+y^{\prime \prime}\right)+g_{00} .
\end{aligned}
$$

One solution of equation (H.1) is $g_{00 y}=\eta_{0}(x) e^{\lambda}$, then equation (H.1) becomes

$$
e^{\lambda}\left(f_{210 y}+2 \lambda_{x y} \lambda_{x}+\lambda_{x x y}\right)=0
$$

Since $e^{\lambda}=g_{1} \neq 0$, then $f_{210 y}+2 \lambda_{x y} \lambda_{x}+\lambda_{x x y}=0$ i.e.,

$$
f_{210}=-\lambda_{x x}-\lambda_{x}^{2}+\eta_{1}(x)
$$

One solution of equation (H.2) is $f_{201}=\eta_{2}(x) e^{\lambda}$, so that equation (H.2) is

$$
2 e^{\lambda}\left(-2 \lambda_{x y} \lambda_{x x}-\lambda_{x x x y}-2 \lambda_{x x y} \lambda_{x}\right)=0
$$

One arrives at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{x x}=-\lambda_{x}^{2}+\eta_{3}(x)+\eta_{4}(y) \tag{H.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

One solution of equation (H.3) is $f_{200}=g_{00} \eta_{4}+f_{200 k}(x, y)$, so that equation (H.3) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\lambda}\left[\eta_{4 y}\left(\eta_{1}-2 \eta_{3}-2 \eta_{4}\right)+\lambda_{x y} \eta_{2}\right]-f_{200 k y y}+f_{200 k y} \lambda_{y}=0 . \tag{H.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

One solution of equation (H.5) is $f_{200 k y}=\eta_{5}(x) e^{\lambda}$. Hence equation (H.5) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{x y} \eta_{2}+\eta_{4 y}\left(\eta_{1}-2 \eta_{3}-2 \eta_{4}\right)=0 \tag{H.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering case $\eta_{2}=0$ and $\eta_{4 y}=0$ (i.e., $\eta_{4}=0$ ), thus equation (H.4) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{x x}+\lambda_{x}^{2}=\eta_{3} . \tag{H.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\lambda}=H_{y} \tag{H.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

So that $f_{200 k_{y}}=H_{y} \eta_{5}(x)$ and $g_{00 y}=H_{y} \eta_{0}(x)$. The general solution of these two equations are $f_{200 k}=H \eta_{5}(x)+\eta_{6}(x)$ and $g_{00}=H \eta_{0}(x)+\eta_{7}(x)$, respectively. Differentiating equation (H.8) with respect to $x$, one arrives at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{x} H_{y}=H_{x y} . \tag{H.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equation (H.9) into equation (H.7), one gets

$$
\left(\left(\frac{H_{x y}}{H_{y}}\right)_{x}+\left(\frac{H_{x y}}{H_{y}}\right)^{2}\right)_{y}=0 .
$$

Differentiating equation (H.8) with respect to $y$, one obtains

$$
\lambda_{y} H_{y}=H_{y y} .
$$

Therefore, $f_{2}\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, z\right)$ and $g\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right)$ become

$$
f_{2}=z \eta_{8}+\eta_{5} H+\eta_{6}, \quad g=y^{\prime \prime} H_{y}+y^{\prime 2} H_{y y}+2 y^{\prime} H_{x y}+\eta_{0} H+\eta_{7},
$$

where $\eta_{8}=\eta_{1}-\eta_{3}$. One can change the coefficients $\eta_{i}(x)$ to $\mu_{i}(x)$ as in section 3.2.6. Hence,

$$
f_{2}=z \mu_{2}+\mu_{3} H+\mu_{5}, \quad g=y^{\prime \prime} H_{y}+y^{\prime 2} H_{y y}+2 y^{\prime} H_{x y}+\mu_{1} H+\mu_{4} .
$$

## APPENDIX I

## EQUATIONS FOR LEMMA 4.5 IN

## SECTION 4.3

For proving theorems we need the relations between $\varphi(x, y, p), \psi(x, y, p)$, $g(x, y, p)$ and the coefficients of equation (4.6). These relations are presented here.

