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#### Abstract

An obtaining of equations for double waves in case of general quasilinear system of partial differential equations has big difficulties. They are connected with complexity and awkwardness of study of overdetermined systems, describing solutions of this class. However there are general statements about double waves of autonomous quasilinear system of equations. This article is devoted to classification of irreducible double waves of autonomous nonhomogeneous systems.
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## 1. Introduction

A solution $u_{i}=u_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right),(i=1,2, \ldots, m)$, of the autonomous quasilinear system of equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} A_{\alpha}(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{\alpha}}=f(u) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called a multiple wave of rank $r$ if a rank of the Jacobi matrix $\frac{\partial\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{m}\right)}{\partial\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}$ is equal to $r$ in a domain $G$ of the independent variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Here $A_{\alpha}$ are rectangle $N \times m$ matrixes with elements $a_{i j}^{\alpha}(u)$ and $f=\left(f_{1}(u), \ldots, f_{N}(u)\right)$.

Depending on the value of $r$ a multiple wave is named simple $(r=1)$, double $(r=2)$ or triple ( $r=3$ ) wave. The value $r=0$ corresponds to uniform flow with constant $u_{i},(i=1,2, \ldots, m)$, and $r=n$ corresponds to a general case of nondegenerate solutions. Multiple waves of all ranks compose a class of degenerate hodograph solutions.

The singularity of the Jacobi matrix means that the functions $u_{i}(x)(i=1,2, \ldots, m)$ are functionally dependent (hodograph is degenerated), with $m-r$ number of functional constraints

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}=\Phi_{i}\left(\lambda^{1}, \lambda^{2}, \ldots, \lambda^{r}\right),(i=1,2, \ldots, m) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The variables $\lambda^{1}(u), \lambda^{2}(u), \ldots, \lambda^{r}(u)$ are called parameters of the wave. The solutions with degenerate hodograph are a generalization of the travelling waves: the wave parameters of the travelling waves are linear forms of independent variables. To find the $r$-multiple wave it is necessary to substitute the representation (2) into the system (1). We get an overdetermined system of differential equations for the wave parameters $\lambda^{i}(x),(i=1,2, \ldots, r)$ which should be studied for compatibility. Review of applications of multiple waves in gas dynamics can be found in [1].

The main problem of the theory of solutions with degenerate hodograph is getting a closed system of equations in the space of dependent variables (hodograph), establishing the arbitrariness of the general solution and determining flow in the physical space.

Arbitrary nonhomogeneous system (1) is not changed under transformations

$$
x_{i}^{\prime}=x_{i}+b_{i}, \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, n),
$$

that compose a group $G^{n}$. For homogeneous systems (1) $(f=0)$ there is one more scale transformation ${ }^{1}$ $x_{i}^{\prime}=a x_{i} \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$. With group analysis point of view an $r$-multiple wave is a partially invariant

[^0]solution with respect to $G^{n}$ (or $G^{n+1}$ ) [2]. A class of partially invariant solutions of some group $H$ is characterized by rank $\sigma$ and defect $\delta$ : class $H(\sigma, \delta)$-solutions. If some class $H(\sigma, \delta)$-solutions is a class $H_{1}\left(\sigma, \delta_{1}\right)$-solutions with less defect $\delta_{1}<\delta$, then it is said that the class $H(\sigma, \delta)$-solutions is reduced to the less defect. For example, if $\delta_{1}=0$, then such solution is reducible to invariant solution with respect to the subgroup $H_{1}$.

A practice of study of partially invariant solutions shows that classes of solutions of a given rank with less defect are easier to get. It is connected with the property that analysis of compatibility for the solutions with greater defect is more difficult. Therefore it is useful to clarify the structure properties of overdetermined system a priori.

There are only some sufficient conditions of the reducibility [2] that allow to predict a reduction on the basis of structure properties of overdetermined system. One of these conditions is a restriction on ability to define all first derivatives of solution (otherwise the solution is reduced to invariant solution). Others are concerned to double waves. If in the process of getting compatibility conditions for the wave parameters of double wave we obtain $N=2 n-1$ homogeneous equations of type ( 1 ), then this double wave is invariant solution. In particular, plane nonisobaric double waves with the general state equation which has defect of invariance $\delta=2$ are isentropic [2]. Another application of these conditions to double waves of gas dynamics equations leads to the result [3] that the class of irreducible to invariant solutions of plane isentropic irrotational double waves is described by flows obtained in [4]. For homogeneous systems of type ( 1) with $N=2 n-2$ and $n=3$ full classification of double waves with additional assumption about having functional arbitrariness of the solution was done in [5].

This article is devoted to the study of nonhomogeneous systems of type (1) with $N=2 n-1$ equations which solutions are not reducible to invariant.

## 2. Nonhomogeneous systems ( $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{2 n} \mathbf{- 1}$ )

Let a system of $N=2 n-1$ independent autonomous quasilinear equations on the wave parameters $\lambda$ and $\mu$ of a double wave be type of (1). It can be obtained as a result of substitution of the representation of double wave:

$$
u_{i}=u_{i}(\lambda, \mu),(i=1,2 \ldots, m)
$$

into the initial system and some analysis of compatibility ${ }^{2}$. Without loss of generality equations for the wave parameters can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lambda_{i}=p_{i}(\lambda, \mu) \lambda_{1}+f_{i}(\lambda, \mu), \mu_{j}=q_{j}(\lambda, \mu) \lambda_{1}+g_{j}(\lambda, \mu),  \tag{3}\\
\\
(i=1, \ldots, n ; j=1, \ldots, n) .
\end{array}
$$

Here $\lambda_{i}=\partial \lambda / \partial x_{i}, \mu_{j}=\partial \mu / \partial x_{j}$ and for the sake of simplicity we accept $p_{1} \equiv 1, f_{1} \equiv 0$.
The problem is to classify systems of type (3) with irreducible to invariant solutions.
A classification is derived with respect to equivalence transformations, admitted by system (3):
(a) linear nondegenerate replacement of independent variables;
(b) replacement of wave parameters: $\lambda^{\prime}=L(\lambda, \mu), \mu^{\prime}=M(\lambda, \mu)$.

