STRENGTHENING OF Li₂O-SiO₂ TRANSPARENT

GLASS-CERAMICS BY ION EXCHANGE

Chokchai Yatongchai

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the

Degree of Master of Engineering in Ceramic Engineering

Suranaree University of Technology

ISBN 974-533-528-2

การเพิ่มความแข็งแรงของลิเทียมซิลิเกตกลาสเซรามิก โดยการแลกเปลี่ยนไอออน

นายโชคชัย ยาทองไชย

วิทยานิพนธ์นี้เป็นส่วนหนึ่งของการศึกษาตามหลักสูตรปริญญาวิศวกรรมศาสตรมหาบัณฑิต สาขาวิชาวิศวกรรมเชรามิก มหาวิทยาลัยเทคโนโลยีสุรนารี ปีการศึกษา 2548 ISBN 974-533-528-2

STRENGTHENING OF Li₂O-SiO₂ TRANSPARENT

GLASS-CERAMICS BY ION EXCHANGE

Suranaree University of Technology has approved this thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a Master's Degree.

Thesis Examining Committee

(Dr. Veerayuth Lorprayoon)

Chairperson

(Asst. Prof. Dr. Shigeki Morimoto)

Member (Thesis Advisor)

apa

(Assoc. Prof. Dr. Charussri Lorprayoon)

Member

(Assoc, Frof. Dr. Sutin Kuharuangrong)

Member Suthey Snlomsal

(Dr. Sutham Srilomsak)

Member

S. Ratha

(Assoc. Prof. Dr. Saowanee Rattanaphani) (Assoc. Prof. Dr. Vorapot Khompis)

Vice Rector for Academic Affairs

Dean of Institute of Engineering

V. Khompix

โชคชัย ยาทองไชย : การเพิ่มความแข็งแรงของลิเทียมซิลิเกตกลาสเซรามิกชนิดโปร่งใส โดยการแลกเปลี่ยนไอออน (STRENGTHENING OF Li₂O-SiO₂ TRANSPARENT GLASS-CERAMICS BY ION EXCHANGE) อาจารย์ที่ปรึกษา : ผู้ช่วยศาสตราจารย์ คร.ชิเกกิ โมริโมโต, 105 หน้า. ISBN 974-533-528-2

การศึกษาการเพิ่มความแข็งแรงของลิเทียมซิลิเกตกลาสเซรามิกชนิดโปร่งใสโดยการ แลกเปลี่ยนไอออน กลาสเซรามิกจะถูกทำการแลกเปลี่ยนไอออนในอ่างโซเดียมไนเตรต และ โปแตสเซียมในเตรตหลอมเหลวภายใต้สภาวะต่างๆกันเพื่อหาสภาวะที่ดีที่สุดที่ทำให้กลาสเซรามิก มีความแข็งแรงสูงจาก การศึกษาพบว่าค่าความแข็งแรงสูงสุดของกลาสเซรามิกเท่ากับ 487±15 เมกกะปาสคาลโดยเกิดจากการแลกเปลี่ยนไอออนของลิเทียมกับโปแตสเซียมที่อุณหภูมิ 500 องศา เซลเซียสและเวลาที่ใช้ในกระบวนการคือ 9 ชั่วโมง

นอกจากนี้ยังได้มีการศึกษาปรากฏการณ์การสลายไปของโครงสร้างผลึกในลิเทียมซิลิเกต กลาสเซรามิกชนิคโปร่งใสโดยการแลกเปลี่ยนไอออน การถูกทำลายและสลายไปของผลึกลิเทียมได ซิลิเกต (Li₂O·2SiO₂) โดยกระบวนการแลกเปลี่ยนไออ[์]อนระหว่างไอออนของลิเทียมกับไอออนของ โซเดียมและโปแตสเซียมซึ่งทำการตรวจสอบได้โดยเทคนิคเอกซ์เรย์ดิฟแฟรคชั่น (XRD) การสลาย ไปของผลึกเกิดจากการแลกเปลี่ยนไอออนโดยตรงของลิเทียมที่อยู่ในผลึกลิเทียมไดซิลิเกตกับ ไอออนของโซเดียมหรือโปแตสเซียม การถูกแทนที่ของไอออนของลิเทียมโดยไอออนที่มีขนาดที่ ใหญ่กว่าทำให้โครงสร้างไดซิลิเกตเกิดการเสียรูปและสลายไปในที่สุด

ลายมือชื่อนักศึกษา <u>18 (การ)</u> ลายมือชื่ออาจารย์ที่ปรึกษา <u>โหนว</u>

สาขาวิชา <u>วิศวกรรมเซรามิก</u> ปีการศึกษา 2548

CHOKCHAI YATONGCHAI : STRENGTHENING OF Li₂O-SiO₂ TRANSPARENT GLASS-CERAMICS BY ION EXCHANGE. THESIS ADVISOR : ASST. PROF. SHIGEKI MORIMOTO, Ph.D. 105 PP. ISBN 974-533-528-2

STRENGTHENING/ TRANSPARENT GLASS-CERAMICS/ ION EXCHANGE/ AMORPHIZATION PHENOMENON

The strengthening of Li₂O-SiO₂ transparent glass-ceramics by Li⁺ \leftrightarrow Na⁺ and Li⁺ \leftrightarrow K⁺ ion exchange was investigated. Glass-ceramics were ion exchanged in NaNO₃ and KNO₃ molten baths under various conditions to determine optimum condition. From the results, the maximum fracture strength of glass-ceramics of 487±15 MPa could be obtained from Li⁺ \leftrightarrow K⁺ exchange at 500°C for 9 hours.

In addition, the Amorphization phenomenon was investigated in Li₂O-SiO₂ transparent glass-ceramics by ion exchange. The destruction and disappearance of Li₂O·2SiO₂ crystals by Li⁺ \leftrightarrow Na⁺ and Li⁺ \leftrightarrow K⁺ ion exchange was determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD). The occurrence of Amorphization was dued to the ion exchange between Na⁺or K⁺ and Li⁺ ion in Li₂O·2SiO₂ crystals directly. The replacement of Li⁺ ion in Li₂O·2SiO₂ crystal by larger foreign ions results in the deformation of disilicate crystal structure along with the destruction.

School of <u>Ceramic Engineering</u> Academic Year 2005

Student's Signature <u>Kon error</u> Advisor's Signature <u>S. Mun</u>

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincerest thanks and gratitude to my advisor, Asst. Prof. Dr. Shigeki Morimoto for his kindness to me during my study time at SUT. Without his supervision and patient help this thesis would not be successful.

I would like to express my gratitude to all the teachers of the School of Ceramic Engineering for giving me good opportunity to study. I wish to express my special thanks to Dr. Veerayuth Lorprayoon the head of the School of Ceramic Engineering, also to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Charussri Lorprayoon for their valuable discussions.

Finally, always, and most important, I appreciate the encouragement and support of family and friends thoughout my studying life at SUT.

Chokchai Yatongchai

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| ABSTRACT (T | HAI) | I |
|---------------|---|-----|
| ABSTRACT (E | NGLISH) | II |
| ACKNOWLED | GEMENTS | III |
| TABLE OF CO | NTENTS | IV |
| LIST OF TABL | ES | VII |
| LIST OF FIGUE | RES | IX |
| CHAPTER | | |
| Ι | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| | 1.1 General Introduction | 1 |
| | 1.2 Research Objectives | 5 |
| | 1.3 Scopes of the Research | 5 |
| | 1.4 Expected Results | 6 |
| II | LITERATURE REVIEW | 7 |
| | 2.1 Lithium Silicate Glass-ceramics | 7 |
| | 2.2 The Strengthening of Glass and Glass-ceramics by Ion | |
| | Exchange | 11 |
| | 2.3 Stress Relaxation | 18 |
| | 2.4 The Decrystallization of Glass-ceramics by Ion Exchange | 19 |
| | 2.5 The Theory of Ion Exchange | 21 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

V

| III | EXPERIMENTAL | 30 |
|-----|---|-----|
| | 3.1 Materials | \$0 |
| | 3.2 Sample Preparation | 30 |
| | 3.2.1 Glass Melting | \$0 |
| | 3.2.2 Specimen Preparation | 31 |
| | 3.3 Ion Exchange | \$1 |
| | 3.4 Material Characterizations and Property Measurement | 31 |
| | 3.4.1 Strength Measurement | 31 |
| | 3.4.2 X-ray Diffraction Analysis | \$2 |
| | 3.4.3 Scanning Electron Microscopy Analysis | 33 |
| | 3.4.4 Dilatometry Analysis | 33 |
| | 3.4.5 Density Measurement of Glass and Glass-ceramics | 33 |
| | 3.4.6 Differential Thermal Analysis | 34 |
| IV | RESULT AND DISCUSSION | 36 |
| | 4.1 The Properties of Glass and Glass-ceramics | 36 |
| | 4.2 The Strengthening of Li ₂ O·2SiO ₂ Glass by Ion Exchange | \$7 |
| | 4.3 The Strengthening of Li ₂ O·2SiO ₂ Transparent Glass-ceramics | |
| | by Ion Exchange4 | 13 |
| | 4.4 The Amorphization of Li ₂ O-SiO ₂ Transparent Glass-ceramics | |
| | by Ion Exchange5 | 55 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

| V CONCL | USION | 63 |
|------------|--|----|
| REFERENCES | | 65 |
| APPENDICES | | |
| APPENDIX A | THE CALCULATION OF LATTICE CONSTANT | 70 |
| APPENDIX B | THE CALCULATION OF CRYSTALLITE SIZE | |
| | BY X-RAY DIFFRACTION TECHNIQUE | 74 |
| APPENDIX C | THE CALIBRATION CURVE OF Li ₂ O·2SiO ₂ | |
| | GLASS | 78 |
| APPENDIX D | THE CALCULATION OF DENSITY, MOLAR | |
| | VOLUME AND THERMAL EXPANSION | |
| | COEFFICIENT OF R2O-SiO2 GLASS | 85 |
| APPENDIX E | THE CALCULATION OF NORMALIZED | |
| | X-RAY INTENSITY | 90 |
| APPENDIX F | X-RAY DIFFRACTION FILES | 93 |
| APPENDIX G | CHARACTERIZATION DATA REPORT | 99 |
| BIOGRAPHY | 1 | 05 |

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE

| 2.1 | Compositions of representative glass-ceramics | 16 |
|-----|---|----|
| 2.2 | Strength of ion-exchanged glass-ceramics (Abraded) | 17 |
| 3.1 | Chemical composition of Li ₂ O-SiO ₂ glass | 30 |
| 4.1 | Summary of properties of Li ₂ O-SiO ₂ glass and glass-ceramics | 37 |
| 4.2 | Fracture strength of Li_2O -SiO ₂ glass before and after $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+$ and | |
| | $Li^+ \leftrightarrow K^+$ exchange | 38 |
| 4.3 | The calculated density, molar volume and thermal expansion | |
| | coefficient of Li-, Na- and K-glasses | 41 |
| 4.4 | Fracture strength of Li_2O -SiO ₂ transparent glass-ceramics before and | |
| | after $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+$ exchange | 44 |
| 4.5 | Fracture strength of Li_2O -SiO ₂ transparent glass-ceramics before and | |
| | after $Li^+ \leftrightarrow K^+$ exchange | 45 |
| 4.6 | Lattice constant of Li ₂ O·2SiO ₂ crystal before and after ion exchange | 61 |
| 4.7 | Crystal structure and lattice constant of alkali disilicate crystals | 62 |
| C1 | The calculation of crystallinity using Ohlberg and Strickler's method | 84 |
| D1 | Calculated density, molar volume and thermal expansion coefficient | |
| | of Li ₂ O-SiO ₂ Glass | 86 |

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

TABLE

| D2 | Calculated density, molar volume and thermal expansion coefficient | |
|----|---|-----|
| | of Na ₂ O-SiO ₂ Glass | |
| D3 | Calculated density, molar volume and thermal expansion | |
| | coefficient of K2O-SiO2 Glass | 87 |
| D4 | Property factor of oxides in glass composition by A.A. Appen | 88 |
| E1 | XRD pattern of Li_2O -SiO ₂ transparent glass-ceramics after $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+$ | |
| | exchange at 550°C for 4hour | 92 |
| F1 | X-ray diffraction file of Silicon (JCPDS 027-1402) | 94 |
| F2 | X-ray diffraction file of lithium silicate (JCPDS 029-0828) | 95 |
| F3 | X-ray diffraction file of cristobalite (JCPDS 039-1425) | 96 |
| F4 | X-ray diffraction file of lithium silicate (JCPDS 027-1402) | 97 |
| F5 | X-ray diffraction file of quartz (JCPDS 046-1045) | 98 |
| G1 | Density and volume report of Li2O-SiO2 transparent glass-ceramics | |
| | (500°C-15h, 700°C-10h) by He-gas substitution method using | |
| | Accupyc (Micrometrics) | 103 |
| G2 | Density and volume report of Li ₂ O-SiO ₂ glass by He-gas substitution | |
| | method using Accupyc (Micrometrics). | 104 |

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE

| 2.1 | Effect of temperature on the strength of potassium exchanged | |
|-----|--|----|
| | 1.1Na ₂ O-1Al ₂ O ₃ -4SiO ₂ glass | 19 |
| 2.2 | Weight change as a function of $t^{1/2}$. Ag ⁺ \leftrightarrow Li ⁺ ion exchange in | |
| | 11.4 mole%Li ₂ O, 16.5 mole%Al ₂ O ₃ , 71.5 mole%SiO ₂ glass | 27 |
| 2.3 | Ion-exchange isotherm at 300°C of $Ag^+ \leftrightarrow Li^+$ ion exchange | |
| | in 11.4 mole% Li ₂ O, 16.5 mole% Al ₂ O ₃ , 71.5 mole% SiO ₂ | |
| 2.4 | Test of n-type behavior for exchange system $Ag^+ \leftrightarrow Li^+$ at 300°C | |
| | in 11.4 mole% Li ₂ O, 16.5 mole% Al ₂ O ₃ , 71.5 mole% SiO ₂ | |
| 3.1 | Experimentary determined crystallinity VS calculated crystallinity | |
| | for mechanical mixtures of α -quartz and parent glass | |
| 4.1 | XRD patterns of transparent Li ₂ O-SiO ₂ glass-ceramics | |
| 4.2 | The relation between fracture strength of glass and $\text{Li}^+\leftrightarrow \text{Na}^+$ and | |
| | $Li^+ \leftrightarrow K^+$ exchange time | |
| 4.3 | SEM photos of the surface of Li ₂ O-SiO ₂ glass after ion exchange | |
| 4.4 | Schematic representation of dimension change after ion exchange | 42 |
| 4.5 | The relation between fracture strength of Li_2O -SiO ₂ transparent | |
| | glass-ceramics and $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+$ exchange time | 46 |