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{2}= & -\left(\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p-g_{p} a\right) \varphi_{p}\right)^{-1}\left[\left(3 g_{p} a_{p}-2 g_{y}+3 g_{p p} a-3 g_{p y} p-3 g_{p x}\right) \varphi_{p}\right. \\
& \left.+3\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p-g_{p} a\right) \varphi_{p p}-\varphi_{y} g_{p}\right]  \tag{I.1}\\
A_{1}= & -\left(\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p-g_{p} a\right) \varphi_{p}\right)^{-1}\left[\left(3\left(3 g_{x} a_{p}-g_{y y} p^{2}-g_{x x}-2 g_{x y} p+g_{p p} a^{2}\right)\right.\right. \\
+ & \left.\left(9 a_{p} p-a\right) g_{y}+3\left(a_{x}+a_{y} p-2 a_{p} a\right) g_{p}\right) \varphi_{p}-2\left(\left(2\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p\right)-g_{p} a\right) \varphi_{y}\right. \\
- & \left.\left.3\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p-g_{p} a\right) \varphi_{p p} a\right)\right]  \tag{I.2}\\
A_{0}= & \left(\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p-g_{p} a\right) \varphi_{p}\right)^{-1}\left[\left(3 \left(\left(g_{x x}+g_{y y} p^{2}+2 g_{x y} p-g_{p y} p a-g_{p x} a\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left(a_{x}+a_{y} p+a_{p} a\right) g_{p}\right) a-\left(2\left(a_{x}+a_{y} p\right)+a_{p} a\right) g_{x}\right) \\
& \left.-\left(6 a_{y} p^{2}+a^{2}+6 a_{x} p+3 a_{p} p a\right) g_{y}\right) \varphi_{p} \\
& \left.+\left(\left(4\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p\right)-3 g_{p} a\right) \varphi_{y}-3\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p-g_{p} a\right) \varphi_{p p} a\right) a\right]  \tag{I.3}\\
& B_{5}=-\left(\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p-g_{p} a\right) \varphi_{p}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left[\left((\alpha g+\beta \psi) \varphi_{p}^{4}-\varphi_{p p p} g_{p}\right) \varphi_{p}\right. \\
& \left.+3 \varphi_{p p}^{2} g_{p}-3 \varphi_{p p} \varphi_{p} g_{p p}+\varphi_{p}^{2} g_{p p p}\right] \tag{I.4}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{4}= & -\left(\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p-g_{p} a\right) \varphi_{p}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left[3 \left(\left(2 g_{p} a_{p}-g_{y}-3 g_{p p} a-2 g_{p y} p-2 g_{p x}\right) \varphi_{p}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-2 \varphi_{y} g_{p}\right) \varphi_{p p}+\left(3\left(\varphi_{p y} g_{p}+\varphi_{y} g_{p p}\right)+5(\alpha g+\beta \psi) \varphi_{p}^{4} a\right) \varphi_{p} \\
& -\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p+4 g_{p} a\right)\left(\varphi_{p p p} \varphi_{p}-3 \varphi_{p p}^{2}\right) \\
& \left.-\left(3\left(2 g_{p p} a_{p}+g_{p} a_{p p}-g_{p p y} p-g_{p p x}\right)-2 g_{p p p} a-3 g_{p y}\right) \varphi_{p}^{2}\right]  \tag{I.5}\\