In the last case the coefficients $p_{i}, q_{i}$ and the functions $f_{i}, g_{i}$ are transformed by formulae:

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{1}^{\prime}=1, \quad p_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{p_{i} L_{\lambda}+q_{i} L_{\mu}}{L_{\lambda}+q_{1} L_{\mu}}, \quad q_{j}^{\prime}=\frac{p_{j} M_{\lambda}+q_{j} M_{\mu}}{L_{\lambda}+q_{1} L_{\mu}}, \\
f_{1}^{\prime}=0, \quad f_{i}^{\prime}=f_{i} L_{\lambda}+g_{i} L_{\mu}-g_{1} L_{\mu} p_{i}^{\prime}, \quad g_{j}^{\prime}=f_{j} M_{\lambda}+g_{j} M_{\mu}-g_{1} L_{\mu} q_{j}^{\prime}, \\
(i=2, \ldots, n ; j=1, \ldots, n) .
\end{gathered}
$$

As a result of such transformations (as in homogeneous case [2]) it is possible to do $q_{1}=0$. For this purpose it is enough to choose a function $L(\lambda, \mu)$, which satisfies to the equation $L_{\lambda}+q_{1} L_{\mu}=0$.

If $\sum_{i} q_{i}^{2} \neq 0$, then the coefficients of system ( 3 ) can be transformed to

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}=0, \quad q_{2}=1 . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]Simultaneous safe of equalities $q_{1}=0, \quad q_{2}=1$ under replacement of the wave parameters is iff there is

$$
M_{\lambda}=0, \quad L_{\lambda}=M_{\mu}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\lambda M^{\prime}(\mu)+\omega(\mu), \quad M=M(\mu) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Another case corresponds to system (3) with

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{i}=0(i=1,2, \ldots, n) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is no last case (6) for homogeneous systems, because conditions (6) contradict to the definition of double wave for such kind of systems: rank of the Jacobi matrix is less then two.

A study of compatibility of system (3) consists of in the following. As a result of reduction of overdetermined system (3) to involutive system we get equations with a structure of nonhomogeneous quadratic forms with respect to the derivative $\lambda_{1}$. If at least one of coefficients of these forms is not equal to zero, then it means that a solution of the system satisfies to overdetermined system of equations from which all first derivatives can be found. By virtue of reduction theorem [2] it gives the reduction of this solution to invariant solution. Therefore these forms are decomposed on subsystems on functions $p_{i}, q_{j}, f_{i}, g_{j}$ : quadratic, linear and "zero" terms with respect to power of the derivative $\lambda_{1}$. Further simplifications are connected with more detail study of compatibility conditions of systems of the types (4) and (6).

## 3. Systems of the type (4)

The value of $\lambda_{11}=a \lambda_{1}+b$, can be defined from the expression $D_{1}\left(\mu_{2}-\lambda_{1}-g_{2}\right)-D_{2}\left(\mu_{1}-g_{1}\right)=0$ where $D_{i}$ is a total derivative with respect to $x_{i}, a=p_{2} g_{1 \lambda}+g_{1 \mu}-g_{2 \lambda}, b=f_{2} g_{1 \lambda}+g_{2} g_{1 \mu}-g_{1} g_{2 \mu}$. It can be noted that all second derivatives $\lambda_{i j}$ and $\mu_{i j}$ can be found. Therefore an arbitrariness of the general solution of system of the type (4) is only constant. For example, the derivatives

$$
\lambda_{i 1}=p_{i \lambda} \lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{1}\left(a p_{i}+f_{i \lambda}+g_{1} p_{i \mu}\right)+b p_{i}+g_{1} f_{i \mu}, \quad(i=2,3, \ldots, n)
$$

can be found from the expressions $D_{1}\left(\lambda_{i}-p_{i} \lambda_{1}-f_{i}\right)=0$. After substituting them into $F_{i} \equiv D_{1} \mu_{i}-D_{i} \mu_{1}=$ $0,(i=2,3, \ldots, n)$ we obtain nonhomogeneous quadratic forms with respect to the derivative $\lambda_{1}$. By virtue of the prohibition of reduction of the solution of system (3) to an invariant, the coefficients of these quadratic forms $F_{i}$ have to be equal to zero:

$$
\begin{gather*}
q_{i \lambda}=0  \tag{7}\\
q_{i}\left(p_{2} g_{1 \lambda}-g_{2 \lambda}\right)+g_{1} g_{i \mu}+g_{i \lambda}-p_{i} g_{1 \lambda}=0  \tag{8}\\
q_{i} b+g_{1} g_{i \mu}-f_{i} g_{1 \lambda}-g_{i} g_{1 \mu}=0  \tag{9}\\
(i=2,3, \ldots, n)
\end{gather*}
$$

In the same way from the quadratic forms $D_{i} \lambda_{j}-D_{j} \lambda_{i}=0$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{j} p_{i \mu}=q_{i} p_{j \mu}  \tag{10}\\
& f_{j} p_{i \lambda}+g_{j} p_{i \mu}+q_{j} f_{i \mu}+p_{i} g_{1} p_{j \mu}=f_{i} p_{j \lambda}+g_{i} p_{j \mu}+q_{i} f_{j \mu}+p_{j} g_{1} p_{i \mu}  \tag{11}\\
& f_{j} f_{i \lambda}+g_{j} f_{i \mu}+p_{i} g_{1} f_{j \mu}=f_{i} f_{j \lambda}+g_{i} f_{j \mu}+p_{j} g_{1} f_{i \mu} \\
&(i, j=2,3, \ldots, n ; i \neq j)
\end{align*}
$$

And from the equalities $D_{i} \mu_{j}-D_{j} \mu_{i}=0$ we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{j}\left(p_{i \lambda}-q_{j \mu}\right)=q_{i}\left(p_{j \lambda}-q_{j \mu}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
g_{j} q_{i \mu}+q_{i}\left(p_{j} a+f_{j \lambda}+g_{1} p_{j \mu}\right)+p_{j} g_{i \lambda}+q_{j} g_{i \mu}=  \tag{13}\\
g_{i} q_{j \mu}+q_{j}\left(p_{i} a+f_{i \lambda}+g_{1} p_{i \mu}\right)+p_{i} g_{j \lambda}+q_{i} g_{j \mu} \\
q_{i}\left(p_{j} b+g_{1} f_{j \mu}\right)+f_{j} g_{i \lambda}+g_{j} g_{i \mu}=  \tag{14}\\
q_{j}\left(p_{i} b+g_{1} f_{i \mu}\right)+f_{i} g_{j \lambda}+g_{i} g_{j \mu} \\
(i, j=2,3, \ldots, n ; i \neq j)
\end{gather*}
$$