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

FIGURE

| The relation between fracture strength of Li_2O -SiO ₂ transparent | |
|---|---|
| glass-ceramics and $Li^+ \leftrightarrow K^+$ exchange time | 47 |
| The SEM photos of the surface of Li2O-SiO2 glass-ceramics after | |
| ion exchange | 48 |
| XRD patterns of Li ₂ O-SiO ₂ transparent glass-ceramics (plate specimens) | |
| before and after $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+$ exchange | 50 |
| XRD patterns of transparent glass-ceramics (plate specimens) | |
| before and after $Li^+ \leftrightarrow K^+$ exchange | 51 |
| Relation between normalized X-ray intensity of the strongest peak of | |
| $Li_2O.2SiO_2$ crystal (20=24.8°) and SiO ₂ crystal in Li_2O-SiO_2 transparent | |
| glass-ceramics and $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+$ exchange time | 52 |
| Relation between normalized X-ray intensity of the strongest peak of | |
| $Li_2O.2SiO_2$ crystal (20=24.8°) in $Li_2O.SiO_2$ transparent glass-ceramics | |
| and $Li^+ \leftrightarrow K^+$ exchange time | 53 |
| XRD patterns of Li ₂ O-SiO ₂ transparent glass-ceramics before and | |
| after $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+$ exchange at 650°C | 54 |
| Schematic of representation of amorphization by ion exchange | |
| according to Tagantsev and Karapetyan | 58 |
| XRD patterns of synthesized $Li_2O.2SiO_2$ crystal before and after | |
| $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+$ ion exchange | 61 |
| | The relation between fracture strength of Li ₂ O-SiO ₂ transparent glass-ceramics and Li ⁺ \leftrightarrow K ⁺ exchange time |

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

FIGURE

| A1 | XRD pattern of Li ₂ O·2SiO ₂ glass-ceramics (500°C-15h, 700°C-15h) | 71 |
|----|---|-----|
| B1 | XRD pattern of Li ₂ O·2SiO ₂ glass-ceramics (500°C-15h, 700°C-15h) | 75 |
| B2 | Calibration curve of X-ray line broadening for AgCl precipitated | |
| | in glass by Jone's method | 77 |
| C1 | XRD pattern of Li ₂ O-SiO ₂ glass (100% Glass) | 79 |
| C2 | XRD pattern of Quartz (100% Crystal) | 80 |
| C3 | XRD pattern of 25% Li ₂ O-SiO ₂ glass + 75% Quartz | 81 |
| C4 | XRD pattern of 50% Li ₂ O-SiO ₂ glass + 50% Quartz | 82 |
| C5 | XRD pattern of 75% Li ₂ O-SiO ₂ glass + 25% Quartz | 83 |
| C6 | Experimentary determined crystallinity VS calculated crystallinity | |
| | for mechanical mixtures of α -quartz and parent glass | 84 |
| E1 | XRD pattern of Li_2O -SiO ₂ transparent glass-ceramics after $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+$ | |
| | at550°C for 4hour | 91 |
| G1 | Thermal expansion trace report of Li ₂ O-SiO ₂ glass using | |
| | Netsch 402EP at a heating rate of 5°C/min | 100 |
| G2 | Thermal expansion analysis trace of Li ₂ O-SiO ₂ transparent glass- | |
| | ceramics using Netsch 402EP at a heating rate of 5°C/min | 101 |
| G3 | Thermal analysis trace of Li ₂ O-SiO ₂ glass using a Perkin-Elmer | |
| | DTA-7 at a heating rate of 10°C/min | 102 |

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General Introduction

The development of glass-ceramics is a recent advance in the science of materials. The dramatic growth in the applications for these novel materials since the serendipitous discovery, some thirty years ago, of internal nucleation and crystal growth in lithium silicate glass (Stookey, 1954), is a testament in a wide range of application.

Glass-ceramics are polycrystalline solids prepared by the controlled crystallization of glass. They are generally well over 50% crystalline by volume and are fine-grained with crystal sizes below 10 μ m. A key feature of glass-ceramics is the maintenance of shape of previous-formed glass article. This is the most effectively achieved through internal nucleation and growth of crystals.

Glass-ceramics have significant advantages over traditional powder-processed ceramics (Beall, Karstetter and Rittler, 1967). One is the flexibility and ease of forming afforded by high speed processes such as rolling, pressing, blowing, and drawing. A total lack of internal porosity is an important characteristic of glassceramics. The uniformity of microstructure and reproducibility of properties which depends on structural consistency is another major advantage resulting from the homogeneous nature of the melting process. The ability to produce unique properties inherent in extremely fine-grained crystalline materials is also important. There are manufacturing advantages in the process economy associated with high volume glass making. Also, any defects present in the glass can be observed prior to crystallization allowing simple reject inspection. Furthermore, glass-ceramics provide a wide range of thermal expansion (CTEs) from -75×10^{-7} °C to $+200 \times 10^{-7}$ °C that often are not readily obtained in glasses or ceramics.

Transparent glass-ceramics are composed of fine-grained crystallites, usually nano-scale size, and residual glassy phase. The transparent glass-ceramics generally have two distinctive properties: they are nanocrystalline, and they have greater thermal stability than their parent glass, frequently possessing upper use temperature of >800°C.

Good transparency requires glass-ceramics with low optical scattering and low ionic/atomic absorption (Beall and Pinckney, 1999). Low scattering, the more difficult to achieve, can be obtained by satisfying either of two criteria. The first criterion is satisfied when all the crystalline phases and the residual glass have closely matched indexes of refraction and when the crystals possess low birefringence.

The second criterion for low scattering is satisfied in the case where the crystal size is much smaller than the wavelength of light. Within this condition, there are two types of scattering situations. One involves independent scatters that are widely separated and obey the Rayleigh-Gans model (Kerker, 1969). In this case, σ_p , the total turbidy or attenuation due to scattering, is given as

$$\sigma_{\rm p} \approx \left(\frac{2}{3}\right) {\rm NVk}^4 {\rm a}^3 (\eta \Delta \eta)^2$$
 (1.1)

where N is the particle number density, V is the particle volume, a is the particle radius, $k = 2\pi/\lambda$ (where λ is the wavelength), η is the refractive index of crystal, and

 $\Delta \eta$ is the index difference between the crystal and the host.

The other scattering model involves small particles that are more closely spaced. This model requires that the distance between particles be no smaller than the particle radius but can be at least up to 6 times the particle radius. Under these conditions, a quasi-continuum model developed independently by Andreev (1978) and Hopper (1985) describes interfering fields from the individual particles that produce a large compensating effect. Hopper gives the formula for turbidity as

$$\sigma_{\rm c} \approx \left[\left(\frac{2}{3} \times 10^{-3}\right) k^4 \theta^3 \right] (\eta \Delta \eta)^2 \tag{1.2}$$

where θ is the mean phase width (a + W/2) and W is the inter-particle spacing. In this case, improved transparency is allowed with particles sizes <30 nm at larger refractive index differences, up to $\Delta \eta = 0.3$.

Glass-ceramics as transparent as glass can be developed and used for such applications as transparent cookware and precision optical instruments, such as ringlaser gyroscope, large telescope mirror blanks. Also, recently, active ions, such as transition metal ion and rare-earth ion, doped transparent glass-ceramics have been developed and many researches on these materials are carried out (Morimoto, 2004; Yuan et al.,1996)

 Li_2O-SiO_2 system of glass is well known as a base glass for glass-ceramics, such as chemically machinable glass-ceramics and high strength glass-ceramics. In addition, transparent glass-ceramics based on lithium metasilicate (Li_2O-SiO_2) and lithium disilicate ($Li_2O-2SiO_2$) crystals can be obtained easily in this system (Morimoto, 2004). However, the mechanical strength of Li_2O-SiO_2 transparent glassceramics is comparable to that of glasses (Morimoto and Emem, 2004). In some cases, the higher mechanical strength of transparent glass-ceramics is required in special application area.

There are two methods to increase mechanical strength of glasses and glassceramics, thermal tempering and chemical strengthening. In thermal tempering, the shaped object is heated to just below its softening point and its surface is then chilled by means of a blast of air or an oil bath. Under these conditions the exterior of the glass cools and contracts immediately, but since glass is a poor conductor of heat the interior will not contract the glass will not crack because the interior remains plastic. When the interior starts to cool, it cannot contract because the exterior has already set, but, in attempting to contract, the interior continues to draw the exterior together. A built-in compressive stress then develops in the outer layers of the glass. When a tensile stress is applied to this glass, it is counteracted by these compressive stresses. Thus the tempered glass will not shatter until the surface compression is exceeded. The latter is called as ion exchange strengthening method, in this method, the shaped object was immersed into a molten salt bath containing larger ions (potassium ions), which replace the smaller ions (sodium ions) on the surface of the glass. The potassium ions are larger than the sodium ions and, in accommodating them, the surface of the glass becomes more crowded thus inducing compressive strains on the exterior. Glass of this type, characterized by very high strength, is useful for a great variety of applications (Kingery, Bowen and Uhlmann, 1976).

Ion exchange strengthening has many advantages over thermal strengthening, such as easy processing, available for any shapes of articles, available for thin articles, available to maintain the surface flatness and smoothness, capable of applying for low thermal expansion materials, etc (Izumitani, 1984). The $Li^+\leftrightarrow Na^+$ and $Li^+\leftrightarrow K^+$ ion

exchange are expected to increase mechanical strength of these glass-ceramics. However, the problem was reported from $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+$ ion exchange in Li^+ containing glass-ceramics due to the crack generation on the surface and therefore the strengthening could not be achieved (Morimoto, 1991). Crystalline phase is destroyed and disappeared by $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+$ exchange. The reason of this phenomenon has been studying since 1969 (Beall and Duke, 1969), only a few papers on this phenomenon have been reported (Morimoto, 1991; Beall and Duke, 1969; Tagantsev and Karapetyan, 1999).

1.2 Research Objectives

The objective of research will be as follows;

- (i) To strengthen the Li₂O-SiO₂ transparent glass-ceramics by Li⁺↔Na⁺ and Li⁺↔K⁺ ion exchanges. The optimum condition will be determined by varying temperature and time during the ion exchange.
- (ii) To investigate the "Decrystallization" or "Amorphization" by X-ray diffraction technique.

1.3 Scope of the Research

Investigation will be carried out as follows;

(i) To explain the mechanism of "Decrystallization" or "Amorphization". It was anticipated that the occurrence of decrystallization was dued to the ion exchange between foreign ions (Na⁺, K⁺) and Li⁺ ion in Li₂O·2SiO₂ crystals directly. (ii) To determine the optimum ion exchange condition which will increase the mechanical strength without surface crack for the Li₂O-SiO₂ system transparent glass-ceramics.

1.4 Expected Results

- 1.4.1 Establishment of basic technology of glass-ceramics production (composition design and process design).
- 1.4.2 Establishment of basic technology of ion exchange method.
- 1.4.3 Clarification of the mechanism of Decrystallization in glass-ceramics.

CHAPTER II

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Lithium Silicate Glass-Ceramics

Simple silicate glass-ceramics are composed of alkali and alkali earth silicate crystals whose properties dominate that of the glass-ceramics. The most important ones were lithium silicates, both lithium metasilicate ($Li_2O \cdot SiO_2$) and lithium disilicate ($Li_2O \cdot 2SiO_2$).

Lithium silicate glass-ceramics consist of two composition groups. The first group, nucleated with P₂O₅, develops high expansion glass-ceramics which match the thermal expansion of several nickle based superalloys, and are used in variety of high-strength hermetic seals, connectors, and feedthroughs (Headley and Loehman, 1984). The second group, photosensitively nucleated by colliodal silver, produces a variety of chemically machined materials which are useful as fluidic devices, display screens, len arrays, and other patterned devices (Pinkney, 2001).

The compositions of lithium silicate glass-ceramics typically comprise 70-85 weight percent SiO_2 , 10-15 Li_2O , 3-10 Al_2O_3 , 1-5 P_2O_5 . The glasses phase separate on heat treatment and lithium orthophosphate (Li_3PO_4) precipitates as the first crystal phase. Lithium metasilicate (Li_2SiO_3) and/or lithium disilicate (Li_2SiO_5) then form, the latter predominating with further heat treatment.

There have been numerous works on the crystallization and properties of lithium silicate glass-ceramics. Lithium silicate glass is easily melted at 1350-1450 °C

and the nucleation and growth rate can be controlled and measured. Work from the early 1970s focused on the nucleation and growth behavior of lithium disilicate crystal from glasses near lithium disilicate compositions.

In 1964, Kalinina and Filipovich investigated the phases and sequence of crystallization in Li₂O-SiO₂ glasses in 1964 using XRD. They concluded that homogeneous bulk crystallization of both lithium disilicate and lithium metasilicate occurred, depending on the initial glass compositions. For example, the 34.2 mol% Li₂O glass compositions crystallized into both lithium disilicate and lithium metasilicate, depending on the temperature. Heat treatment at lower temperature, e.g., 480°C, led to crystallization of lithium disilicate while heat treatment at higher temperatures, e.g., 630°C, led to crystallization of both lithium disilicate and lithium metasilicate. In general, the metasilicate phase has lower intensites on an X-ray diffraction pattern than the disilicate phase, so it is harder to detect. The 43.7 mol% Li₂O glass composition crystallized into lithium metasilicate after 24h at 480°C.