B_{3}= & \left(\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p-g_{p} a\right) \varphi_{p}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left[\left(3 \left(\left(2 a_{p p} a-3 a_{p}^{2}+a_{p y} p+a_{p x}\right) g_{p}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\left(a_{p p} p+2 a_{p}\right) g_{y}+g_{x} a_{p p}+\left(a_{x}+a_{y} p+3 a_{p} a\right) g_{p p}-2 g_{p p y} p a-2 g_{p p x} a\right) \\
& \left.-g_{p p p} a^{2}+6\left(2 a_{p} p-a\right) g_{p y}+12 g_{p x} a_{p}\right) \varphi_{p}^{2} \\
& +3\left(3 g_{p} a_{p}-g_{y}-2 g_{p p} a-2 g_{p y} p-2 g_{p x}\right) \varphi_{p} \varphi_{y} \\
& -\left(10(\alpha g+\beta \psi) \varphi_{p}^{5} a^{2}+3 \varphi_{y}^{2} g_{p}\right)-3\left(\left(\left(2 a_{p} p-3 a\right) g_{y}-g_{y y} p^{2}+2 g_{x} a_{p}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-g_{x x}-2 g_{x y} p+\left(a_{x}+a_{y} p+5 a_{p} a\right) g_{p}-3 g_{p p} a^{2}-6 g_{p y} p a-6 g_{p x} a\right) \varphi_{p} \\
& \left.-2\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p+3 g_{p} a\right) \varphi_{y}+2\left(2\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p\right)+3 g_{p} a\right) \varphi_{p p} a\right) \varphi_{p p} \\
& +2\left(2\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p\right)+3 g_{p} a\right) \varphi_{p p p} \varphi_{p} a-3\left(\left(g_{x y}+g_{y y} p+g_{p y y} p^{2}+g_{p x x}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+2 g_{p x y} p\right) \varphi_{p}+\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p+3 g_{p} a\right) \varphi_{p y}\right) \varphi_{p}\right], \tag{I.6}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{2}= & \left(\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p-g_{p} a\right) \varphi_{p}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left[\left(6 g_{x x} a_{p}-g_{y y y} p^{3}-3 g_{x x y} p-g_{x x x}-3 g_{x y y} p^{2}\right.\right. \\
& -3 g_{p p y} p a^{2}-3 g_{p p x} a^{2}-6 g_{p y y} p^{2} a-6 g_{p x x} a-12 g_{p x y} p a \\
& +6\left(a_{p} p-a\right) g_{y y} p+6\left(2 a_{p} p-a\right) g_{x y}+3\left(a_{x}+a_{y} p+a_{p} a\right) g_{p p} a \\
& +6\left(a_{x}+a_{y} p+3 a_{p} a\right) g_{p x}+3\left(2 a_{y} p^{2}-a^{2}+2 a_{x} p+6 a_{p} p a\right) g_{p y} \\
& +3\left(2 a_{p p} a-3 a_{p}^{2}+a_{p y} p+a_{p x}\right) g_{x}-3\left(3\left(a_{p} p-a\right) a_{p}-\left(a_{x}+a_{y} p\right)\right. \\
& \left.-2 a_{p p} p a-a_{p y} p^{2}-a_{p x} p\right) g_{y}+\left(a_{y y} p^{2}-a_{y} a+a_{x x}+2 a_{x y} p-8 a_{p}^{2} a\right. \\
& \left.\left.+4 a_{p p} a^{2}+4 a_{p y} p a+4 a_{p x} a-10\left(a_{x}+a_{y} p\right) a_{p}\right) g_{p}\right) \varphi_{p}^{2} \\
& +3\left(\left(\left(3\left(g_{x x}+g_{y y} p^{2}+2 g_{x y} p\right)+g_{p p} a^{2}+6 g_{p y} p a+6 g_{p x} a\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.-2\left(a_{x}+a_{y} p+2 a_{p} a\right) g_{p}\right) a-\left(a_{x}+a_{y} p+5 a_{p} a\right) g_{x} \\
& \left.\left.-\left(a_{y} p^{2}-3 a^{2}+a_{x} p+5 a_{p} p a\right) g_{y}\right) \varphi_{p}+6\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p+g_{p} a\right) \varphi_{y} a\right) \varphi_{p p} \\