We note that the expressions $D_{1} \lambda_{i 1}-D_{i} \lambda_{11}=0$ are cubic polynomials with respect to the derivative $\lambda_{1}$ : $p_{i \lambda \lambda} \lambda_{1}^{3}+\ldots=0$. Therefore,

$$
p_{i \lambda \lambda}=0,(i=2,3, \ldots, n)
$$

With the help of equivalence transformations (5) that leave unchanged conditions $q_{1}=0, q_{2}=1$, because of the choice of the functions $\omega(\mu)$ and $\psi(\mu)$, we can assume that $p_{2}=0$. Then from (6), (10), ( 12 ) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{i \lambda}=0, p_{i \mu}=0, p_{i \lambda}=q_{i \mu},(i=2,3, \ldots, n) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (15) in the expressions $D_{1} \lambda_{i 1}-D_{i} \lambda_{11}=0,(i=2,3, \ldots, n)$ we find

$$
\begin{array}{r}
q_{i} a_{\mu}=2 a p_{i \lambda}+f_{i \lambda \lambda} \\
f_{i} a_{\lambda}+g_{i} a_{\mu}+q_{i} b_{\mu}= \\
3 b p_{i \lambda}+g_{1}\left(p_{i} a_{\mu}+2 f_{i \lambda \mu}\right)+g_{1 \lambda} f_{i \mu} \\
a g_{1} f_{i \mu}+b_{\lambda} f_{i}+g_{i} b_{\mu}=  \tag{18}\\
b f_{i \lambda}+g_{1}\left(p_{i} b_{\mu}+g_{1} f_{i \mu \mu}+g_{1 \mu} f_{i \mu}\right)
\end{array}
$$

The functions $p_{i}, q_{j}, f_{i}, g_{j}$ must satisfy to (8), (9), (11), (14), (13), (15) - (18) for the irreducibility of solutions of system (3) to invariant solutions.

We note that

$$
p_{i}=\lambda A_{i}+B_{i}, q_{j}=\mu A_{i}+C_{i}, \quad(i=2,3, \ldots, n)
$$

are the general solutions of equations (15), where

$$
A_{1}=0, B_{1}=1, C_{1}=0, A_{2}=0, B_{2}=0, C_{2}=1
$$

and $A_{i}, B_{i}, C_{i} \quad(i=3, \ldots, n)$ are arbitrary constants. Further simplifications of equations of system (3) are connected with an application of equivalence transformations, which correspond to a replacement of the independent variables. By virtue of the replacement:

$$
x_{1}^{\prime}=B_{\alpha} x_{\alpha}, \quad x_{2}^{\prime}=C_{\alpha} x_{\alpha}, \quad x_{i}^{\prime}=x_{i},(i=3,4, \ldots, n)
$$

we can get $B_{i}=0, C_{i}=0,(i=3,4, \ldots, n)$.
Further we have to consider two cases: (a) all $A_{i}=0(i=3,4, \ldots, n)$ and (b) $\sum_{i} A_{i}^{2} \neq 0$.
In the first case (a) the system (3) has the form

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lambda_{2}=f_{2}, \lambda_{i}=f_{i}  \tag{19}\\
\mu_{1}=g_{1}, \mu_{2}=\lambda_{1}+g_{2}, \mu_{i}=g_{i}, i \geq 3
\end{array}
$$

In the second case (b), without loss of generality, we can regard $A_{3} \neq 0$. Then as a result of one more linear transformation of the independent variables

$$
x_{1}^{\prime}=x_{1}, \quad x_{2}^{\prime}=x_{2}, \quad x_{3}^{\prime}=A_{\alpha} x_{\alpha}, \quad x_{i}^{\prime}=x_{i}, \quad(i=4,5, \ldots, n)
$$

system (3) becomes

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lambda_{2}=f_{2}, \lambda_{3}=\lambda \lambda_{1}+f_{3}, \lambda_{i}=f_{i}  \tag{20}\\
\mu_{1}=g_{1}, \mu_{2}=\lambda_{1}+g_{2}, \mu_{3}=\mu \mu_{2}+g_{3}, \mu_{i}=g_{i}, i \geq 4
\end{array}
$$

Further successive simplifications of systems (19) and (20) are connected with the analysis of the constants $C_{i}$.

### 3.1. System (19)

In this case equations $(8),(9),(11),(14)$ are reduced to

$$
\begin{array}{r}
g_{i}=C_{i} \mu+K_{i}, f_{i}=C_{i} \lambda+R_{i}  \tag{21}\\
C_{i}\left(\lambda g_{1 \lambda}+\mu g_{1 \mu}-g_{1}\right)+R_{i} g_{1 \lambda}+K_{i} g_{1 \mu}=0 \\
C_{i}\left(\lambda g_{2 \lambda}+\mu g_{2 \mu}-g_{2}\right)+R_{i} g_{2 \lambda}+K_{i} g_{2 \mu}=0 \\
C_{i}\left(\lambda f_{2 \lambda}+\mu f_{2 \mu}-f_{2}\right)+R_{i} f_{2 \lambda}+K_{i} f_{2 \mu}=0 \\
C_{i} R_{j}=C_{j} R_{i}, C_{i} K_{j}=C_{j} K_{i},(i, j=3,4, \ldots, n),
\end{array}
$$

where $C_{i}, R_{i}, K_{i}$ are arbitrary constants.

### 3.1.1.

If at least one of the constants $C_{i}$ is not equal to zero, (without loss of generality, we can take $C_{3} \neq 0$ ), then with the help of transformations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda^{\prime}=\lambda+\frac{R_{3}}{C_{3}}, \mu^{\prime}=\mu+\frac{K_{3}}{C_{3}} \\
x_{1}^{\prime}=x_{1}, \quad x_{2}^{\prime}=x_{2}, \quad x_{3}^{\prime}=\sum_{\alpha=3}^{n} C_{\alpha} x_{\alpha}, \quad x_{i}^{\prime}=x_{i}, \quad(i=4, \ldots, n)
\end{gathered}
$$

system (19) becomes

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lambda_{3}=\lambda, \mu_{3}=\mu, \lambda_{i}=0, \mu_{i}=0,(i=4,5, \ldots, n),  \tag{22}\\
\lambda_{2}=\lambda F(\mu / \lambda), \mu_{1}=\lambda \Psi_{1}(\mu / \lambda), \mu_{2}=\lambda_{1}+\lambda \Psi_{2}(\mu / \lambda) .
\end{array}
$$