West and Glasser (1971) performed an extensive study on the crystallization of Li₂O-SiO₂ glasses using X-ray diffraction. They did not detect lithium metasilicate crystallization at composition equal to or less than 36 mol% Li₂O for any heat treatment time or temperature. Difficulties arose in determining phases from the XRD patterns of materials treated at lower temperatures due to the low intensities in X-ray diffraction patterns.

Lithium metasilicate crystallization was found to precede lithium disilicate crystallization as a metastable phase in some silicate glasses. Hench, Freiman and Kinser (1971) determined that lithium metasilicate crystal forms before lithium disilicate in two glass composition of 30 and 33 mol% Li₂O, but the amount of

lithium metasilicate was extremely small and it disappeared on further heat treatment.

Jacquin and Tomazawa (1995) investigated the crystallization behavior of lithium disilicate glass powder heated in molten LiNO₃ salt using X-ray diffraction techniques. Heat treatment at with LiNO₃ molten salt caused a lithium metasilicate, Li₂O·SiO₂, crystal phase to appear after 5-96 h. By contrast, glass powder heat-treated in air at 500°C remained amorphous after 5 h and turn into lithium disilicate, Li₂O·2SiO₂, crystal after 40 h. Glass powder heat treated at 575°C in both molten salt and in air turned into lithium disilicate crystal. Metasilicate crystallization occurs with LiNO₃ molten salt at 500 and 400°C due to the incorporation of lithium into the sample glass powder from the melt during crystallization. An increase in lithium content in the sample after molten salt heat treatment was confirmed by chemical analysis using dc plasma emission spectroscopy.

Soares, Zanotto, Fokin and Jain (2003) studied the early crystallization of lithium disilicate glasses using TEM and XRD techniques. Three lithium silicate glasses nearby the Li₂O·2SiO₂ composition were heat treated at $\sim T_g = 454$ °C, two distinct crystalline phases, stable lithium disilicate (Li₂SiO₅) and metastable lithium metasilicate (Li₂O·SiO₂) coexist up to 120 h at 454°C (crystalline fraction <1 vol%). For longer treatments (240-600h) only the stable phase (Li₂O·2SiO₂) was observed.

A nucleating agent may be broadly defined as a constituent added to a glass, typically in amount of a few percent, which promote volume nucleation and enable a glass-ceramics to be produced. Examples are metallic particles, oxides and nonoxides.

 P_2O_5 is common nucleating agent for lithium silicate glass-ceramics, which promotes heterogeneous nucleation and produces a fine grained interlocking morphology after heat treatment (Clausbruch, Schweiger, Holand and Rheinberger, 2000). James (1982) found crystal nucleation rate of lithium disilicate in $33.3Li_2O.65.7SiO_2.1P_2O_5$ (mol%) glass were 1000 times greater than in $Li_2O.2SiO_2$ base glass at 500°C. Amorphous phase separation was observed in the glass containing P_2O_5 but not in the Li₂O.2SiO₂ base glass.

Headley and Loehman (1984) found that Li_3PO_4 crystals were precipitated from a $Li_2O-Al_2O_3-SiO_2$ based glass after treatment at high temperature in the range 800-1000°C. Lithium disilicate, lithium metasilicate and cristobalite were observed to crystallize by epitaxial growth on the Li_3PO_4 crystals.

Igbal, Lee, Holland and James (1998) investigated Li_2O-SiO_2 glass containing P_2O_5 by XRD, TEM and NMR. They found metastable phases of lithium disilicate phase increased. No evidence was found for the present of Li_3PO_4 crystalline phase from XRD, possible because of the small percentage present. However, preliminary result using ³¹P MAS NMR of 1 mol% P_2O_5 glass did indicate possible formation of the Li_3PO_4 phase in the heat treated glasses and even in the as-quenched glasses. For the composition containing 5 mol% P_2O_5 XRD revealed crystalline Li_3PO_4 in the as-quenched glass and after extend heat treatment. TEM revealed the presence of high density of fine volume nucleated crystals in the P_2O_5 containing glasses, much higher than in the base lithium disilicate glass after similar heat treatment.

Mishima, Wakasuki and Ota (2004) studied the crystallization behavior of $xLi_2O(1-x)Na_2O(2SiO_2)$ glass doped with platinum (Pt). It was found that the addition of Pt induced the crystallization of $Li_2O(SiO_2)$ in the interior of crystallized glasses with high Na₂O (x=0.4 to 0.6) and $Li_2O(2SiO_2)$ were observed in crystallized glasses with high Li_2O . SiO₂ were observed also in the range of x=0.6 to 0.8.

Morimoto (2006) investigated the effect of K_2O on the crystallization in Li_2O -SiO₂ glass. It was found that a small amount of K_2O affected the mechanism of phase separation and crystallization process, and K_2O suppressed the crystallization of $Li_2O\cdot 2SiO_2$, but promotes the precipitation of $Li_2O\cdot SiO_2$ crystal. $Li_2O\cdot SiO_2$ crystal can precipitate in Li_2O rich continuous phase containing K_2O .

Lithium disilicate glass-ceramics nucleated with P_2O_5 are characterized by high body strength, 140-210 MPa, good fracture toughness, ≈ 3 MPa·m^{1/2}, and moderate to high thermal expansion coefficient, 80-130×10⁻⁷°C⁻¹ (Pinkney, 2001).

Morimoto and Emem (2004) studied the properties of 77.7 $SiO_2 \cdot 2.2$ Al₂O₃·18.8 Li₂O·1.2 P₂O₅ (mol%). They found that the transparent glass-ceramics can be obtained by heat treatment below 800°C. The main crystalline phase is Li₂O·2SiO₂. The percent crystallinity and crystal size ranged from 60 to 70% and from 20 to 60 nm, respectively. The density of glass-cramics increases with increasing heating temperature and time of crystallization. Fracture strength of transparent glassceramics increases linearly with crystal size ranging from 20-60 nm.

2.2 The Strengthening of Glass and Glass-ceramics by Ion Exchange

The ion-exchange method has been useful in strengthening glass and in making gradient index lenses (Araujo, 2004). Ion exchange has been used for the increasing mechanical strength of glass and glass-ceramics for the past four decades because of its many advantages such as easy processing, available for any shapes of articles, easy to maintain the surface flatness and smoothness, etc (Izumitani, 1984). There are two types of ion exchange strengthening which differ substantially in theory and operation. The first type of ion exchange treatment is carried out above the strain point of the glass. As the composition at the surface of glass change by ion exchange treatment so as to lower the thermal coefficient of expansion in the surface layer. As the glass is cooled, a compressive stress develops at the surface due to the expansion differential. This approach was taught by Hood and Stookey (1957) in U.S. Pat. No.2,779,136. The second type of ion exchange strengthening is characterized by treatment below the strain point of the glass, wherein surface compression is generated by substituting large ions from an external source (e.g., a molten salt bath) for smaller ions in the glass. Typically, the substitution is of sodium or potassium for lithium in the glass, or of potassium for sodium in the glass. The below-the-strain-point technique was first taught by Weber (1965) in U.S. Pat. No.3,218,220.

Generally, when the smaller cation (e.g. Li^+ ion) in glass or glass-ceramics would be replaced by larger cation (e.g. K^+ ion), the volume of glass and glassceramics expands and the compressive stresses arise in surface layer. The stress arisen is given by next equation (Stookey, 1954).

$$\sigma_{\rm s} = \left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \cdot \frac{E}{1-\mu} \cdot \frac{\Delta V}{V} \tag{2.1}$$

where E is the Young's modulus, μ Poisson's ratio and $\Delta V/V$ the volume change without stresses.

On the other hand, the thermal expansion coefficient of ion exchanged layer changes. In this case, the stress arises by the difference in thermal expansion coefficient. The stress arisen of specimen by glazing may be given by the next equation.

$$\sigma_{\rm s} = (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \cdot \Delta T \cdot \left[\frac{E}{1 - \mu} \right] \times \left(\frac{A_1}{A} \right)$$
(2.2)

where α_1 is the thermal expansion coefficient of base material, α_2 that of glaze,

 Δ T=temperature difference, A₁ cross section of glazed layer and A the cross section of base material.

In recent years a large volume of research on ion exchange strenghening has been carried out, particularly for lithium, sodium and magnesium aluminosilicate glass compositions based on the exchange of larger alkali ions for lithium or sodium using nitrate salt baths (Mallick and Holland, 2004). Notable work includes replacement of Li⁺ in lithium aluminosilicate glasses by Na⁺ from sodium salt baths. Similarly Na⁺ ions have been replaced in sodium aluminosilicate glasses by larger K⁺ ions from potassium salt baths.

Kistler studied the principle of stuffing of ion exchange in 1962. He treated soda-lime-silica glass in KNO₃ melts at temperature below 350°C and found after sometime considerable compressive stress (up to 880 MPa) at the surface.

Zijlstra and Burggraof (1968) mentioned an ion exchange process at high temperature involving the exchange of copper ions from a copper halide vapor for sodium ions in a window glass composition. Strength as high as 480 MPa was achieved in less than 1 hour.

Rinehart (1980) reported the ion exchange strengthening of specific soda-limesilica glass compositions, which showed a maximum flexural strength value of 350 MPa for compression layer between 70 and 99 μ m thick.

Mallick and Holland (2004) investigated the strengthening of industrial grade sodium aluminosilicate glasses by dip coating. Li ion-exchange showed a significant flexural strength enhancement of 16-163% up to a maximum value of 506 MPa for container glass composition with progressively increasing net work modifier additions of alumina from 3 to 10 wt%. The result showed a linear relationship between square root of exchange duration, $t^{1/2}$, and the layer thickness.

Glass-ceramics can also be strengthened by ion exchange techniques. Garfinkel (1980) studied $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+$ exchange in soda-alumina-silica glass containing TiO₂. They found that the low-expansion surface phase of β -spodumene solid solution simultaneous crystallization in the ion exchanged glass.

Karstetter and Voss (1967) reported that a fine grained glass-ceramics containing a large propotion of β -spodumene solid-solution crystals was strenghened by immersion in both molten sodium- and potassium-containing salt baths. Replacement of small Li⁺ ion by larger ion(Li⁺ and K⁺ ion) is believed to elastically deform the cation-oxygen bonds in the crystal lattice. The distortion caused by this ionic crowding directly produces a surface compression that typically results in modulus of rupture values on abraded samples of around 345 MPa, which is 241 MPa more than the normal body strength. Exchange normally takes place at relatively low temperature (500-600°C) in salt bath composed largely of NaNO₃. A compression layer typically a few mils in thickness is developed within several hours. The thermal stability of the resulting strength is not great because of the low exchange temperature. Similarly, stuffed β -quartz solid solution glass-ceramics derived from the crystallization of Li₂O-Al₂O₃-SiO₂ glasses were also strengthened by K⁺ \leftrightarrow Li⁺ exchange. However, the strengthening by Na⁺ \leftrightarrow Li⁺ exchange could not be achieved, resulting from the formation of cracks on the surface (Morimoto, 1991).

Beall et al. (1967) studied the $2Li^+ \leftrightarrow Mg^{2+}$ exchange in β -quartz solid solution glass-ceramics. Here glass-ceramics containing Mg-stuffed derivative of β -quartz as the major phase are placed in a high-temperature Li_2SO_4 bath (800-900°C). Surface compression in this case results from both ionic crowding and differential thermal expansion. The $2\text{Li}^+\leftrightarrow Mg^{2+}$ exchange result in an increase in the unit cell volume and a decrease in the thermal expansion coefficient from 15 to 45×10^{-7} /°C.

Duke, Macdowell and Karstetter (1967) studied the strengthening of nepheline $(Na_2O \cdot Al_2O_3 \cdot 2SiO_2)$ glass-ceramics by ion exchange, the glass-ceramics are ion exchanged in a K₂SO₄-KCl salt bath at 750 °C. In this case, crowding of the larger K⁺ ions in the smaller Na⁺ sites in the structure results in a displacive transformation to kalsilite (K₂O · Al₂O₃ · 2SiO₂). This transformation normally involves a significant increase in specific volume, and it produces great surface compression in the glass-ceramics. Modulus of rupture values on rods ion exchanged in this manner and then abraded may average in excess of 1378 MPa.