& +\left(3 \left(3 g_{x} a_{p}-g_{y y} p^{2}-g_{x x}-2 g_{x y} p-g_{p p} a^{2}-4 g_{p y} p a-4 g_{p x} a\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left(3 a_{p} p-2 a\right) g_{y}\right)+2\left(2\left(a_{x}+a_{y} p\right)+7 a_{p} a\right) g_{p}\right) \varphi_{p} \varphi_{y} \\
& -\left(\left(9\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p+g_{p} a\right) \varphi_{p y}+10(\alpha g+\beta \psi) \varphi_{p}^{4} a^{2}\right) \varphi_{p} a\right. \\
& \left.\left.+3\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p+2 g_{p} a\right) \varphi_{y}^{2}-2\left(3\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p\right)+2 g_{p} a\right)\left(\varphi_{p p p} \varphi_{p}-3 \varphi_{p p}^{2}\right) a^{2}\right)\right], \tag{I.7}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{1}= & -\left(\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p-g_{p} a\right) \varphi_{p}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left[\left(\left(2\left(\left(3 g_{x x y}+g_{y y y} p^{2}\right) p+g_{x x x}+3 g_{x y y} p^{2}\right)\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.+3 g_{p y y} p^{2} a+3 g_{p x x} a+6 g_{p x y} p a-6\left(a_{x}+a_{y} p+a_{p} a\right)\left(g_{p x}+g_{p y} p\right)\right) a \\
& -3\left(a_{x}+a_{y} p+3 a_{p} a\right) g_{x x}-3\left(a_{y} p^{2}-a^{2}+a_{x} p+3 a_{p} p a\right) g_{y y} p \\
& -3\left(2 a_{y} p^{2}-a^{2}+2 a_{x} p+6 a_{p} p a\right) g_{x y}-\left(a_{y y} p^{2}-a_{y} a+a_{x x}+2 a_{x y} p\right. \\
& \left.-8 a_{p}^{2} a+4 a_{p p} a^{2}+4 a_{p y} p a+4 a_{p x} a-10\left(a_{x}+a_{y} p\right) a_{p}\right) g_{x} \\
& -\left(\left(a_{y y} p^{2}+2 a_{y} a\right) p+3 a_{x} a+a_{x x} p+2 a_{x y} p^{2}-8 a_{p}^{2} p a+4 a_{p p} p a^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+4 a_{p y} p^{2} a+4 a_{p x} p a-\left(10 a_{y} p^{2}-3 a^{2}+10 a_{x} p\right) a_{p}\right) g_{y}+\left(\left(3 a_{y} p^{2}+a^{2}\right) a_{y}\right. \\
& -a_{y y} p^{2} a+3\left(a_{x}+2 a_{y} p\right) a_{x}-a_{x x} a-2 a_{x y} p a+2 a_{p}^{2} a^{2}-a_{p p} a^{3}-a_{p y} p a^{2} \\
& \left.\left.-a_{p x} a^{2}+4\left(a_{x}+a_{y} p\right) a_{p} a\right) g_{p}\right) \varphi_{p}^{2}-\left(3 \left(\left(\left(3\left(g_{x x}+g_{y y} p^{2}+2 g_{x y} p\right)\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.+2 g_{p y} p a+2 g_{p x} a-\left(a_{x}+a_{y} p+a_{p} a\right) g_{p}\right) a-2\left(a_{x}+a_{y} p+2 a_{p} a\right) g_{x} \\
& \left.\left.-\left(2 a_{y} p^{2}-a^{2}+2 a_{x} p+4 a_{p} p a\right) g_{y}\right) \varphi_{p}+2\left(3\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p\right)+g_{p} a\right) \varphi_{y} a\right) \varphi_{p p} a \\
& -\left(\left(2\left(3\left(g_{x x}+g_{y y} p^{2}+2 g_{x y} p+g_{p y} p a+g_{p x} a\right) a-\left(2\left(a_{x}+a_{y} p\right)+7 a_{p} a\right) g_{x}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.-\left(4\left(a_{x}+a_{y} p\right)+5 a_{p} a\right) g_{p} a-\left(4 a_{y} p^{2}-3 a^{2}+4 a_{x} p+14 a_{p} p a\right) g_{y}\right) \varphi_{p} \varphi_{y} \\
& +\left(3\left(2\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p\right)+g_{p} a\right) \varphi_{y}^{2}+5(\alpha g+\beta \psi) \varphi_{p}^{5} a^{3}\right. \\