The functions $F, \Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}$ must satisfy a system of three ordinary differential equations of the second order. This system is obtained after substitution of

$$
f_{2}=\lambda F(\mu / \lambda), g_{1}=\lambda \Psi_{1}(\mu / \lambda), g_{2}=\lambda \Psi_{2}(\mu / \lambda)
$$

into equations (16)-( 18):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Psi_{1}^{\prime \prime}+y \Psi_{2}^{\prime \prime}-y^{2} F^{\prime \prime}=0 \\
\left(y^{2} F-y \Psi_{2}-\Psi_{1}\right) F^{\prime \prime}=0, \quad\left(y^{2} F-y \Psi_{2}-\Psi_{1}\right) \Psi_{2}^{\prime \prime}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

where $y \equiv \mu / \lambda$.
It can be noted that system (22) is invariant with respect to transformation: $\lambda^{\prime}=-\lambda, \mu^{\prime}=-\mu$. Therefore we can consider that $\lambda>0$. It allows one more simplification by transformation

$$
\lambda^{\prime}=\frac{\mu}{\lambda}, \quad \mu^{\prime}=\ln (\lambda), \quad x_{1}^{\prime}=x_{2}, \quad x_{2}^{\prime}=x_{1}, \quad x_{i}=x_{i}, \quad(i=3,4, \ldots, n)
$$

System (22) is reduced to

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lambda_{2}+\lambda \lambda_{1}=\hat{\Psi}_{1}(\lambda), \quad \lambda_{i}=0,(i=3,4, \ldots, n)  \tag{23}\\
\mu_{1}=F(\lambda), \mu_{2}=\lambda_{1}+\hat{\Psi}_{2}(\lambda), \mu_{3}=1, \mu_{i}=0,(i=4, \ldots, n)
\end{array}
$$

Here $\hat{\Psi}_{1}(\lambda)=\Psi_{1}(\lambda)+\lambda \Psi_{2}(\lambda)-\lambda^{2} F(\lambda), \quad \hat{\Psi}_{2}(\lambda)=-\Psi_{2}(\lambda)+\lambda F(\lambda)$.
Let us give some remarks about solutions of system (23).
A solution of (23) has the form

$$
\lambda=\Lambda\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \quad \mu=x_{3}+G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)
$$

where the function $G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ can be found from the totally integrable compatible system of differential equations. These solutions are invariant solutions of equations (23) with respect to algebra with generators:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x_{3}}+\partial_{\mu}, \quad \partial_{x_{i}}, \quad(i=4, \ldots, n) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that the functions $\Lambda\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and $G\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right.$ are functionally dependent, then the Jacobian $W\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\frac{\partial(\lambda, \mu)}{\partial\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}=\lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{1}\left(\hat{\Psi}_{2}+\lambda F\right)-F \hat{\Psi}_{1}=0$. This equation supplies sufficient condition for the reducibility of the solution of system (23) to an invariant solution with respect to $H \subset G^{n}$. Therefore for irreducible solutions the functions $\Lambda\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and $G\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right.$ are functionally independent or $W\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \neq 0$.

We note that if $\hat{\Psi}_{1} \neq 0$, then functions $F, \Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}$ are linear: $F=k_{1} \lambda+k_{2}, \Psi_{2}=k_{3} \lambda+k_{4}, \Psi_{2}=$ $k_{5} \lambda+k_{6}$ with arbitrary constants $k_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, 6)$. If $\hat{\Psi}_{1}=0$, then $\hat{\Psi}_{2}^{\prime}(\lambda)+\lambda F^{\prime}(\lambda)=0$ and $\Lambda=\frac{x_{1}}{x_{2}}$ up to shifts of the independent variables and because of $W=x_{2}^{-2}\left(1+x_{2} \hat{\Psi}_{2}+x_{1} F\right) \neq 0$, then the solution is not reducible to an invariant solution of $H \subset G^{n}$.

### 3.1.2.

Let us consider the case with all zero constants $C_{i}=0$.
Firstly, assume that at least one of the constants $K_{i}$ is not equal to zero (without loss of generality, we can consider that $K_{3} \neq 0$ ). Then from (21) we get

$$
g_{1}=g_{1}(\lambda-R \mu), \quad g_{2}=g_{2}(\lambda-R \mu), \quad f_{2}=f_{2}(\lambda-R \mu)
$$

where $R=R_{3} / K_{3}$. If $g_{1}^{\prime}=g_{2}^{\prime}=f_{2}^{\prime}=0$, then the solution of system (23) is linear with respect to the independent variables, i.e. it is invariant with respect to some subgroup $H \subset G^{n}$. Therefore a prohibition of reducibility to an invariant solution leads to conditions $\left(g_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(g_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(f_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \neq 0$ or from (21) we have $R_{i}=R K_{i}$. After transformation

$$
x_{3}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=3}^{n} K_{i} x_{i}, \quad x_{i}^{\prime}=x_{i}, \quad i \neq 3
$$

we obtain: $f_{3}=R, g_{3}=1, g_{i}=0, f_{i}=0,(i=4,5, \ldots, n)$. In addition we can reckon that $R=0$. Really, if it is not so, then after one more transformation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda^{\prime}=\lambda-R \mu, \mu^{\prime}=R \mu \\
x_{1}^{\prime}=R^{-1} x_{1}-x_{2}, \quad x_{2}^{\prime}=x_{2}, \quad x_{3}^{\prime}=R x_{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

the same system can be obtained, but with $R=0$. Irreducibility conditions (16)-(18) in this case become

$$
f_{2}=k_{1} \lambda+k_{2}, g_{1}^{\prime \prime} f_{2}=0, g_{2}^{\prime \prime} f_{2}=0
$$

with arbitrary constants $k_{1}, k_{2}$. We note that if $f_{2}=0\left(k_{1}=0, k_{2}=0\right)$, then a solution of (19) is $\lambda=\varphi\left(x_{1}\right), \quad \mu=x_{3}+c x_{2}+\psi\left(x_{1}\right)$, which is invariant with respect to some subalgebra $H \subset G^{n}$. Here $c$ is a constant. Therefore for systems with irreducible to invariant solutions we have to consider only case when $f_{2} \neq 0$. In this case functions $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ are linear $g_{1}=k_{3} \lambda+k_{4}, g_{2}=k_{5} \lambda+k_{6}$ and system (19) is

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{2}=k_{1} \lambda+k_{2}, & \lambda_{i}=0,  \tag{25}\\
\mu_{1}=k_{3} \lambda+k_{4}, \quad \mu_{2}=\lambda_{1}+k_{5} \lambda+k_{6}, \quad \mu_{3}=1, & \mu_{j}=0,
\end{align*} \quad(j=4,5, \ldots, n),
$$