Table 2.1 and Table 2.2 show the compositions and the strength of glassceramics obtained by different exchange baths (Uhlmann and Kreidl, 1980). All samples were tumble abraded for 15 min with 30 grit SiC before ion exchange process. The last three compositions represent strengthening by stuffing as a result of a phase transformation induced by ion exchange. The kalsilite (KAlSiO₂) formed at the surface has a higher expansion coefficient than the nepheline body that would normally result in surface tensile forces and reduced strength. However, the stuffing process produces a net surface compression to yield strength as high as 1378 MPa. The difference in modulus of rupture of glass composition 8, 9 and 10 as shown in Table 2.2 due to the difference in expansion coefficient of them.

| Composition | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
|--|---------------------------------|---|-----------------|---------------------------|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| SiO ₂ | 56 | 51 | 60 | 69 | 50 | 71 | 40 | 44 | 43 | 41 |
| Al_2O_3 | 20 | 26 | - | 21 | 29 | 18 | 31 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| Li ₂ O | - | - | - | 5 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Na ₂ O | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | 4 | 17 | 17 | 11 |
| K_2O | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | - | - | 9 |
| MgO | 15 | 5 | - | - | 12 | 5 | - | - | - | - |
| ZnO | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| BaO | - | - | 35 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TiO ₂ | 9 | 3 | - | 5 | 9 | - | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| ZrO_2 | - | 7 | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| Crystal phases | α-Quartz spinel enstatite | α- Cristobalite spinel ZrO ₂ | Barium silicate | β- Spodumene rutile | β-Quartz MgTi ₂ O ₅ | β- Quartz ZrO ₂ | Synthetic Kaliophilite | Nepheline anatase | Nepheline anatase | Nepheline anatase |
| Expansion coefficient, ×10 ⁻⁷ (23-300°C) | 100 | 166 | 195 | 15 | 40 | 16 | 140 | 115 | 120 | 125 |

Table 2.1 Compositions of representative glass-ceramics (Uhlmann and Kreidl, 1980).

| Composition | Bath | Temperature (°C) | Time (Hr.) | Exchange | Surface phase | Modulus of rupture (MPa) | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| (A) Glass ceramics strengthened by expansion difference | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Li ₂ SO ₄ | 950 | 24 | $2Li^+ \rightarrow Mg^{2+}$ | β-Quartz ss | 986 | | | | | |
| 2 | Li_2SO_4 | 950 | 24 | $2Li^+ \rightarrow Mg^{2+}$ | β-Quartz ss | spalling | | | | | |
| 3 | 52%KCl | 850 | 2 | $2K^+ \rightarrow Ba^{2+}$ | Glass | 275 | | | | | |
| | $48\% K_2 SO_4$ | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Li_2SO_4 | 850 | 8 | $2Li^+ \rightarrow Mg^{2+}$ | β-Quartz ss | 1103 | | | | | |
| (B) Glass ceramics | strengthened by stu | uffing mechanism | | C | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 85%NaNO ₃ | 580 | 16 | $Na^+ \rightarrow Li^+$ | β-Spodumene ss | 620 | | | | | |
| | $15\%Na_2SO_4$ | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Li_2SO_4 | 850 | 8 | $2Li^+ \rightarrow Mg^{2+}$ | β-Quartz ss | 1103 | | | | | |
| 6 | 52%KCl | 750 | 8 | $K^+ \rightarrow Li^+$ | β-Quartz ss | 1006 | | | | | |
| | 48%K ₂ SO ₄ | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 52%KCl | 730 | 8 | $K^+ \rightarrow Na^+$ | Kaliophilite | 690 | | | | | |
| | 48%K ₂ SO ₄ | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | 52%KCl | 730 | 8 | $K^+ \rightarrow Na^+$ | Kalsilite | 620 | | | | | |
| | 48%K ₂ SO ₄ | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | 52%KCl | 730 | 8 | $K^+ \rightarrow Na^+$ | Kalsilite | 1234 | | | | | |
| | $48\% K_2 SO_4$ | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | 52%KCl | 730 | 8 | $K^+ \rightarrow Na^+$ | Kalsilite | 1378 | | | | | |
| | 48%K ₂ SO ₄ | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 2.2 Strength of ion-exchanged glass-ceramics (Abraded) (Uhlmann and Kreidl, 1980).

2.3 Stress Relaxation

Although the concentration of exchanging ions continually increases towards a maximum value, the resulting compressive stress rises and then decays with time when held at ion exchange temperatures. This effect is due to thermal relaxation, and can be significant if the exchange temperature is sufficiently high relative to the glass transition temperature of the glass. Prolonging the ion exchange process at high temperatures will eventually reduce the compressive stress (Abrams, 2004). Figure 2.1 illustrates this behavior for a Na₂O-Al₂O₃-SiO₂ glass. This can be understood better if it is consider that the rate of buildup of the integral stress is proportional to the rate of ion exchange minus loss in stress due to relaxation of glass (Garfinkel, 1969). Thus, it may be write

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt} = \frac{k}{t^{1/2}} - \frac{\sigma}{\tau}$$
(2.3)

where σ is the compressive stress, τ is the relaxation time, t is the ion exchange time and k is constant.

The Eq. 2.3 has been simplified by Miller and Gordon (1931). It is easily verified that

$$\lim_{\tau \to \infty} \sigma = 2kt^{1/2} \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \sigma = 0 \tag{2.5}$$

Thus, for very large relaxation times, the integral stress should increase linearly with the square root of the time of treatment as long as the sample remains infinite in extent. However, as the time of treatment becomes large with respect to the relaxation time τ , the stress will begin to drop off from this linear relationship. The integral stress should exhibit a maximum value at $t_{max} = 0.853\tau$.



Figure 2.1 Effect of temperature on the strength of potassium-exchanged 1.1Na₂O-1Al₂O₃-4SiO₂ glass.○, 450°C; △, 500°C ;■, 525°C ; □, 550°C; ●, 575°C (Uhlmann and Kreidl, 1980).

2.4 The Decrystallization of Glass-ceramics by Ion Exchange

Although the published literature on glass-ceramics and ions exchange is not extensive as that for glasses, additional information is directed to the books by Strnad (1986), McMilan (1979), Bach (1995), and Izumitani (1984).

The difficulty and problem of $Li^+\leftrightarrow Na^+$ ion exchange for Li^+ containing glass-ceramics is caused by the destruction and disappearance of crystalline phase, such as lithium metasilicate [$Li_2O\cdot SiO_2$], lithium disilicate [$Li_2O\cdot 2SiO_2$] and lithium aluminium silicate [β -Quartz solid solution, $Li_2O\cdot Al_2O_3 \cdot nSiO_2$].

Beall and Duke (1969) have reported first that crystalline phase of β -Quartz solid solution in low thermal expansion transparent glass-ceramics was destroyed and

disappeared by $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+$ ion exchange. The structure of β -Quartz solid solution collapsed and disappeared due to the mismatch of crystal structure. In addition, they suggested that the pseudo amorphous phase has been formed.

Morimoto (1991) reported that an amorphous phase has been detected by FTIR spectra, however, the strengthening could be achieved by $\text{Li}^+\leftrightarrow\text{K}^+$ ion exchange in the same glass system as Beall and Duke (1969). Morimoto also reported that the unit volume of β -Quartz solid solution crystal decreased after $\text{Li}^+\leftrightarrow\text{Na}^+$ ion exchange, whilst it increased after $\text{Li}^+\leftrightarrow\text{K}^+$ ion exchange.

Tagantsev and Karapetyan (1999) investigated the decrystallization of crystallized glasses by ion exchange. They found that crystalline phase of lithium metasilicate (Li₂O·SiO₂) in photosensitive glass-ceramics disappeared by Li⁺ \leftrightarrow Na⁺ exchange, and called this phenomenon as "Decrystallization". They reported that this phenomenon took place based on the thermodynamics, and they considered the glass-ceramics as a liquid solution, with the microcrystals of Li₂O·SiO₂ crystals being a precipitate and the solvent is the glassy phase of glass-ceramics. The concentration of Li₂O in the vitreous consituent at the ion exchange temperature is presumed to be sufficient for the solution to be nearly saturated. Due to the Li-for-Na ion exchange process the concentration of Li₂O·SiO₂ dissolved in glassy phase to keep the Li⁺ concentration be constant in glassy phase, and this process endured until all crystals have dissolved. Although they proposed the idea for the mechanism of this phenomenon, it has not been clearly explained yet. The chemical strengthening can be achieved by Li⁺ \leftrightarrow K⁺ ion exchange (Morimoto, 1991).

2.5 The Theory of Ion Exchange

When a glass containing monovalent cation is placed into a molten salt containing another monovalent cation, ion exchange takes place. A generalized ionexchange reaction can be written

$$\bar{A}(glass) + B(salt) = B(glass) + A(salt)$$
 (2.6)

where \overline{A} and \overline{B} are the counterions in the exchanger phase and A and B are the counterions in the liquid phase. Molten salts are required because of the temperature range needed before the cations in the glass become mobile with reference to the negatively chaged oxygens of the rigid, immobile silicate network.

In binary ion exchange, the diffusing species A and B are charged, to diffuse at different rates. Thus there is a tendency for one ion to move faster than the other, leading to a buildup of electrical charge. There is, however, a gradient in electrical potential along with this charge, which acts to slow down the faster ion and speed up the slower one. Despite the difference in the mobilities of the two ions, the gradient in electrical potential forces the fluxes of two ions to be equal and opposite, thus preserving electrical neutrality.

The driving force for transport of species A or B is the negative of their gradients in total chemical potential. The total chemical or electrochemical potential is the sum of the gradient in activity and the gradient in electrical potential. This assertion is based upon the assumption that no gradient in pressure or gradients in temperature, are present in the system. With the additional assumption that the mobilities of the ions are the same in self-diffusion and inter-diffusion, the flux of diffusing species per unit time in the x direction is

$$\mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{A}} = -\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{A}} \left[\frac{\partial \bar{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathrm{A}}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \ln \bar{a}_{\mathrm{A}}}{\partial \ln \bar{c}_{\mathrm{A}}} + \frac{-}{c_{\mathrm{A}}} \frac{FE}{RT} \right]$$
(2.7)

where D_A is the self-diffusion coefficients, c_A the ionic concentration, \bar{a}_A the activity of species A, and E is the potential gradient or electric field, F is Faraday constant, R is gas constant; a similar expression can be written for the flux J_B. Only univalent-forunivalent exchange is under consideration here. This set of flux equations is the Nernst-Planck equations.

The conditions of electrical neutrality require that $J_A = -J_B$. Since the number of negative exchange sites is constant and fixed, $\partial c_A / \partial x = -\partial c_B / \partial x$

$$E = \frac{RT}{F} \left[\frac{D_B - D_A}{\bar{c}_A D_A + \bar{c}_B D_B} \right] \frac{\partial \bar{c}_A}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \ln \bar{a}_A}{\partial \ln \bar{c}_A}$$
(2.8)

Substitution of Eq. (2.8) into Eq. (2.7) gives

$$J_{A} = \frac{D_{A}D_{B}}{N_{A}D_{A} + N_{B}D_{B}} \frac{\partial \ln \bar{a}_{A}}{\partial \ln \bar{c}_{A}}$$
(2.9)

where N is the cation fraction in the exchange; a similar equation can be written for J_B . The activity can be related to the concentration by

$$\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{A}} = \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{A}}^{n} \tag{2.10}$$

n being a constant. By comparison with Fick's first law for diffusion, since $\partial \ln a_A/\partial \ln c_A = n$ from Eq (2.10), the interdiffusion coefficient becomes

$$\overline{D} = \frac{D_A D_B}{N_A D_A + N_B D_B} n \tag{2.11}$$

For ideals solutions n=1, so one gets the commonly used relationship between the interdiffusion coefficient and self-diffusion coefficient of the individual cations.

These equation were first applied to the interdiffusion of hydrogen and sodium ions in polysulfonate exchangers in aqueous solution.
The temperature dependence of the interdiffusion and self-diffusion coefficients follows the Arrhenius equation

$$D = D_0 \exp\left(\frac{-E\ddagger}{RT}\right)$$
(2.12)

In which E ‡ is the activation energy.

If the electrical current in an exchanger is carried by a single ionic species, then the electrical conductivity of the exchanger can be related to the diffusion coefficient of this species by Nernst-Einstein equation

$$D = \left[\frac{\sigma RT}{c}\right] (zF)^2$$
(2.13)

where σ is the specific conductivity in Ω^{-1} cm⁻¹, *R* is 8.314 J/deg mole, c the concentration of the diffusing species in moles/cm³, z the ionic value, and F is Faraday's constant which is equal to 96,500 C/equv.

It has been found that the measured electrical conductivity of glass is less than that calculated from diffusion data (Uhlmann and Kreidl, 1980). These result have been interpreted in terms of a model that accounts for the difference in terms of the two kinds of processes. In this model a correlation factor f, which depends on the structure of the exchanger and on the transport mechanism, is used to modify the Nernst-Einstein equation so that

$$f = \frac{D_A}{D_\sigma} \tag{2.14}$$

where D_A is the measured self-diffusion coefficient, and D_{σ} the diffusion coefficient calculated from the conductivity with Equation (2.13). Thus f is a measured of the efficiency of the Nernst-Einstein equation. If diffusional motion is completely random, f = 1. However, f is less than unity if the next jump depends upon the direction of the previous jump, i.e., the jump direction is not entirely random.

Experimental data for both self-diffusion and interdiffusion kinetics can be obtained by several techniques. To obtain self-diffusion coefficients, radioactive tracers are necessary. Weight change data has been used most extensively for interdiffusion measurements. The method is described individually below.

By measuring the weight of the exchanger before and after the exchange, the total amount in moles of A taken upper unit surface area of glass exposed to the molten salt is

$$Q_A = \frac{\Delta w}{S(M_A - M_B)}$$
(2.15)

where M is the gram-atomic weight, Δw is the change in weight of the sample following ion exchange, and S is the superficial area.

Integration of Fick's first law with constant mean integral interdiffusion coefficient D_{AB} gives

$$Q_A = 2C_{0,A} \left(\frac{D_{AB}t}{\pi}\right)^{1/2}$$
 (2.16)

where $C_{0,A}$ is the surface concentration per unit volume. Thus, the amount of material taken up by the exchanger is proportional to the square root of time. In order to double the amount of reaction at a given temperature, the time of treatment must be increased fourfold. If the inter-diffusion coefficient is a function of concentration, then D_{AB} , determined from Equation (2.16), will be some mean value over the initial and final concentration in the sample. In this case, the uptake is written

$$Q_{A} = \int_{0}^{t} J_{A}^{0} dt = -2 (D_{AB}^{0} t)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\partial c_{A}}{\partial w}\right)_{\omega=0}$$
(2.17)

where w = x/ $(D_{AB}^{0}t)^{1/2}$; J_{A}^{0} is the flux of A, and D_{AB}^{0} is the interdiffusion coefficient, respectively, at x=0. Thus, Q_A is still proportional to the square root time of exchange , because $(\partial c_{A} / \partial w)_{\omega=0}$ is constant for a constant profile shape irrespective of the depth of exchange. The square-root-of-time law for uptake is well established for ion exchange of glass on molten salts. Figure 2.2 illustrates typical weight change data.