& +3\left(3\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p\right)+g_{p} a\right) \varphi_{p y} \varphi_{p} a \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.-\left(4\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p\right)+g_{p} a\right)\left(\varphi_{p p p} \varphi_{p}-3 \varphi_{p p}^{2}\right) a^{2}\right) a\right)\right)\right], \tag{I.8}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{0}= & \left(\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p-g_{p} a\right) \varphi_{p}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left[\left(\left(a_{y y} p^{2}-a_{y} a+a_{x x}+2 a_{x y} p\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\left(2\left(a_{x}+a_{y} p\right)+a_{p} a\right) a_{p}+a_{p p} a^{2}+a_{p y} p a+a_{p x} a\right) \varphi_{p} \\
& -\left(\left(2\left(a_{x}+a_{y} p\right)+a_{p} a\right) \varphi_{y}+\varphi_{y y y} p^{3}\right)+3\left(a_{x}+a_{y} p+a_{p} a\right) \varphi_{p p} a \\
& \left.+\varphi_{p p p} a^{3}-3 \varphi_{p y} a^{2}\right)\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p\right) \varphi_{p} a+3\left(\left(\varphi_{p} a_{p}-\varphi_{y}+\varphi_{p p} a-\varphi_{p y} p\right) a\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\left(\varphi_{p} a_{y}-\varphi_{y y} p+\varphi_{p y} a\right) p-\varphi_{p} a_{x}\right)\right)\left(\left(g_{x x}+g_{y y} p^{2}+2 g_{x y} p\right) \varphi_{p} a\right. \\
& \left.-2\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p\right) \varphi_{y y} p^{2}\right)-\left(\varphi_{p}^{5} \alpha g a^{5}+\varphi_{p}^{5} \beta \psi a^{5}+3 \varphi_{p}^{2} g_{x y y} p^{2} a^{2}+\varphi_{p}^{2} g_{x x x} a^{2}\right. \\
& +3 \varphi_{p}^{2} g_{x x y} p a^{2}+\varphi_{p}^{2} g_{y y y} p^{3} a^{2}-\varphi_{p} \varphi_{y y y} g_{x} p^{3} a-\varphi_{p} \varphi_{y y y} g_{y} p^{4} a \\
& -6 \varphi_{p} \varphi_{y y} g_{x y} p^{3} a-3 \varphi_{p} \varphi_{y y} g_{x x} p^{2} a-3 \varphi_{p} \varphi_{y y} g_{y y} p^{4} a+\varphi_{y y y} \varphi_{y} g_{x} p^{4} \\
& \left.+\varphi_{y y y} \varphi_{y} g_{y} p^{5}\right)-6\left(2 \left(\left(\varphi_{p} a_{p}-\varphi_{y}+\varphi_{p p} a-\varphi_{p y} p\right) a+\left(a_{x}-a_{y} p\right) \varphi_{p}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+2 \varphi_{y y} p^{2}-\varphi_{p y} p a\right)\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p\right)-\left(g_{x x}+g_{y y} p^{2}+2 g_{x y} p\right) \varphi_{p} a\right)\left(\varphi_{p} a_{y}\right. \\
& \left.-\varphi_{y y} p+\varphi_{p y} a\right) p-\left(3 \left(\left(\varphi_{p} a_{p}-\varphi_{y}+\varphi_{p p} a-\varphi_{p y} p\right) a\right.\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\left(\varphi_{p} a_{y}-\varphi_{y y} p+\varphi_{p y} a\right) p-\varphi_{p} a_{x}\right)\right)^{2}+\left(12\left(\varphi_{p} a_{y}-\varphi_{y y} p+\varphi_{p y} a\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.-\left(\varphi_{y y y} \varphi_{y}-3 \varphi_{y y}^{2}\right) p^{2}\right) p^{2}\right)\left(g_{x}+g_{y} p\right)\right] . \tag{I.9}
\end{align*}
$$