If $k_{1} \neq 0$, then by virtue of equivalence transformations we can consider that $k_{1}=1, k_{2}=0$. In this case

$$
\lambda=\varphi\left(x_{1}\right) e^{x_{2}}, \mu=\left(\varphi^{\prime}+k_{5} \varphi\right) e^{x_{2}}+k_{6} x_{2}+x_{3}
$$

where the function $\varphi=\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)$ satisfies the homogeneous linear ordinary differential equation

$$
\varphi^{\prime \prime}-k_{3} \varphi^{\prime}+k_{5} \varphi=0
$$

If $k_{1}=0$, but $k_{2} \neq 0$, then, as in previous case, by virtue of equivalence transformations it can be put $k_{1}=0, k_{2}=1$. And then

$$
\lambda=x_{2}+\varphi\left(x_{1}\right), \mu=x_{3}+x_{2}\left(\varphi^{\prime}+\frac{k_{5}}{2} x_{2}+k_{5} \varphi+k_{6}\right)+\psi
$$

where functions $\varphi=\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $\psi=\psi\left(x_{1}\right)$ satisfy to the ordinary differential equations

$$
\varphi^{\prime \prime}+k_{5} \varphi^{\prime}-k_{3}=0, \quad \psi^{\prime}=k_{3} \varphi+k_{4}
$$

Now let all constants $K_{i}=0$. If at least one of the constants $R_{i}$ is not equal to zero (without loss of generality we can account that $R_{3} \neq 0$ ), then by transformation

$$
\lambda^{\prime}=\mu, \quad \mu^{\prime}=\lambda, \quad x_{1}^{\prime}=x_{2}, \quad x_{2}^{\prime}=x_{1}, \quad x_{i}=x_{i}, \quad(i=3,4, \ldots, n)
$$

the same system is obtained as was considered in previous case. If all $R_{i}=0$, then for such a solution

$$
\lambda=\Lambda\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \quad \mu=G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)
$$

and it is invariant with respect to subalgebra $H \subset G^{n}$, which corresponds to subalgebra $\left\{\partial_{x_{3}}, \partial_{x_{4}}, \ldots, \partial_{x_{n}}\right\}$.

### 3.2. System (20)

A study of compatibility of system (20) is more cumbersome. In this case the equations (8), (9), (11), (14), (16)-( 18) can be reduced to

$$
\begin{array}{r}
g_{3 \lambda}=\lambda g_{1 \lambda}+\mu g_{2 \lambda}-g_{1}, \\
s_{2} \equiv \mu b+g_{1} g_{3 \mu}-f_{3} g_{1 \lambda}-g_{3} g_{1 \mu}=0, \\
f_{3 \mu}=\mu f_{2 \mu}-f_{2}, \\
f_{2} f_{3 \lambda}+g_{2} f_{3 \mu}+\lambda g_{1} f_{2 \mu}=f_{3} f_{2 \lambda}+g_{3} f_{2 \mu}, \\
g_{2}+\mu f_{2 \lambda}+g_{3 \mu}=\lambda g_{2 \lambda}+\mu g_{2 \mu}+f_{3 \lambda}, \\
s_{6} \equiv \mu g_{1} f_{2 \mu}+f_{2} g_{3 \lambda}+g_{2} g_{3 \mu}-\left(f_{3} g_{2 \lambda}+g_{3} g_{2 \mu}+\lambda b+g_{1} f_{3 \mu}\right), \\
f_{i}=0, \quad g_{i}=0, \quad(i=4,5, \ldots, n), \\
a_{\mu}=f_{2 \lambda \lambda}, \mu a_{\mu}=2 a+f_{3 \lambda \lambda},  \tag{27}\\
f_{2} a_{\lambda}+g_{2} a_{\mu}+b_{\mu}=g_{1}\left(2 f_{2 \lambda \mu}\right)+g_{1 \lambda} f_{2 \mu}, \\
f_{3} a_{\lambda}+g_{3} a_{\mu}+\mu b_{\mu}=3 b+g_{1}\left(\lambda a_{\mu}+2 f_{3 \lambda \mu}\right)+g_{1 \lambda} f_{3 \mu}, \\
a g_{1} f_{2 \mu}+b_{\lambda} f_{2}+g_{2} b_{\mu}=b f_{2 \lambda}+g_{1}\left(g_{1} f_{2 \mu \mu}+g_{1 \mu} f_{2 \mu}\right), \\
a g_{1} f_{3 \mu}+b_{\lambda} f_{3}+g_{3} b_{\mu}=b f_{3 \lambda}+g_{1}\left(\lambda b_{\mu}+g_{1} f_{3 \mu \mu}+g_{1 \mu} f_{3 \mu}\right)
\end{array}
$$

The problem is to find the general solution (up to equivalence transformation) of system (26), (27). Because equations (26), (27) are not sufficient for irreducibility of a solution of system (20) to invariant solution, then a next problem is to try to analyze a solution of (20) with found functions $f_{i}, g_{j}$ and coefficients $p_{i}, q_{j}$.

All further intermediate calculations in the study of compatibility of the system (26) were made on computer in the system REDUCE [6]. Here we give way of computations and final results.

Let us input new function $G_{3}=g_{3}-\mu g_{2}$ instead $g_{3}$. From $(26)_{1}$ and $(26)_{5}$ we find $G_{3 \lambda}, G_{3 \mu}$ and from $(27)_{1}: f_{2 \lambda \lambda}$ and $f_{3 \lambda \lambda}$. After substitution found expressions into $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} G_{3 \lambda}-\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} G_{3 \mu}=0$, we get equation $\left(\lambda\left(g_{1 \mu}-g_{2 \lambda}\right)\right)_{\lambda}=0$. Without loss of generality, last equation can be integrated:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}=\varphi_{\lambda}, \quad g_{2}=\varphi_{\mu}+\psi_{1} \log \lambda \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi=\varphi(\lambda, \mu)$ and $\psi_{1}=\psi_{1}(\mu)$ are arbitrary functions. After substitution of (28) into expressions for $f_{2 \lambda \lambda}$ and $f_{3 \lambda \lambda}$ we get

$$
f_{2 \lambda \lambda}=-\frac{\psi_{1}^{\prime}}{\lambda}, \quad f_{3 \lambda \lambda}=\frac{2 \psi_{1}-\mu \psi_{1}^{\prime}}{\lambda}
$$

Integration of last expressions allows to find functions

$$
f_{2}=\lambda \psi_{1}^{\prime}(1-\log \lambda)+\lambda \psi_{2}+\psi_{3}, \quad f_{3}=\lambda\left(\mu \psi_{1}^{\prime}-2 \psi_{1}\right)(1-\log \lambda)+\lambda \psi_{4}+\psi_{5}
$$

with arbitrary functions $\psi_{i}=\psi_{i}(\mu),(i=2,3,4,5)$. From $(26)_{3}$ we have

$$
\lambda\left(\psi_{2}+\psi_{4}^{\prime}-\mu \psi_{2}^{\prime}\right)+\psi_{3}+\psi_{5}^{\prime}-\mu \psi_{3}^{\prime}=0
$$