From the ion exchange reaction written in Equation (2.6), an equilibrium constant K_{AB} can be defined so that

$$K_{AB} = \frac{\hat{a}_B a_A}{\hat{a}_A a_B}$$
(2.18)

The \hat{a}_i s in Equation (2.18) are the respective activities in the exchanger phase and the are the respective activities in the liquid phase. The K_{AB} value of depend upon the reference state chosen to define activities. For the salt, it is convenient to use the pure material as reference state, so that

$$\lim_{N_A \to 1} = 1 \tag{2.19}$$

for component A, and similarly for component B. Here γ_A is the activity coefficient of component A. The reference state for the solid exchanger is that in which all of the exchangeable cations are of the ion in question.

Ion-exchange equilibrium is characterized by the ion-exchange isotherm, which is a graphical representation covering all experimental conditions at constant temperature. Of prime significance is the selectivity of the exchanger, i.e., the selection of one counterion in preference to the other by the ion exchanger. The equilibrium constant K_{AB} is an intergral measure of selectivity.

Certain assumptions must be used to get at the experimental quantities in Equation (2.6). The ratio of the activities of the ion in the exchanger is given by

$$\frac{\hat{a}_{B}}{\hat{a}_{A}} = \frac{N_{B}}{\overline{N}_{A}^{n}}$$
(2.20)

where \overline{N} is the cation fraction. This has been referred to as n-type behavior in aqueous system.

Most of the molten nitrate mixtures used can be characterized as regular solutions (Uhlmann and Kreidl, 1980). Although the heat-of-mixing of these salts is a slight function of composition, the approximation is quite satisfactory. Therefore we may write

$$\operatorname{RTln}\frac{\gamma_A}{\gamma_B} = A(1 - 2N_A) \tag{2.21}$$

where A is a constant independent of temperature. Substitution of Equations. (2.20) and (2.21) into Equation (2.18) yields

$$\log \frac{N_B}{N_A} - \frac{A}{2.303RT} (1 - 2N_A) = n \log \frac{\overline{N}_B}{\overline{N}_A} - \log K_{AB}$$
(2.22)

which is similar to the semiempirical relation proposed for aqueous exchange (Uhlmann and Kreidl, 1980). Thus a plot of $\log\left(\frac{a_B}{a_A}\right)$ for the salt against $\log\left(\frac{N_B}{N_A}\right)$ for the exchanger is characterized by a slope of n if K_{AB} is constant with

concentration. Typical data are shown in Figures 2.3 and 2.4.



Figure 2.2 Weight change as a function of $t^{1/2}$. Ag⁺ \leftrightarrow Li⁺ ion exchange in 11.4 mole% Li₂O, 16.5 mole% Al₂O₃, 71.5mole% SiO₂ glass. Δ , 395°C ; \blacktriangle ,352°C; \circ , 320°C ; ×, 306°C; •, 277°C; \diamond , 254°C; \Box , 228°C.



Figure 2.3 Ion-exchange isotherm: ○, 300°C; ×, 451°C; Ag⁺↔Li⁺ ion exchange in 11.4mole% Li₂O, 16.5mole% Al₂O₃, 71.5mole% SiO₂ (Uhlmann and Kreidl, 1980).



Figure 2.4 Test of n-type behavior for exchange system Ag⁺↔Li⁺ at 300°C; n=2.2; w= -2.7 kcal mol-1; 11.4 mole% Li₂O, 16.5 mole% Al₂O₃, 71.5 mole% SiO₂ (Uhlmann and Kreidl, 1980).

CHAPTER III

EXPERIMENTAL

3.1 Materials

- High purity silica sand
- Aluminum oxide (Al₂O₃) A-21, Sumitomo Chemical Ltd, Japan
- Lithium carbonate (Li₂CO₃), Analytical reagent, Merck, Germany
- Di-Ammonium Hydrogen Orthophosphate [(NH₄)₂HPO₄], Analytical reagent, Univar, Australia
- Potassium nitrate (KNO3), Reagent grade, Carlo erba
- Sodium nitrate (NaNO₃), Reagent grade, Carlo erba
- Sodium sulphate (Na₂SO₄), Reagent grade, Carlo erba

3.2 Sample Preparation

3.2.1 Glass Melting

The composition of glass used was shown in Table 3.1

Table 3.1 Chemical Composition of Li₂O-SiO₂ Glass.

| | SiO ₂ | Al_2O_3 | Li ₂ O | P_2O_5 |
|---------|------------------|-----------|-------------------|----------|
| Mol% | 72.9 | 2.15 | 23.8 | 1.15 |
| Weight% | 80 | 4 | 13 | 3 |

 Al_2O_3 was added to stabilize glass against phase separation and P_2O_5 is common nucleating agent for lithium silicate glass-ceramics.

A batch corresponding to 200g of glass was mixed throughly and precalcined at 350 °C for overnight to remove NH₃. Then it was melted in a 100 ml Pt/Rh10 crucible at 1450 °C for 2hours with heating rate of 10 °C/min in an electric furnace. The molten glass was poured onto iron plate and crushed, and then it was melted one more time at the same condition to improve homogeneity.

3.2.2 Specimen Preparation

Rods about 5 mm. diameter were freshly drawn and cut into 6 cm in length for the strength measurement. The molten glass was poured onto iron plate and pressed by another iron plate. The glass plate was cut into size of $2 \times 20 \times 20$ mm for Xray diffraction analysis (XRD). The samples were then annealed at 450 °C for 30 min and cooled to room temperature in the furnace.

The samples were heat treated at 500 °C for 15 hours for nucleation and 700 °C for 10 hours for crystallization with heating rate of 10 °C/min and cooled to room temperature in the furnace (Morimoto and Emem, 2004).

3.3 Ion Exchange

The samples of glass and glass-ceramics were ion exchanged in NaNO₃ and KNO₃ molten bath under various condition (Salt/glass ratio is 10 by wt).

3.4 Material Characterization and Property Measurement

3.4.1 Strength Measurement

The fracture strength was measured using Instron universal testing machine (Model 5565) with load cell of 5 kN according to ASTM C-158. The three point bending method was employed, and the strength of non-abraded specimens was measured. The span length and loading rate were 50 mm and 1 mm/min, respectively.

The fracture strength was calculated by next equation.

$$M = \frac{8PL}{\pi d^2}$$
(3.1)

Where:

M = fracture strength, MPa.

P = load at rupture, Newton.

L =Span length, mm.(millimeter).

d = diameter of specimen, mm.(millimeter).

Twelve specimens were used for strength measurement at each ion exchange condition. Maximum and minimum values were excluded to determine average fracture strength and standard deviation.

3.4.2 X-ray Diffraction Analysis

Crystalline phase and lattice constant were determined by X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD). XRD data were collected on diffractometer (Bruker, AXS Model D5005) using Cu K_{α} radiation at 40 kV and 40 mA.

Si powder was used as an internal standard for the measurement of lattice constant. The lattice constant of lithium disilicate (Li₂O·2SiO₂) as monoclinic system was calculated as follow

$$\frac{1}{d^2} = \frac{h^2}{a^2 \sin^2 \beta} + \frac{k^2}{b^2} + \frac{l^2}{c^2 \sin^2 \beta} - \frac{2hl \cos \beta}{ac \sin^2 \beta}$$
(3.2)

The calculation of lattice constant was shown in Appendix A. Crystalline size was calculated using Scherrer's equation.

$$D = \frac{0.9 \cdot \lambda}{\beta \cos \theta}$$
(3.3)

Where D is the crystalline size (Å), λ is the wavelength of X-ray (1.54 Å), β is the true half width (radian) and θ is the diffraction angle (degree). True half width was determined by the Jones method (Nitta, 1975) (Appendix B), and α -quartzwas used as standard.

For example, $\beta = 0.22^{\circ}(0.00384)$ radian at 2θ (degree) = 24.92°,

$$D = \frac{0.9 \cdot \lambda}{\beta \cos \theta}$$
$$= \frac{0.9 \times 0.154 nm.}{0.0038 \times 0.9764}$$
$$= 38 \text{ nm.}$$

Percent crystallinity was determined using Ohlberg and Strickler's method (Ohlberg and Strickler, 1962) and was calculated using by

Percent crystallinity (%C) =
$$\frac{(I_g - I_x)}{(I_g - I_c)} \times 100$$
 (3.4)

Where I_g is the X-ray intensity of glass, I_x is the X-ray background intensity of the specimen and I_c that of the crystal at $2\theta=23^\circ$. The calibration curve

was obtained using mixture α-quartz and a parent glass at various ratios, and showed a good linearity as shown in Figure 3.1. Detail of calculation was shown in Appendix C.

3.4.3 Scanning Electron Microscopy Analysis

The strength measured samples were cut into 3 mm in length and were then coated with conductive material (gold) using Ion Sputtering Device (JEOL, JFC-110E). The surface structures of glasses and glass-ceramics after ion exchange were observed by scanning electron microscope (SEM, JEOL JSM 640).

3.4.4 Dilatometry Analysis

A dilatometry analysis was carried out to determine the glass transition temperature (T_g), dilatometric softening point (Y_p) and thermal expansion coefficient (α) using fused silica push rod type (Netsch 402EP). Glass and glass-ceramics rod samples of 5mm×25mm were heated from 30 °C to 600 °C at a heating rate of 5 °C/min.

3.4.5 Density Measurement of Glass and Glass-ceramic

The density of glass and glass-ceramics was measured by He-gas substitution method using Accupyc 1330 (Micrometrics).

3.4.6 Differential Thermal Analysis

A differential thermal analysis was carried out routinely to determine the nucleation and crystallization temperature using a Perkin-Elmer DTA-7. Glass sample was pulverized and sieved pass through 200 mesh screen. Powder sample was heated to 900 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C/min from room temperature.



Figure 3.1 Experimentary determined crystallinity VS calculated crystallinity for mechanical mixtures of α -quartz and parent glass.

CHAPTER IV

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 The Properties of Li₂O·2SiO₂ Glass and Glass-ceramics

The glass transition temperature (T_g), dilatometric softening point (Y_p), thermal expansion coefficient (α) and density of glass were 476 °C, 528 °C, 83×10⁻⁷ /°C (30-300 °C) and 2.318 g/cm³, respectively. The transparent glass-ceramics can be obtained by the heat treatment at 500°C for 15 h for nucleation and 700°C for 10 h for crystallization. XRD pattern of glass-ceramics is shown in Figure 4.1.



Figure 4.1 XRD patterns of Li₂O·2SiO₂ transparent glass-ceramics.

The crystal phase, percent crystallinity and crystallite size of glass-ceramics were $Li_2O\cdot 2SiO_2$ crystal (JCPDS 40-0376), about 70% by weight and 40 nm respectively. The thermal expansion coefficient and density of transparent glass-ceramics were 78×10^{-7} /°C (30-300 °C) and 2.379 g/cm³. The density of glass-ceramics increased by about 2.63%, while the thermal expansion coefficient decreased by 6.02%. Properties of glass and glass-ceramics were summarized in Table 4.1.

| | Glass | Glass-ceramic |
|------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Density (g/cm ³) | 2.318 | 2.379 |
| T _g (°C) | 476 | - |
| Y _p (°C) | 528 | - |
| α (°C ⁻¹) | 83×10 ⁻⁷ | 78×10 ⁻⁷ |
| Crystalline phase | - | $Li_2O \cdot 2SiO_2$ |
| Crystallinity (%) | - | ≈70 |
| Crystallite size (nm) | - | ≈40 |

Table 4.1 Summary properties of Li₂O·2SiO₂ glass and glass-ceramics.

4.2 The Strengthening of Li₂O-SiO₂ Glass by Ion Exchange

The appearance and fracture strength of glass before and after ion exchange for $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+$ and $Li^+ \leftrightarrow K^+$ are shown in Table 4.2. Figure 4.2 shows the relation between fracture strength and ion exchange time.

The fracture strength increases at first and decreases drastically later, and the crack was observed in unchanged layer by naked eye with progress of ion exchange for $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+$ at 450°C for 4 hours. The maximum value of fracture strength of 251±13 MPa was obtained by $Li^+ \leftrightarrow K^+$ exchange at 450°C for 4 hours.

| Ion exchange condition | Fracture strength(MPa) | | |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| (°C-Time) | Li ⁺ ↔Na ⁺ | $Li^+\leftrightarrow K^+$ | |
| Glass (Blank sample) | 146±27 | 146±27 | |
| 450 °C- 15 min. | 175±8 | - | |
| - 30 min. | 160±7 | - | |
| - 1 h | 156±12 | 180±28 | |
| - 4 h | 35(crack) | 251±13 | |
| - 9 h | Crack | 155±15 | |
| -16 h | Crack | 86±19 | |

Table 4.2 Fracture strength of glass before and after $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+$ and $Li^+ \leftrightarrow K^+$

exchange.



Figure 4.2 The relation between fracture strength of $Li_2O.2SiO_2$ glass and $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+$ and $Li^+ \leftrightarrow K^+$ exchange time.



Figure 4.3 SEM photos of the surface of Li₂O·2SiO₂ glass after ion exchange.

- (a) $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+$ exchange at 450°C for 4 hours.
- (b) $Li^+ \leftrightarrow K^+$ exchange at 450°C for 16 hours.

Fig. 4.3 shows SEM photos of the surface of glass after ion exchange. It is interesting to note that the ion exchange layer peels off from the surface and a hair-like crack is observed on the surface just below the peeled off layer for $Li^+\leftrightarrow Na^+$ exchange. On the contrary, for $Li^+\leftrightarrow K^+$ exchange, crack is observed on the surface. Thus the surface of glass shows the unusual behavior after ion exchange. The relation between this unusual behavior of surface and the fracture strength will be discussed below.

Generally, it is well known that the brittle materials like glasses are weak in tensile stress, and the ion exchange strengthening can be achieved due to the compressive stress arisen in the surface layer by the replacement of smaller ions in glass by larger foreign ions. This method is called "Crowding" (Stookey, 1954). The maximum compressive stress arisen by this mechanism may be given:

$$\sigma_{\rm c} = \left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \cdot \left[\frac{E}{1-\mu}\right] \cdot \left(\frac{\Delta V}{V}\right) \tag{4.1}$$

Where V the volume of glass, ΔV the volume change by ion exchange without stress at room temperature, E the Young's modulus and μ the Poisson's ratio. This equation indicates that the larger ΔV gives the larger compressive stress.