## APPENDIX J

## MORE CALCULATIONS IN SECTION 4.6

## J. 1 Obtaining the Form of Functions $f_{2}$ and $g$ in Section 4.6.1

Considering equation $c_{5 y y}=0$ of equations (4.22), one obtains that the general solution of this equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{5}=\tilde{f} y+\tilde{k}, \tag{J.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{f}=\tilde{f}(x, p)$ and $\tilde{k}=\tilde{k}(x, p)$ are arbitrary functions. So that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{5 x x}=\tilde{f}_{x x} y+\tilde{k}_{x x} . \tag{J.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations (4.22) one has $c_{5 x x}=0$, then $c_{5 x x y}=0$. Differentiating equation (J.2) with respect to $y$ yields $\tilde{f}_{x x}=0$, moreover one finds $\tilde{k}_{x x}=0$. Therefore, the forms of $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{k}$ are

$$
\tilde{f}=l_{1} x+l_{0}, \quad \tilde{k}=\tilde{k}_{1} x+\tilde{k}_{0}
$$

where $l_{i}=l_{i}(p)$, and $\tilde{k}_{i}=\tilde{k}_{i}(p),(i=0,1)$ are arbitrary functions. Differentiating equation (J.1) with respect to $y$, one gets

$$
c_{5 y}=\tilde{f}
$$

Substituting into $c_{5 x y}=0$, one obtains $l_{1}=0$. That is $\tilde{f}=l_{0}$. Hence, the general form of $c_{5}$ is

$$
c_{5}=l_{0} y+\tilde{k}_{1} x+\tilde{k}_{0} .
$$

From equations (4.22), one has $c_{1}=c_{2}=c_{3}=c_{4}=0$ and $c_{6 x}=c_{6 y}=0$ (i.e., $\left.c_{6}=c_{6 p}\right)$. So that $f_{2}$ has the following form

$$
f_{2}=\left(\tilde{k}_{0}+\tilde{k}_{1} x+l_{0} y\right) y^{\prime \prime}+c_{6} .
$$

One can rewrite $f_{2}$ in the form of equations (4.23).
By setting $a=0, h_{0}=0, h_{1 x}=0$, function $h$ in this case has the form

$$
h=\frac{h_{1}}{y^{\prime 2}}
$$

where $h_{1}=h_{1}(p)$. One can rewrite it in the form of equations (4.23).

## J. 2 Obtaining the Form of Functions $f_{2}$ and $g$ in Section 4.6.2

Because of $h_{1 x}=0$, that is $h_{1}$ is functions of $y$ and $p$. By consideration of the second equation of equations (4.25), one obtains that

$$
h_{1}=\bar{k} p^{4}
$$

where $\bar{k}=\bar{k}(y)$ is arbitrary function. Substituting into the third equation of equations (4.25), one finds that

$$
\bar{k}=\frac{1}{\left(\bar{k}_{0}+\bar{k}_{1} y\right)^{5}},
$$

where $\bar{k}_{0}$ and $\bar{k}_{1}$ are arbitrary constants. One can choose any particular solution $\bar{k}_{0}=0$, thus $\bar{k}=\frac{1}{\left(k_{1} y\right)^{5}}$. So that equations (4.26) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{6 x}=\frac{\left(c_{6 p} \bar{k}_{1}^{5} y^{5}-6 p\right) p^{2}}{\bar{k}_{1}^{5} y^{6}}, \quad c_{6 y}=-\frac{\left(c_{6 p} p+3 c_{6}\right)}{y} \tag{J.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using Cauchy method the second equation of equations (J.3) gives

$$
c_{6}=\frac{\bar{f}}{y^{3}},
$$

where $\bar{f}=\bar{f}\left(x, \frac{p}{y}\right)$ is arbitrary function. Substituting $c_{6}$ into the first equation of equations (J.3), one arrives at equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\bar{f}_{x}+z^{2} \bar{f}_{z}-\frac{6 z^{3}}{\bar{k}_{1}^{5}}=0 \tag{J.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $z=\frac{p}{y}$. By virtue of Cauchy method, the general solution of equation (J.4) is

$$
\bar{f}=\frac{3 z^{2}}{\bar{k}_{1}^{5}}+\bar{f}_{0}
$$

where $\bar{f}_{0}=\bar{f}_{0}\left(\frac{1}{z}-x\right)$. Thus $c_{6}$ becomes

$$
c_{6}=\frac{3 p^{2}}{\bar{k}_{1}^{5} y^{5}}+\frac{\bar{f}_{0}}{y^{3}} .
$$

Setting $S_{k}=c_{5 x}+p c_{5 y}$, one arrives at equation

$$
y S_{k y}+p S_{k p}+4 S_{k}=0
$$

Solving by Cauchy method, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{k}=\frac{w}{y^{4}}, \tag{J.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w=w\left(x, \frac{p}{y}\right)$ is arbitrary function. Hence,

$$
c_{5 x}=\frac{w}{y^{4}}-p c_{5 y} .
$$

This solves equation (4.28) as well. Substituting the value of $c_{5 x}$ into equation (4.27), one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{x}-z^{2} w_{z}=z\left(2 w-\frac{9 z}{\bar{k}_{1}^{5}}\right)+\bar{f}_{0} . \tag{J.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using Cauchy method, the general solution of equation (J.6) is