After splitting of this equation with respect to $\lambda$, we get

$$
\psi_{4}^{\prime}=\mu \psi_{2}^{\prime}-\psi_{2}, \quad \psi_{5}^{\prime}=\mu \psi_{3}^{\prime}-\psi_{3}
$$

or if we input a new function $\psi_{6}=\psi_{6}(\mu)$ by $\psi_{4}=\psi_{6}^{\prime}+\mu \psi_{2}-\psi_{1}$, then $\psi_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\psi_{1}^{\prime}-\psi_{6}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. In this case

$$
\frac{\partial G_{3}}{\partial \lambda}=-\varphi_{\lambda}+\lambda \varphi_{\lambda \lambda}, \quad \frac{\partial G_{3}}{\partial \mu}=-2 \varphi_{\lambda}+\lambda \varphi_{\lambda \mu}+\psi_{6}^{\prime}
$$

which can be integrated as $G_{3}=-2 \varphi+\lambda \varphi_{\lambda}+\psi_{6}$.
A composition of differentiated $(26)_{6}$ with respect to $\lambda$ subtracted by differentiated $(26)_{2}$ with respect to $\mu$ and added $(27)_{3}$ is:

$$
\psi_{1} \varphi_{\lambda \mu}-\psi_{1}^{\prime} \varphi_{\lambda}+\frac{\psi_{1}}{\lambda}=0
$$

If $\psi_{1} \neq 0$, then we can get contradiction. Really, let $\psi_{1} \neq 0$, then last equation can be integrated

$$
\varphi=\psi_{1}(G-\mu \log \lambda)+\psi_{7}
$$

where $G=G(\lambda)$ and $\psi_{7}=\psi_{7}(\mu)$ are arbitrary functions. In this case equation $(26)_{4}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(a_{1} \lambda \log \lambda+a_{2} \lambda+a_{3}\right)+a_{4} \lambda \log ^{2} \lambda+a_{5} \lambda \log \lambda+a_{6} \lambda+a_{7} \log \lambda+a_{8}=0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{i}, \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, 8)$ are polynomials of functions $\psi_{1}, \psi_{3}, \psi_{5}, \psi_{6}, \psi_{7}$ and their derivatives. It can be shown that (29) is possible only if $\psi_{1}=0$. But it contradicts to original assumption about $\psi_{1}$. Therefore, we have to consider $\psi_{1}=0$.

Further consideration is founded on the analysis of compartibility of equations $(26)_{4}$ and $\frac{\partial s_{2}}{\partial \mu}-\frac{\partial s_{6}}{\partial \lambda}=0$, which have the forms:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varphi_{\mu} h-2 \varphi h^{\prime}+\psi_{6} h^{\prime}-\psi_{3}\left(\mu \psi_{6}^{\prime \prime}-2 \psi_{6}^{\prime}\right)+\psi_{5} \psi_{6}^{\prime \prime}=0  \tag{30}\\
-3 \varphi_{\lambda} \varphi_{\mu \mu}+\varphi_{\lambda} \psi_{6}^{\prime \prime}+3 \varphi_{\mu} \varphi_{\lambda \mu}-\varphi_{\lambda \lambda} h=0 \tag{31}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $h=\lambda \psi_{6}^{\prime \prime}-2 \psi_{3}$.
Assume that $h=0$, so $\psi_{3}=0, \psi_{6}=c_{1} \mu+c_{2}$, where $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are constants. We note that in this case $\psi_{5}^{\prime}=0$. Analysis of (31) gives that we need to study two cases: (a) $\varphi_{\mu}=0$ and (b) $\varphi_{\mu} \neq 0$.

Let $\varphi_{\mu}=0$, then from (31) we get

$$
\left(c_{1} \lambda+\psi_{5}\right) \varphi_{\lambda \lambda}-c_{1} \varphi_{\lambda}=0
$$

If $c_{1} \neq 0$, then without loss of generality, system (20) can be written as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lambda_{2}=0, \lambda_{3}=\lambda \lambda_{1}+\lambda, \lambda_{i}=0  \tag{32}\\
\mu_{1}=2 c \lambda, \mu_{2}=\lambda_{1}, \mu_{3}=\mu \lambda_{1}+\mu+c_{2}, \mu_{i}=0, i \geq 4
\end{array}
$$

A solution of this system is

$$
\lambda=-x_{1} \phi\left(x_{3}\right), \quad \mu=\left(c x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}+c_{2} e^{x_{3}}\right) \phi\left(x_{3}\right)
$$

where $\phi\left(x_{3}\right)=\frac{e^{x_{3}}}{e^{x_{3}}-1}$.
If $c_{1}=0$ and $\psi_{5} \neq 0$, then without loss of generality, system (20) can be written as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lambda_{2}=0, \lambda_{3}=\lambda \lambda_{1}+1, \lambda_{i}=0  \tag{33}\\
\mu_{1}=c, \mu_{2}=\lambda_{1}, \mu_{3}=\mu \lambda_{1}-c \lambda+c_{2}, \mu_{i}=0, i \geq 4
\end{array}
$$

A solution of this system is

$$
\lambda=-\frac{x_{1}}{x_{3}}+\frac{x_{3}}{2}, \quad \mu=c\left(x_{1}-\frac{x_{3}^{2}}{6}\right)-\frac{x_{2}}{x_{3}}
$$

where $c$ is arbitrary constant.
If $c_{1}=0$ and $\psi_{5}=0$, then without loss of generality, system (20) can be written as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lambda_{2}=0, \lambda_{3}=\lambda \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{i}=0  \tag{34}\\
\mu_{1}=\varphi^{\prime}, \mu_{2}=\lambda_{1}, \mu_{3}=\mu \lambda_{1}+\lambda \varphi^{\prime}-2 \varphi, \mu_{i}=0, i \geq 4
\end{array}
$$

where $\varphi=\varphi(\lambda)$ is arbitrary function of $\lambda$. A solution of this system is

$$
\lambda=-\frac{x_{1}}{x_{3}}, \quad \mu=-\frac{x_{2}}{x_{3}}-x_{3} \varphi(\lambda)
$$

Let $\varphi_{\mu} \neq 0$, then from (31) we get $\varphi=F(\xi)$, where $\xi=\mu+\psi(\lambda)$. The functions $\psi(\lambda)$ and $F(\xi)$ are functions of one argument $\left(F^{\prime} \neq 0\right)$, which have to satisfy to the equations

$$
\psi^{\prime \prime}\left(c_{1} \lambda+\psi_{5}\right)=0, \quad F^{\prime \prime}\left(2 F-c_{1} \xi-c_{3}\right)+c_{1} F^{\prime}-\left(F^{\prime}\right)^{2}=0
$$