Density, molar volume and thermal expansion coefficient of Li-, Na- and Kglasses which according to Volf (1988) were calculated as shown in Appendix D and summarized in Table 4.3. By substituting $(\frac{\Delta V}{V})$ from Table 4.3 into equation (4.1) the compressive stress arisen by Li⁺ \leftrightarrow Na⁺ and Li⁺ \leftrightarrow K⁺ can be calculated. Calculated compressive stress for Li⁺ \leftrightarrow Na⁺ and Li⁺ \leftrightarrow K⁺ is expected to be significantly large because $(\frac{\Delta V}{V})$ of Li⁺ \leftrightarrow Na⁺ and Li⁺ \leftrightarrow K⁺ are comparatively high.

| | Calculated | | | |
|-----------|---------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Materials | Density (g/cm ³) | Molar volume (cm ³ /mole) | $\frac{\Delta V/V}{(Li^{+}\leftrightarrow R^{+})*(\%)}$ | α (×10 ⁻⁷ °C ⁻¹) |
| Li-glass | 2.34 | 23.37 | - | 88.8 |
| Na-glass | 2.44 | 25.52 | 9.20 | 118.0 |
| K-glass | 2.48 | 28.26 | 20.92 | 135.1 |

 Table 4.3 The calculated density, molar volume and thermal expansion coefficient of

Li-, Na- and K-glasses (Uhlmann and Kreidl, 1980).

 $*R^+$ is alkali ion.

Figure.4.4 shows the schematic representation of dimension change during ion exchange. The volume change (ΔV) in equation (4.1) was based on the assumption as shown in case A, ideal case. However, the volume change of glass by ion exchange shown in case B, actual case; that is, much smaller than in case A. As a result the observed compressive stresses arisen are lower than the calculated values.

It is very interesting to note that the peeling and crack occur despite a large compressive stress arisen in ion exchange layer. This behavior can not be observed in usual ion exchange process. In the early stage of ion exchange discussed here, peeling and crack were not observed, therefore, the compressive stress arisen affects effectively on the increase in fracture strength. The increasing in the thickness of ion exchanged layer caused the increasing of the volume change of ion exchanged layer. Therefore, the crack and the peeling can be generated in that layer. The compressive stress arises in ion exchanged layer and simultaneously tensile stress also generates in original glass to compensate the stress. Thus, with progress of ion exchange, the peeling and crack formation take place and the submicroscopic crack (hair-like crack in figure 4.3) which acts as stress concentrator, would be formed perpendicular to the

surface and finally the fracture strength decreases drastically in the later stage of ion exchange.



(B) Actual case

Figure. 4.4 Schematic representation of ideal and actual dimension change after ion

exchange (Varshneya, 1994).

- (a). No ion exchange.
- (b). Ion exchanged and unrestrained.
- (c). Ion exchanged and restrained

4.3 The Strengthening of Li₂O·2SiO₂ Transparent Glass-ceramics by Ion Exchange

The fracture strength of glass-ceramics before and after ion exchange is shown in Table 4.4 and Table 4.5. The relationships between fracture strength of glassceramics and ion exchange time for $\text{Li}^+ \leftrightarrow \text{Na}^+$ and $\text{Li}^+ \leftrightarrow \text{K}^+$ are shown in Figure 4.5 and Figure 4.6, respectively. The change in fracture strength of glass-ceramics with time shows the similar tendency to that of glass. However, the ion exchange time of glass-ceramics is longer than that of glass. This suggests that the rate of ion exchange of glass-ceramics is smaller than that of glass (Figure 4.2).

The fracture strength of glass-ceramics increases gradually and reaches the maximum, and then decreases. However, the fracture strength does not drop below original strength. The maximum fracture strength of 487 ± 15 MPa was obtained without surface crack by $\text{Li}^+\leftrightarrow\text{K}^+$ exchange at 500°C for 9 hours. This is about 3 times greater than that of original glass-ceramics.

Figure 4.7 shows SEM photos of surface of glass-ceramics after ion exchange. The similar behavior to glass was observed. The ion exchanged layer peels off from the surface layer for $\text{Li}^+\leftrightarrow\text{Na}^+$ exchange (at 550°C for 16 hours). On the other hand, crack was observed on the surface of glass-ceramics for $\text{Li}^+\leftrightarrow\text{K}^+$ exchange (at 550°C for 16 hours).

| Ion exchange condition (°C-Time) | Fracture strength(MPa) |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Glass-Ceramics | 167±27 |
| 400 °C -1.5 h | 263±15 |
| -2.5 h | 301±20 |
| - 4 h | 296±18 |
| 450 °C - 1 h | 345±24 |
| - 4 h | 379±34 |
| - 9 h | 180±13 |
| 550 °C - 1 h | 189±33 |
| - 4 h | 200±19 |
| - 9 h | 236±10 |
| - 16 h | 178±20 |

Table 4.4 Fracture strength of Li₂O·2SiO₂ transparent glass-ceramics before and after

 $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+$ exchange.

| Ion exchange condition (°C-Time) | Fracture strength(MPa) |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Glass-Ceramics | 167±27 |
| 450 °C - 4 h. | 255±17 |
| - 9 h. | 331±40 |
| - 16 h. | 381±25 |
| - 25 h. | 355±35 |
| 500°C - 4 h | 300±10 |
| - 9 h | 487±15 |
| - 16 h. | 451±5 |
| - 25 h. | 360±17 |
| 550C - 4 h. | 220±11 |
| - 9 h. | 246±15 |
| - 16 h. | 333±29 |
| - 25 h. | 256±25 |

Table 4.5 Fracture strength of Li₂O·2SiO₂ transparent glass-ceramics before and after

 $Li^+ \leftrightarrow K^+$ exchange.

45



Figure 4.5 The relation between fracture strength of $Li_2O.2SiO_2$ transparent glassceramics and $Li^+\leftrightarrow Na^+$ exchange time.



Figure 4.6 The relation between fracture strength of $Li_2O \cdot 2SiO_2$ transparent glassceramics and $Li^+ \leftrightarrow K^+$ exchange time.





- (a) $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+$ exchange at 400°C for 4 hours.
- (b) $\text{Li}^+ \leftrightarrow \text{K}^+$ exchange at 500°C for 9 hours.
- (c) $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+$ exchange at 550°C for 16 hours.
- (d) $\text{Li}^+ \leftrightarrow \text{K}^+$ exchange at 550°C for 16 hours.

The increase in the fracture strength of glass-ceramics may be interpreted by "Crowding" as discussed before. However, it should be note that the crystal phase of higher density of $Li_2O.2SiO_2$ disappeared and convert to lower density of glassy phase by $Li^+\leftrightarrow Na^+$ and $Li^+\leftrightarrow K^+$ exchange of glass-ceramics. This phenomenon was

called as "Amorphization" and will be discussed later. Therefore, the volume change is controlled by two factors, amorphization and the volume change by ion exchange discussed previously, and may be larger than that of glass. Thus, the larger compressive stress generation may be expected for glass-ceramics by ion exchange.

The fracture strength does not decrease below initial fracture strength, despite the crack and peeling were observed on the surface of glass-ceramics. This suggests that submicroscopic flaws were not formed on the surface of glass-ceramics and hence, higher fracture strength than glass. Also, the result show that the fracture strength depends on temperature and time of ion exchange, the lesser the fracture strength as self-annealing of samples are accelerated. The decrease in the fracture strength of glass-ceramics seems to be due to the stress relaxation.

The volume change of ion exchanged layer strongly depends on the alkali content in the base glass. The glass discussed here contains about 24 mol% of Li_2O . These peeling and crack formation seems to be the characteristics of high alkali containing glasses by ion exchange.



Figure 4.8 XRD patterns of Li₂O·2SiO₂ transparent glass-ceramics (plate specimens) before and after Li⁺↔Na⁺ exchange. ○: Li₂O·2SiO₂, ■: Cristobalite.



Figure 4.9 XRD patterns of $Li_2O.2SiO_2$ transparent glass-ceramics (plate specimens) before and after $Li^+\leftrightarrow K^+$ exchange. $O: Li_2O.2SiO_2$.



Figure 4.10 Relation between normalized X-ray intensity of the strongest peak of Li₂O·2SiO₂ crystal(■)(2θ=24.8°) and SiO₂ crystal(●) (2θ=21.8°) in Li₂O·2SiO₂ transparent glass-ceramics and Li⁺↔Na⁺ ion exchange time at 550°C.



Figure 4.11 Relation between normalized X-ray intensity of the strongest peak of $Li_2O.2SiO_2 crystal(2\theta=24.8^\circ)$ in $Li_2O.2SiO_2$ transparent glass-ceramics and $Li^+\leftrightarrow K^+$ ion exchange time at 500°C.



Figure 4.12 XRD patterns of $Li_2O \cdot 2SiO_2$ transparent glass-ceramics, (a) before and (b) after $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+$ exchange at 650°C.

4.4 The Amorphization of Li₂O·2SiO₂ Transparent Glass-ceramics by Ion Exchange.

The plate samples of glass-ceramics were ion exchanged in molten salt baths under various conditions to investigate the effect of the ion exchange process on structure of $Li_2O.2SiO_2$ crystal in lithium silicate transparent glass-ceramics.

Figures 4.8 and 4.9 show the XRD patterns of glass-ceramics before and after ion exchange for $\text{Li}^+\leftrightarrow\text{Na}^+$ and $\text{Li}^+\leftrightarrow\text{K}^+$, respectively. The XRD results showed XRD peaks of $\text{Li}_2\text{O}\cdot2\text{SiO}_2$ crystal and its X-ray intensity decreased and finally disappeared after ion exchange while a diffraction peak of cristobalite appeared at around $2\theta=22^\circ$ after $\text{Li}^+\leftrightarrow\text{Na}^+$ exchange. This indicates that the $\text{Li}_2\text{O}\cdot2\text{SiO}_2$ crystal was disappeared by ion exchange process.

Figure 4.10 and 4.11 show the relationship between normalized X-ray intensity of the strongest peak of Li₂O·2SiO₂ crystal (2 θ =24.8°, [111]) and time of ion exchange (t) of glass-ceramics for Li⁺ \leftrightarrow Na⁺ and Li⁺ \leftrightarrow K⁺, respectively. The good linearity was obtained, and this indicates that the rate of disappearance of Li₂O·2SiO₂ crystal is proportional to the time of ion exchange and this process is dominant process. According to Garfinkel (1970), the ion exchange process of glass in molten salts was evaluated by (Dt)^{1/2}, where D is the interdiffusion coefficient at the ion exchange temperature and t is the ion exchange time. If ion exchange would be dominant process, the rate process should be expressed by \sqrt{t} .

Figure 4.12 shows the XRD patterns of glass-ceramics before and after ion exchange at high temperature (650°C). It was observed that the XRD peaks of Li₂O·2SiO₂ crystal disappeared completely in ion exchanged layer and a diffraction

peaks of Cristobalite starts to appear by $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+$ exchange for 1 hour. It is interesting to notice that a diffraction peaks of $Li_2O \cdot SiO_2$ appears at first and disappears again with progress of ion exchange. This indicate that the $Li_2O \cdot SiO_2$ crystal precipitate in $Li_2O - SiO_2$ glass-ceramics by $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+$ at high temperature (650°C). There are two cases for the crystallization of $Li_2O \cdot SiO_2$ crystal in $Li_2O - SiO_2$ glass, one is the high Li_2O containing glass (West and Glasser,1971; Jacquin et al.,1995) and another is mixed alkali $Li_2O - SiO_2$ glass (Mishima, 2004;Morimoto, 2006). In the latter case, the studies reported by Mishima (2004), used similar glass system. The crystallization of $xLi_2O \cdot (1-x)Na_2O \cdot 2SiO_2$ glass doped with 0.005wt% platinum (Pt) was investigated. It was found that the crystallization of $Li_2O \cdot SiO_2$ crystal was observed in the range of $x \ge 0.8$ at heat treatment of 450 °C for 5 hours and 600 °C for 1 hour. This suggest that Na₂O induced the precipitation of $Li_2O \cdot SiO_2$ crystal in $Li_2O - SiO_2$ glass system. Above phenomena can be interpreted as follows;

Step 1; $Li_2O \cdot 2SiO_2 \xrightarrow{Na^+ exchange} SiO_2 crystal + Li^+$, Na^+ containing Glassy phase Step 2;

 $SiO_2 crystal + Na^+$ containing Glassy phase + $Li_2O \cdot SiO_2$

Step 3;

 $SiO_2 crystal + Na^+$ containing Glassy phase $+ Li_2O \cdot SiO_2$

 SiO_2 crystal + Na⁺ containing Glassy phase

Step 1, the $Li_2O.2SiO_2$ crystal disappeared by $Li^+\leftrightarrow Na^+$ exchange and converted to Li^+ , Na^+ containing glassy phase in ion exchanged layer, and the Na^+ concentration also increases in glassy phase. Step 2, $Li_2O.SiO_2$ crystal starts to precipitate in $Li^+\leftrightarrow Na^+$ -containing glassy phase and Step 3, the $Li_2O.SiO_2$ crystal disappears finally by subsequent ion exchange.

The cristobalite starts to precipitate and grows in contrast to the disappearance of Li₂O·2SiO₂ crystal with progress of Li⁺ \leftrightarrow Na⁺ exchange, but not in Li⁺ \leftrightarrow K⁺ exchange. This indicates that Na₂O reduces crystallization temperature of cristobalite and promotes the precipitation of cristobalite in Li₂O-Na₂O-SiO₂ glassy phase (Mishima, 2004).