$$
w=\frac{3 z}{\bar{k}_{1}^{5}}-\frac{\bar{f}_{0}}{z}+\frac{m}{z^{2}},
$$

where $m=m\left(\frac{1}{z}-x\right)$ is arbitrary function. One can rewrite $w$ in the following form

$$
w=\frac{3 p}{\bar{k}_{1}^{5} y}-\frac{\bar{f}_{0} y}{p}+\frac{m y^{2}}{p^{2}}
$$

where $m=m\left(\frac{y}{p}-x\right)$ is arbitrary function. Therefore $S_{k}$ of equation (J.5) becomes

$$
c_{5 x}+p c_{5 y}+\frac{\left(\bar{f}_{0} \bar{k}_{1}^{5} y^{2}-3 p^{2}\right) p-\bar{k}_{1}^{5} m y^{3}}{\bar{k}_{1}^{5} p^{2} y^{5}}=0 .
$$

The general solution of this equation is

$$
c_{5}=-\frac{3}{4 \bar{k}_{1}^{5} y^{4}}+\frac{\bar{f}_{0}}{2 y^{2} p^{2}}-\frac{m}{p^{3} y}+d,
$$

where $d=d\left(p, \frac{y}{p}-x\right)$ is arbitrary function. This solution solves equations (4.27)(4.29) as well with

$$
d=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{p^{5 / 2}}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{p^{4}},
$$

where $\lambda_{i}=\lambda_{i}\left(\frac{y}{p}-x\right), i=(1,2)$ are arbitrary functions. Hence, functions $h$ and $f_{2}$ become

$$
\begin{gathered}
h=\frac{p^{4}}{\bar{k}_{1}^{5} y^{5} y^{\prime 2}}, \\
f_{2}=\frac{\bar{f}_{0}}{y^{3}}+\frac{3 p^{2}}{\bar{k}_{1}^{5} y^{5}}+y^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{\bar{f}_{0}}{2 y^{2} p^{2}}-\frac{3}{4 \bar{k}_{1}^{5} y^{4}}-\frac{m}{y p^{3}}+\frac{\lambda_{1}}{p^{5 / 2}}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{p^{4}}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

One can rewrite these equations as in the form of equations (4.30).
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[^0]:    ${ }^{*}$ Historical review can be found in (Ibragimov, 1999), recent references in (Meleshko, 2005).
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ See detail in section 2.5 .

[^1]:    ${ }^{\ddagger}$ See more detail in Appendix A.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\S}$ See detail in Appendix B.

[^3]:    ${ }^{\text {® }}$ Compatibility means the system has a solution.
    "Short review of results of solving the linearization problem for a system of two second-order ordinary differential equations can be found, for example, in (Wafo Soh and Mahomed, 2000), (Aminova and Aminov, 2006).

[^4]:    *Transformations of higher order derivatives are defined through the transformations of the independent, dependent variables and first-order partial derivatives by prolongation formulae and tangent conditions.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Transformations of the independent, dependent variables and derivatives up to some finite order, for example, $N$, depend on the independent, dependent variables and derivatives up to the order $N$.

[^5]:    ${ }^{\ddagger}$ See detail in Appendix C.

[^6]:    ${ }^{\S}$ See proof in Appendix E.

[^7]:    ${ }^{\text {I}}$ See compatibility analyze of the system of equations for functions $\varphi$ and $\psi$ in Appendix F .

[^8]:    *See detail in section 2.5.

[^9]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ In (Ibragimov and Meleshko, 2007) the complete study of second-order ordinary differential equations linearizable by the Riccati substitution is presented.

[^10]:    ${ }^{\ddagger}$ See more calculations in Appendix H .

[^11]:    
    ${ }^{\top}$ Since equations (G.1)-(G.18) are cumbersome, they are presented in Appendix G.

[^12]:    ${ }^{\|}$Equations (G.19)-(G.22) and (G.23)-(G.24) are presented in Appendix G
    ${ }^{* *}$ Equations (G.26)-(G.44) are presented in Appendix G.

[^13]:    *There are two more equations in (Dridi and Neut, 2005): $f_{r r r}=0$ and $6 f_{q r r}+f_{r r}{ }^{2}=0$.

[^14]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Since equations (I.1)-(I.9) are cumbersome, they are presented in Appendix I.

[^15]:    $\ddagger$ See more calculations in Appendix J.