Here, by virtue of the first equation, $c_{3} \equiv \psi^{\prime}\left(c_{1} \lambda+\psi_{5}\right)-c_{1} \psi$ is a constant.
If $c_{1} \neq 0$, then because of equivalence transformations, we can account that $c_{1}=1, \psi_{5}=0, \psi=0$, and system (20) can be written as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lambda_{2}=0, \quad \lambda_{3}=\lambda \lambda_{1}+\lambda, \quad \lambda_{i}=0  \tag{35}\\
\mu_{1}=0, \mu_{2}=\lambda_{1}+F^{\prime}, \quad \mu_{3}=\mu \lambda_{1}+\mu+\mu F^{\prime}-2 F, \mu_{i}=0, \quad i \geq 4
\end{array}
$$

where the function $F=F(\mu)$ satisfies to

$$
(\mu-2 F) F^{\prime \prime}=F^{\prime}\left(1-F^{\prime}\right), \quad\left(F^{\prime} \neq 0\right)
$$

A solution of this system is

$$
\lambda=\frac{x_{1} e^{x_{3}}}{1-e^{x_{3}}}, \quad \mu=\mu\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)
$$

where a function $\mu\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ satisfies to a compatible overdetermined system of equations.

If $c_{1}=0$ and $\psi_{5} \neq 0$, then without loss of generality and because of equivalence transformations, system (20) can be written as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lambda_{2}=0, \lambda_{3}=\lambda \lambda_{1}+1, \lambda_{i}=0  \tag{36}\\
\mu_{1}=0, \mu_{2}=\lambda_{1}+2 c \mu, \mu_{3}=\mu \lambda_{1}, \mu_{i}=0, i \geq 4
\end{array}
$$

where $c \neq 0$ is a constant. The solution of this system (up to scaling $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ and $\mu$ ) is

$$
\lambda=-\frac{x_{1}}{x_{3}}+x_{3}, \quad \mu=\frac{1}{x_{3}}\left(\gamma e^{x_{2}}+1\right)
$$

where $\gamma=0$ or $\gamma=1$. If $\gamma=0$, then the solution is invariant with respect to subalgebra $\partial_{x_{2}}, \partial_{x_{i}},(i=$ $4,5, \ldots, n)$.

If $c_{1}=0$ and $\psi_{5}=0$, then without loss of generality, system (20) can be written as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lambda_{2}=0, \lambda_{3}=\lambda \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{i}=0  \tag{37}\\
\mu_{1}=\psi^{\prime} F^{\prime}, \mu_{2}=\lambda_{1}+F^{\prime}, \mu_{3}=\mu \lambda_{1}+\left(\mu+\psi^{\prime} \lambda\right) F^{\prime}-2 F, \mu_{i}=0, i \geq 4
\end{array}
$$

where $\psi=\psi(\lambda)$ is arbitrary function, $F=c\left(\xi+c_{3}\right)^{2}, \xi=\mu+\psi(\lambda)$ and $c, c_{3}$ are constants $(c \neq 0)$. With the help of equivalence transformation this system can be simplified to

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lambda_{2}=0, \lambda_{3}=\lambda \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{i}=0  \tag{38}\\
\mu_{1}=\psi^{\prime}\left(\mu+\lambda_{1}\right), \mu_{2}=\lambda_{1}+\mu, \mu_{3}=\mu \lambda_{1}+\left(\lambda \psi^{\prime}-\psi\right)\left(\mu+\lambda_{1}\right), \mu_{i}=0, i \geq 4
\end{array}
$$

The general solution of this system is (up to equivalence transformation)

$$
\lambda=-\frac{x_{1}}{x_{3}}, \quad \mu=\frac{1}{x_{3}}\left(\gamma e^{x_{2}-x_{3} \psi}+1\right)
$$

where $\gamma=0$ or $\gamma=1$. If $\gamma=0$, then the solution is invariant with respect to subalgebra $\partial_{x_{2}}, \partial_{x_{i}},(i=$ $4,5, \ldots, n)$.

Now we consider the case $h \equiv \lambda \psi_{6}^{\prime \prime}-2 \psi_{3} \neq 0$.
Let $\psi_{6}^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$, then system (30), (31) is compatible (up to equivalence transformations) only if the system (20) has the form

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lambda_{2}=(\lambda+\alpha) \mu, \lambda_{3}=\lambda \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{i}=0  \tag{39}\\
\mu_{1}=0, \mu_{2}=\lambda_{1}+\mu(\mu+\beta), \mu_{3}=\mu \lambda_{1}, \mu_{i}=0, i \geq 4
\end{array}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta$ are constants. A solution of this system depends on $\beta$.
If $\beta \neq 0$, then the solution is (up to equivalence transformation)

$$
\lambda=\frac{x_{1}-\alpha \gamma e^{x_{2}}}{\gamma e^{x_{2}}-x_{3}}, \quad \mu=-\frac{1+\beta^{2} \gamma e^{x_{2}}}{\gamma e^{x_{2}}-x_{3}}
$$

where $\gamma=0$ or $\gamma=1$. If $\gamma=0$, then the solution is invariant with respect to subalgebra $\partial_{x_{2}}, \partial_{x_{i}},(i=$ $4,5, \ldots, n)$.

If $\beta=0$, then the solution is (up to equivalence transformation)

$$
\lambda=-\frac{x_{1}+\alpha x_{2}^{2}}{x_{3}+x_{2}^{2}}, \quad \mu=-\frac{x_{2}}{x_{3}+x_{2}^{2}} .
$$

Let $\psi_{6}^{\prime \prime}=0$ or $\psi_{6}=c_{1} \mu+c_{2}$ and $\psi_{3} \neq 0$. Changing of the function $\varphi$ on to $Q(\lambda, \mu)=\left(\varphi-\psi_{6} / 2\right) / h^{2}$ simplifies equations (30) and $(27)_{3}$, even more; the equation $(27)_{3}$ can be integrated:

$$
\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \lambda}=6 Q^{2} \frac{\psi_{3} \psi_{3}^{\prime \prime}-\left(\psi_{3}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\psi_{3}}-3 Q \frac{c_{1} \psi_{3}^{\prime}}{2 \psi_{3}^{2}}+\psi_{8}
$$

where $\psi_{8}=\psi_{8}(\mu)$. Then from these two equations by cross differentiating we get

$$
A Q^{2}+B Q+C=0
$$

where $A=6 \psi_{3}^{2}\left(\psi_{3}^{2} \psi_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}-2 \psi_{3} \psi_{3}^{\prime} \psi_{3}^{\prime \prime}+\left(\psi_{3}^{\prime}\right)^{3}\right), B=3 c_{1} \psi_{3}\left(\psi_{3}^{\prime}\right)^{2} / 2, C=\psi_{8}^{\prime} \psi_{3}^{4}-3 c_{1}^{2} \psi_{3}^{\prime} / 16$.
Further analysis depends on the value of $Q_{\lambda}$. There are only two possibilities: a) $A=0, B=0, C=0$ and b) $Q_{\lambda}=0$.