Thus it is clear that the Li₂O·2SiO₂ crystals disappear during ion exchange. This phenomenon was found about 40 years ago by Beall and Duke (1969). They found the disappearance of β -quartz solid solution in low thermal expansion transparent glass-ceramics by $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+$ exchange, and concluded that this is due to the formation of pseudo amorphous phase. Recently, Tagantsev and Karapetyan (1999) found the same phenomenon in photosensitive chemically machinable glassceramics based on Li₂O·SiO₂ crystal and called this phenomenon as "Decrystallization" which was called as "Amorphization" in present work. Tagantsev Karapetyan explained that "Amorphization" took place based and on thermodynamics, consider Li₂O·SiO₂ glass-ceramics as liquid solution, with the microcrystals of Li₂O·SiO₂ being as precipitates and the solvent is glassy phase of glass-ceramics. Due to the ion exchange process the concentration of Li₂O in the glassy phase becomes lower. The Li₂O·SiO₂ crystals can dissolve in glassy phase to

keep the Li⁺ concentration in glassy phase being constant, and this process endures until all crystals have dissolved in glassy phase. This suggests that the ion exchange reaction takes place only in glassy phase and the glassy phase plays an important role in "Amorphization" process. Figure 4.13 illustrates Amorphization mechanism according to Tagantsev and Karapetyan.





Tagantsev and Karapetyan (1999), •: Li₂O·SiO₂, R⁺: alkali.
According to their idea, the "Amorphization" should not occur by the ion exchange reaction between foreign ions (Na^+) and Li^+ ion in $Li_2O\cdot SiO_2$ and $Li_2O\cdot 2SiO_2$ crystals directly without glassy phase.

In order to confirm the "Amorphization" of polycrystalline $Li_2O.2SiO_2$ by ion exchange reaction, $Li_2O.2SiO_2$ polycrystalline powder was synthesized by the conventional solid state reaction of silica and Li_2CO_3 powder, and then ion exchange reaction was carried out in NaNO₃ molten salts at 550°C. Figure 4.12 shows the XRD patterns of synthesized polycrystalline $Li_2O.2SiO_2$ before and after $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+$ ion exchange. The synthesized powder is consists of $Li_2O.2SiO_2$ crystal with a small amount of $Li_2O.SiO_2$ crystals. It is clearly observed that the X-ray intensity of $Li_2O.SiO_2$ and $Li_2O.2SiO_2$ crystals decreases with the progress of ion exchange reaction. The $Li_2O.SiO_2$ crystal disappears in early stage of ion exchange. This indicates that the "Amorphization" occurs by ion exchange reaction.

The lattice constant of Li₂O·2SiO₂ crystal in glass-ceramics before and after ion exchange was measured and the results are shown in Table 4.6. The unit cell volume of polycrystalline Li₂O·2SiO₂ is slightly larger than that of JCPDS 40-0376. It seems that the polycrystalline Li₂O·2SiO₂ forms solid solution with SiO₂. The average unit cell volume of Li₂O·2SiO₂ crystal increases slightly for Li⁺ \leftrightarrow K⁺ exchange, whilst it decreases very slightly at first and increases later for Li⁺ \leftrightarrow Na⁺ exchange. The change in unit cell volume is quite small but is observed always in ion exchange, and this phenomenon consistent with Morimoto (1991) on the ion exchange of low thermal expansion transparent glass-ceramics based on β -quartz solid solution. This suggest that the ion exchange reaction takes place between foreign ions and Li⁺ ion in $Li_2O.2SiO_2$ crystal. In fact, the chemical strengthening can be achieved in Li^+ containing glass-ceramics by ion exchange at much higher temperature (800°C) (Uhlmann and Kreidl, 1980). However, the formation of $Li_2O.2SiO_2$ solid solution crystal with Na⁺ might not be allowed by the ion exchange at lower temperature discussed here. Thus, the amorphization takes place eventually followed by the change in unit cell volume. It seems, therefore, that the replacement of Li^+ ion in $Li_2O.2SiO_2$ crystal by larger foreign ions results in the deformation of disilicate crystal structure and it causes the destruction of crystal structure in $Li_2O.2SiO_2$ glass-ceramics.

Table 4.7 shows the crystal structure of three disilicate crystals. They have the same monoclinic structure but the lattice constant of these crystals is different significantly. The Li₂O·2SiO₂ crystal has a layer structure (Si₂O₅), which is very puckered (Wells, 1975), and Li⁺ ion places between layers. The ionic radius of these ions are 0.68 Å (Li⁺), 0.97 Å (Na⁺) and 1.33Å(K⁺), respectively. According to the ion exchange between crystals in glass-ceramics and molten salts (Beall and Duke, 1983), the unit cell volume of Li₂O·2SiO₂ crystal should increase by Li⁺ \leftrightarrow Na⁺ and Li⁺ \leftrightarrow K⁺ exchange. However, the unit cell volume decreases by Li⁺ \leftrightarrow Na⁺ exchange. This suggests that the cation site might be different.



Figure 4.14 XRD patterns of synthesized $Li_2O.2SiO_2$ crystal before and after

 $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+$ exchange at 550 °C. Q: $Li_2O \cdot 2SiO_2$, \bullet : $Li_2O \cdot SiO_2$, \bullet :

Cristobalite, ■: Quartz.

Table 4.6 Lattice constant of $Li_2O.2SiO_2$ crystal before and after ion exchange.

| Sample No. | | a(Å) | b(Å) | c(Å) | $V_o(\text{\AA})^3$ |
|----------------------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|------------|---------------------|
| Original glass-ceramics | | 5.87±0.009 | 14.51±0.026 | 4.80±0.002 | 408.45±0.72 |
| Li ⁺ ↔Na ⁺ | 550 C-1 h. | 5.82±0.017 | 14.53±0.0034 | 4.81±0.005 | 407.31±1.23 |
| | 550 C-4 h. | 5.81±0.020 | 14.61±0.035 | 4.82±0.004 | 408.87±0.76 |
| $Li^+ \leftrightarrow K^+$ | 550 C-9 h. | 5.88±0.020 | 14.53±0.021 | 4.80±0.003 | 409.97±0.68 |
| | 550 C-16 h. | 5.88±0.017 | 14.49±0.039 | 4.80±0.003 | 409.16±0.31 |
| | 550 C-25 h. | 5.87±0.025 | 14.53±0.045 | 4.81±0.006 | 409.68±0.68 |
| JCPDS | 5 40-0376 | 5.822 | 14.6 | 4.775 | 405.9 |

| Crystal | System | JCPDS No. | a(Å) | b(Å) | c(Å) | β(Å) | V ₀ (Å) |
|---------------------|------------|--------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|--------------------|
| $Li_2O.2SiO_2$ | Momoclinic | 40-0376 | 5.822 | 14.6 | 4.775 | 90.0 | 405.9 |
| $Na_2O.2SiO_2$ | Momoclinic | 23-0529 | 12.329 | 4.848 | 8.133 | 104.2 | 471.2 |
| $K_2O \cdot 2SiO_2$ | Momoclinic | 26-1331 | 9.72 | 25.26 | 14.24 | 90.0 | 3496.3 |

Table 4.7 Crystal structure and lattice constant of alkali disilicate crystals.

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION

The chemical strengthening of Li₂O·2SiO₂ transparent glass-ceramics was investigated and the following results were obtained.

1) The fracture strength of glass and glass-ceramics increased first and then decreased, and the crack formation and peeling of ion exchanged layer were observed for both $\text{Li}^+\leftrightarrow \text{Na}^+$ and $\text{Li}^+\leftrightarrow \text{K}^+$ ion exchange.

2) The volume change by $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+$ and $Li^+ \leftrightarrow K^+$ ion exchange is very large. This large volume change causes the peeling and crack formation of ion exchanged layer in glass and glass-ceramics.

3) The maximum value of fracture strength of glass of 251 ± 13 MPa was obtained by Li⁺ \leftrightarrow K⁺ ion exchange at 450°C for 4 hours.

4) The maximum fracture strength of glass-ceramics of 487 ± 15 MPa was obtained without surface crack by $\text{Li}^+\leftrightarrow\text{K}^+$ ion exchange at 500°C for 9 hours.

5) The fracture strength of glass decreases due to the cracks generated in unexchanged layer of glass with progress of ion exchange.

6) Submicroscopic flaws are not formed on the surface of unexchanged layer of glass-ceramics after ion exchange process. Nevertheless, the fracture strength of glass-ceramics was decreased due to the occurring of the stress relaxation. Also, the amorphization phenomenon was investigated in Li₂O·2SiO₂ crystal system transparent glass-ceramics by ion exchange and the following results were obtained.

1) $\text{Li}_2\text{O}\cdot2\text{SiO}_2$ crystals were disappeared with progress of $\text{Li}^+\leftrightarrow\text{Na}^+$ and $\text{Li}^+\leftrightarrow\text{K}^+$ ion exchange and this phenomenon was called as "Amorphization".

2) The cristobalite precipitated and grew in Li_2O-SiO_2 glass-ceramics with progress of $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+$ exchange.

3) The Li₂O·SiO₂ crystal precipitated at first and disappeared again with progress of Li⁺ \leftrightarrow Na⁺ exchange at 650 °C.

4) The unit cell volume of $Li_2O.2SiO_2$ crystal increases slightly for $Li^+\leftrightarrow K^+$ exchange, whilst it decreases at first and increases later for $Li^+\leftrightarrow Na^+$ exchange. This suggests that the ion exchange between foreign ions and Li^+ ions in $Li_2O.2SiO_2$ crystal occurs directly.

5) The occurrence of Amorphization in Li_2O-SiO_2 transparent glass-ceramics was dued to the ion exchange between K^+ or Na^+ and Li^+ in $Li_2O\cdot 2SiO_2$ crystals directly.

REFERENCES

- Abrams, M.B., Shen, J., and Green, D.J. (2004). Residul stress measurement in ionexchanged glass by iterated birefringance and etching. **J. Testing Eval**. 32(2):1-7
- Andreev, N. S.(1978). Scattering of visible light by glasses undergoing phase separation and homogenization. J. Non-Cryst. Solids. 30:99-126.
- Arun, K. V. (1994). Fundamental of inorganic glasses. New York: Academic Press.
- Araujo, R. (2004). Thermodynamics of ion exchange. **J. Non-Cryst. Solids**. 349:230-233
- Beall, G.H., and Duke, D.A. (1969).Transparent glass-ceramics. J. Mater. Sci. 4: 340-52.
- Beall, G.H., Karstetter, B.R., and Rittler, H.L. (1967). Crystallization and chemical strengthening of stuffed β-quartz glass-ceramics. J. Amer. Ceram. Soc. 50 :99–108.
- Beall, G.H., and Pinckney, L.R. (1999). Nanophase glass-ceramics. J. Amer. Ceram. Soc. 82:5-16.
- Bach, H. (1995). Low thermal expansion glass-ceramics. Berlin:Springer.
- Clausbruch, S.C., Schweiger, M., Holand, W., and Rheinberger, V. (2000). The Effect of P₂O₅ on the crystallization and microstructure of glass-ceramics in the SiO₂-Li₂O-K₂O-ZnO-P₂O₅ system. **J. Non-Cryst. Solids**. 263&264:388-394.

- Duke, D. A., Macdowell, J. F., and Karstetter, B. R. (1967). Crystallization and chemical strengthening of nepheline glass-ceramics. J. Amer. Ceram. Soc., 50:77-81.
- Garfinkel, H. M. (1980). Chemical strengthening of glass. In D. R. Uhlmann and N. J. Kreidl (eds). Glass Science and Technology. 5:253.
- Headley, T.J., and Loehman, R.E. (1984). The crystallization of a glass-ceramics by epitaxial-growth. J. Amer. Ceram. Soc. 67:620.
- Hench, L.L., Freiman, S.W., and Kinser, D.L. (1971). The Early stages of crystallization in a Li₂O-2SiO₂ Glass," Phys. Chem. Glasses. Phys. Chem. Glasses. 12:58-63.
- Hood, K.P., and Stookey, S.D. (1957). Method of making a glass article of high mechanical strength and article made thereby. US Patent No 2779136 (Unpublished manuscript)
- Hopper, R.W.(1985). "Stochastic Theory of Scattering from Idealized Spinodal Structure". J. Non-Cryst. Solids. 70:111-142.
- Igbal, Y., James, P.F., Jais, U.S., Jordery, S., and Lee W.E. (1998). Crystallisation of silicate and phosphate glasses. J. Non-Cryst. Solids. 219:17-29.
- Izumitani, T. (Ed.). (1984). "New Glasses And Their Properties". Tokyo:Kei-ei System Kenkyu-sho.[in Japanese].
- Jacquin, J.R., and Tomozawa, M. (1995). Crystallization of lithium metasilicate from lithium disilicate. J. Non-Cryst. Solids.190:233-237.
- James, P.F. (1982). Nucleation and crystallization in glasses. In J.H. Simmons, D.R. Uhlmann and G.H. Beall (eds). Advances in ceramics. Columbus:American Ceramic Society.

- Kalinina, A., and Filipovich, V. N. (1964). The structure of glass-catalyzed crystallization of glass. In E.A. Porai-Koshits (Ed.). The structure of glass. New York:Consultan Bureau.3:53.
- Karstetter, B.R., and Voss, R.O. (1967). Chemical strengthening of glass-ceramics in the system Li₂O-Al₂O₃-SiO₂. **J. Amer. Ceram. Soc**.50:133-137.

Kerker, M. (1969). The scattering of light. New York: Academic Press.