In the case a), because $B=0$, we need to consider two cases. In the first case $\psi_{3}^{\prime}=0$, and then, without loss of generality the system (20) can be reduced to

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lambda_{2}=1, \quad \lambda_{3}=\lambda\left(\lambda_{1}+c_{1}\right)-\mu+c_{2}  \tag{40}\\
\mu_{1}=k, \quad \mu_{2}=\lambda_{1}+c_{1}, \quad \mu_{3}=\mu \lambda_{1}-k \lambda+k_{1}
\end{array}
$$

where $k$ and $k_{1}$ are constants and $c_{1}$ accepts two values: either $c_{1}=1$ or $c_{1}=0$. In the second case $c_{1}=0$, and without loss of generality the system (20) can be reduced to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda_{2}=-\frac{1}{2}(\mu-k)^{2}, \quad \lambda_{3}=\lambda \lambda_{1}-\frac{1}{6}(\mu+2 k)(\mu-k)^{2}  \tag{41}\\
\mu_{1}=\frac{(\mu-k)^{4}}{6\left(\lambda-k_{1}\right)^{2}}, \quad \mu_{2}=\lambda_{1}-\frac{2(\mu-k)^{3}}{3\left(\lambda-k_{1}\right)}, \quad \mu_{3}=\mu \lambda_{1}-\frac{(\mu-k)^{2}\left(\lambda \mu+3 k \lambda-2 k_{1} \mu-2 k k_{1}\right)}{6\left(\lambda-k_{1}\right)^{2}}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $k$ and $k_{1}$ are constants.
Let us now consider the case b) $Q_{\lambda}=0$. From $s_{6}=0$ we get $Q \psi_{3}^{\prime \prime}=0$. If $c_{1}=0$, then the system (20) can be reduced to

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lambda_{2}=\psi_{3} \quad \lambda_{3}=\lambda \lambda_{1}+\psi_{5}  \tag{42}\\
\mu_{1}=0, \quad \mu_{2}=\lambda_{1}+k \psi_{3} \psi_{3}^{\prime}, \quad \mu_{3}=\mu \lambda_{1}+k \psi_{3} \psi_{5}^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

where $k$ is a constant and $\psi_{3}$ is an arbitrary function of one argument and function $\psi_{5}$ is connected with $\psi_{3}$ by: $\psi_{5}^{\prime}=\mu \psi_{3}^{\prime}-\psi_{3}$. If $c_{1} \neq 0$, then the system (20) can be reduced to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda_{2}=1, \quad \lambda_{3}=\lambda\left(\lambda_{1}+1\right)-\mu+k_{1}  \tag{43}\\
\mu_{1}=0, \quad \mu_{2}=\lambda_{1}+1, \quad \mu_{3}=\mu \lambda_{1}+k
\end{gather*}
$$

where $k$ and $k_{1}$ are constants.
We can thus formulate the following theorem.
THEOREM. System (19) can have solutions irreducible to invariant solutions only if it is equivalent to one of the systems: $(23),(25),(32)-(36),(37)$ (or (38)).

## 4. Systems of the type (6)

Systems of the type (6) have the form

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lambda_{i}=p_{i}(\lambda, \mu) \lambda_{1}+f_{i}(\lambda, \mu), \mu_{j}=g_{j}(\lambda, \mu)  \tag{44}\\
\quad(i=1, \ldots, n ; j=1, \ldots, n)
\end{array}
$$

As with systems of type (4), we can obtain necessary irreducibility conditions from expressions $D_{i} \mu_{j}-$ $D_{j} \mu_{i}=0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i \lambda}=p_{i} g_{1 \lambda}, \quad g_{i \mu} g_{1}=f_{i} g_{1 \lambda}+g_{i} g_{1 \mu}, \quad\left(p_{j} f_{i}-p_{i} f_{j}\right) g_{1 \lambda}+g_{i} g_{j \mu}-g_{j} g_{i \mu}=0 \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(p_{i} p_{j \mu}-p_{j} p_{i \mu}\right) g_{1}+p_{i \lambda} f_{j}+p_{i \mu} g_{j}-p_{j \lambda} f_{i}-p_{j \mu} g_{i}=0  \tag{46}\\
\left(p_{i} f_{j \mu}-p_{j} f_{i \mu}\right) g_{1}+f_{i \lambda} f_{j}+f_{i \mu} g_{j}-f_{j \lambda} f_{i}-f_{j \mu} g_{i}=0
\end{array}
$$

from expressions $D_{i} \lambda_{j}-D_{j} \lambda_{i}=0$. Here $i, j=2,3, \ldots, n$.

Assume that $g_{1} \neq 0$. If $g_{1 \lambda}=0$, then without loss of generality we can consider $g_{1}=1$. In this case from (45) we can conclude that $g_{i},(i, j=2,3, \ldots, n)$ are constant, even up to equivalence transformations we can regard them as $g_{i}=0,(i, j=2,3, \ldots, n)$. Solution of such system is $\mu=x_{1}$, which is partially invariant with defect $\delta \leq 1$. It is possible further simplification of system (44).

If $g_{1 \lambda} \neq 0$, then without loss of generality we can consider $g_{1}=\lambda$. Because in this case from (45) we have

$$
p_{i}=g_{i \lambda}, \quad f_{i}=\lambda g_{i \mu}, \quad(i=2,3, \ldots, n)
$$

It gives that first $n-1$ equations $\lambda_{i}=p_{i} \lambda_{1}+f_{i}, 0,(i, j=2,3, \ldots, n)$ are consequences of the others equations. But we assumed that equations of system (44) are not dependent.

If $g_{1}=0$, then without loss of generality we can consider that $g_{2}=1$. From (45) and changing the independent variables, we can obtain $g_{j}=0,(j=3,4, \ldots, n)$. Solution of such system is $\mu=x_{2}$, which is partially invariant with defect $\delta \leq 1$. As earlier it is possible further simplification of system (44).

## 5. Conclusion

In this paper, the classification of systems of type ( 3 ) with $N=2 n-1$ nonhomogeneous for double waves quasilinear equations are done.
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