- Kingery, W.D., Bowen, H.C., and Uhlmann, D.R. (1976). **Introduction to Ceramics** (2nd ed):New York John:Wiley and Sons.
- Kistler, S. S. (1962). Stresses in glass produced by non-uniform exchange of monovalent ions. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 45:59-68.
- Mallick ,K.K., and Holland, D. (2004). Strengthening of container glasses by ionexchange dip coating. J. Non-Cryst. Solids. 351:2524-2536.
- McMillan, P.W. (1979). Glass-Ceramics. London: Academic Press.
- Mishima et al. (2004). Nucleation Behavior of Li₂O-Na₂O-SiO₂ glass doped with platinum. **J. Ceram. Soc. Japan**.112:350-353.
- Morimoto, S. (1991). Research on Functionnal Glasses through Phase Separation and Crystalization. **Ph. D. Thesis**, Tokyo University, Japan.
- Morimoto, S. (2004). Formation, absorption and emission spectra of Cr⁴⁺ ions in Li₂O-SiO₂ system transparent glass-ceramics. **J. Ceram. Soc. Japan**. 112: pp.486-490.
- Morimoto, S., and Emem, W. (2004). Strength of Li₂O-SiO₂ system transparent glass-Ceramics. J. Ceram. Soc. Japan, 112, pp.259-62.
- Morimoto, S. (2006). Effect of K₂O on the crystallization in Li₂O-SiO₂ system of glass. J. Ceram. Soc. Japan.114(2):195-198.

Nitta, I. (1975). X-ray Crystallography. Tokyo:Maruzen. 1: 489.

- Ohlberg, S. M., and Strickler, D. W. (1962). Determination of percent crystallinity of partly devitrified glass by X-ray diffraction. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 45 (4):170–171.
- Pinkney, L.R. (2001). Glass ceramics. Encyclopedia of Materials Science and Technology. 4:3535-3540
- Reinhart, D.W. (1980). Ion exchange strengthening of soda-lime-silica glass. US Patent No 4192689 (Unpublished manuscript)
- Soares, Jr., Zanotto, E. D., Fokin, V. M., and Jain, H. (2003). TEM and XRD study of early crystallization of lithium disilicate glasses. J. Non-Cryst. Solids. 331:217-227.
- Stookey, S.D. (1954). Recent Developments in Radiation Sensitive Glasses. Ind. Eng. Chem. 46:174-176.
- Strnad, Z. (1986). Glass-Ceramic Materials. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Tagantsev, D.K., and Karapetyan, G.O. (1999). Decrystallization of crystallized glass by ion exchange. J. Non-Cryst. Solids. 255:185-92.
- Uhlmann, D.R. and Kreidl, N.J. (1980). Glass Science and Technology. London:Academic Press.5:254-255.
- Weber, N.(1965). Strengthened glass article and method of producing same. **US** Patent No 3218220 (Unpublished manuscript).
- Wells, A.F. (1975). Structural Inorganic Chemistry (4th ed.). Oxford:Clarendon Press.
- West, A.R. and Glasser, F.P. (1971). Advances in nucleation and crystallization in glasses. Columbus:American Ceramic Society.

- Wu, X., Yuan, H., Yen, W.M., and Aitken, B.G. (1996). Compositional dependence of the luminescence from Cr⁴⁺-doped calcium aluminate glass. J. Luminescence, 66&67:285-89.
- Zijlstrra, A. L., and Burggraaf, A. J. (1968). Fracture phenomena and strength properties of chemically and physically strengthened glass. J. Non-Crystalline Solids 1:49-68.

APPENDIX A

THE CALCULATION OF LATTICE CONSTANT

Si powder (JCPDS 027-1402) was used an internal standard for the measurement of lattice constant.



Figure A1 XRD pattern of Li₂O·2SiO₂ glass-ceramics (500°C-15h, 700°C-15h)

$$\Delta d = d_{111 \text{ (Si, JCPDS 27-1402)}} - d_{111 \text{ (Si, Observed)}}$$
$$\Delta d = 3.1355 - 3.1307$$
$$\Delta d = 0.0048 \text{ Å}$$

The lattice constant of lithium disilicate $(Li_2O\cdot 2SiO_2)$ as monoclinic system was calculated as follow

$$\frac{1}{d^2} = \frac{h^2}{a^2 \sin^2 \beta} + \frac{k^2}{b^2} + \frac{l^2}{c^2 \sin^2 \beta} - \frac{2hl \cos \beta}{ac \sin^2 \beta}$$
(A1)

Where

$$\beta = 90^{\circ}$$
 (JCPDS 40-0376).

(hkl) = (130);

$$d_{130} = d_{130}(\text{Observed}) + \Delta d$$

$$d_{130} = 3.731 + 0.0048$$

$$d_{130} = 3.7358 \text{ Å}$$

$$\frac{1}{3.7358^2} = \frac{1^2}{a^2} + \frac{3^2}{b^2} + \frac{0^2}{c^2}$$
(A2)

(hkl) = (111);

$$d_{111} = d_{111}(\text{Observed}) + \Delta d$$

$$d_{111} = 3.5943 + 0.0048$$

$$d_{111} = 3.5991 \text{ Å}$$

$$\frac{1}{3.5991^2} = \frac{1^2}{a^2} + \frac{1^2}{b^2} + \frac{1^2}{c^2}$$
(A3)

(hkl) = (002);

$$d_{002} = d_{002}(\text{Observed}) + \Delta d$$

$$d_{002} = 2.3958 + 0.0048$$

$$d_{002} = 2.4006 \text{ Å}$$

$$\frac{1}{2.4006^2} = \frac{0^2}{a^2} + \frac{0^2}{b^2} + \frac{2^2}{c^2}$$
(A4)

From equation (1), (2), (3)

The volume V of the unit cell of lithium disilicate ($Li_2O.2SiO_2$) as monoclinic system was calculated as follow

$$V = abcsin\beta$$
 (A5)
$$V = (5.8629)(14.5397)(4.8014)sin90^{\circ}$$
$$V = 409.2991 \text{ (Å)}^{3}$$

APPENDIX B

THE CALCULATION OF CRYSTALLITE SIZE BY X-RAY DIFFRACTION TECHNIQUE



Figure B1 XRD pattern of transparent Li_2O -SiO₂ glass-ceramics (500°C-15h, 700°C-

10h).

From above Figure,

Observed line broadening =
$$\frac{14.0}{37.6} = 0.372^{\circ}$$

By Jone's Method,

Observed line broadening \rightarrow True line broadening (Figure B2)

$$0.372^\circ \rightarrow 0.220^\circ$$

$$\frac{0.22^{\circ}}{360^{\circ}} = \frac{\beta}{2\pi}$$

 $\beta = 0.00384$ radian

Crystalline size was calculated using Scherrer's equation.

$$D = \frac{0.9 \cdot \lambda}{\beta \cos \theta} \tag{B1}$$

Where

D = crystalline size (nm).

 λ = wavelength of X-ray (1.54Å).

 β = true half width (radian).

 θ = diffraction angle (degree).

From equation (B1),

$$D = \frac{0.9 \times 1.54}{0.00384 \cos 18.779^{\circ}}$$

= 381 Å
= 38.1 nm



Figure B2 Calibration curve of X-ray line broadening for AgCl precipitated in glass by Jone's method (Nitta, 1975).

APPENDIX C

THE CALIBRATION CURVE OF Li₂O·2SiO₂ GLASS



Figure C1 XRD pattern of Li₂O-SiO₂ glass (100% Glass)



Figure C2 XRD pattern of Quartz (100% Crystal)



Figure C3 XRD pattern of 25% Li₂O-SiO₂ glass + 75% Quartz.



Figure C4 XRD pattern of 50% Li₂O-SiO₂ glass + 50% Quartz



Figure C5 XRD pattern of 75% Li₂O-SiO₂ glass + 25% Quartz

Percent crystallinity was determined using Ohlberg and Strickler's method (Ohlberg and Strickler, 1962) and was calculated using by

Percent crystallinity(%C) =
$$\frac{(I_g - I_x)}{(I_g - I_c)} \times 100$$
 (C1)

Where

 I_g is the X-ray intensity of glass at 20=23°.

 I_x is the X-ray background intensity of the mixtures at $2\theta=23^\circ$.

 I_c is the X-ray background intensity of the Quartz at $2\theta=23^\circ$.

| Mix | Mixture | | L | I. | $\frac{I_g - I_x}{1} \times 100$ |
|-------|---------|------|------------|------|----------------------------------|
| Glass | Quartz | g | - t | -4 | $I_g - I_c$ |
| 100 | 0 | 66.7 | 3.5 | 66.7 | 0 |
| 75 | 25 | 66.7 | 3.5 | 19.6 | 74.53 |
| 50 | 50 | 66.7 | 3.5 | 35 | 50.16 |
| 25 | 75 | 66.7 | 3.5 | 50 | 26.42 |
| 0 | 100 | 66.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 100 |

 Table C1 The calculation of crystallinity using Ohlberg and Strickler's method.



Figure C6 Experimentary determined crystallinity VS calculated crystallinity for mechanical mixtures of α -quartz and parent glass.

APPENDIX D

THE CALCULATION OF DENSITY, MOLAR VOLUME AND THERMAL EXPANSION COEFFICIENT OF

$Li_2O \cdot 2SiO_2$ GLASS

Table D1 The Calculation of density, molar volume and thermal expansion

| Composition | | | Molar V (cm ³ /1 | olume nol) | Thermal expansion coefficient (10 ⁻⁷) | | |
|--|---------|-------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--------------------|------------------------------|
| Oxide | Wt % | Mole | Mole Fraction X _i | Volume V _i | $V_i \!\!\times\! X_i$ | α_i | $\alpha_i\!\!\times\!\! X_i$ |
| SiO ₂ | 80 | 1.332 | 0.728 | 26.30 | 19.15 | 32.2 | 23.44 |
| Al ₂ O ₃ | 4 | 0.039 | 0.022 | 40.40 | 0.89 | -30 | -0.66 |
| Li ₂ O | 13 | 0.435 | 0.238 | 11.00 | 2.62 | 270 | 64.26 |
| P_2O_5 | 3 | 0.021 | 0.012 | 59.5 | 0.71 | 140 | 1.68 |
| Total | 100 | 1.827 | 1 | V _{glass} = | 23.37 | $\alpha_{glass} =$ | 88.72 |
| Weight of glass= $\frac{100}{1.827}$ =54.74 g/mol | | | Density | of glass $=\frac{5}{2}$ | $\frac{54.74}{23.37} = 2.34$ | 4 g/cm^3 | |

coefficient Li₂O-SiO₂ glass.

Table D2 The Calculation of density, molar volume and thermal expansion

| Composition | | | Molar V (cm ³ /1 | 7 olume mol) | Thermal expansion coefficient (10 ⁻⁷) | | |
|--|---------|-------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------------|------------------------------|
| Oxide | Wt % | Mole | Mole Fraction, X _i | Volume Vi | $V_i \!\!\times\! X_i$ | α_i | $\alpha_i\!\!\times\!\! X_i$ |
| SiO ₂ | 70.2 | 1.169 | 0.728 | 26.30 | 19.15 | 32.2 | 23.44 |
| Al_2O_3 | 3.5 | 0.035 | 0.022 | 40.40 | 0.89 | -30 | -0.66 |
| Na ₂ O | 23.7 | 0.382 | 0.238 | 20.2 | 4.76 | 395 | 94.01 |
| P_2O_5 | 2.6 | 0.019 | 0.012 | 59.5 | 0.72 | 140 | 1.68 |
| Total | 100 | 1.605 | 1 | V _{glass} = | 25.52 | $\alpha_{glass} =$ | 118.47 |
| Weight of glass= $\frac{100}{1.605}$ =62.31 g/mol | | | Density of | of glass = $\frac{\theta}{2}$ | $\frac{52.42}{26.58} = 2.4$ | 4 g/cm^3 | |

coefficient of Na_2O -SiO₂ glass.

| Composition | | | Molar V (cm ³ /1 | 7 olume nol) | Thermal expansion coefficient (10 ⁻⁷) | | |
|--|----------|-------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---------------------|------------------------------|
| Oxide | Wt % | Mole | Mole Fraction X _i | Volume V _i | $V_i \!\! 	imes \! X_i$ | α_i | $\alpha_i\!\!\times\!\! X_i$ |
| SiO ₂ | 62. 5 | 1.041 | 0.728 | 26.80 | 23.35 | 32.2 | 23.44 |
| Al ₂ O ₃ | 3.1 | 0.031 | 0.022 | 40.40 | 1.01 | -30 | -0.66 |
| K ₂ O | 32. 0 | 0.340 | 0.238 | 34.1 | 3.07 | 465 | 110.67 |
| P_2O_5 | 2.4 | 0.017 | 0.012 | 59.5 | 0.833 | 140 | 1.68 |
| Total | 100 | 1.429 | 1 | V _{glass} = | 28.26 | $\alpha_{glass} =$ | 135.13 |
| Weight of glass= $\frac{100}{1.429}$ =69.98 g/mol | | | Density (| of glass $=\frac{6}{2}$ | 1000000000000000000000000000000000000 | 8 g/cm ³ | |

Table D3 The Calculation of density, molar volume and thermal expansion

coefficient of K₂O-SiO₂ glass.

| Oxide | Formula weight (g/mol) | Volume V _i (cm ³ /mol) | Refractive Index η _i | Mean Dispersion δ _i | Thermal Expansion α _i (10 ⁻⁷) 20-400°C | Elastic Modulus E _i *(GPa) | Modulus of rigidity G _i *(GPa) | Dielectric Constant _{Ei} ** | Surface Tension σ _i *** (dyn/cm) | Range Mol% |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|---------------|
| SiO ₂ | 60.06 | 27.25-26.10 | 1.459-1.475 | 675 | 5-38 | 7.15-6.5 | 3.0-2.7 | 3.8 | 290 | 100-45 |
| TiO ₂ | 79.9 | 20.5 | 2.08-2.23 | 5000-6200 | -15~30 | 17.1 | 6.95 | 25.5 | 250 | 0-25 |
| ZrO ₂ | 123.2 | - | 2.17 | - | -60 | - | - | - | 350 | 0-15 |
| SnO ₂ | 150.7 | - | - | - | -45 | - | - | - | 350 | 0-10 |
| B_2O_3 | 69.6 | 18.5-38.0 | 1.46-1.71 | 640-900 | -50~0 | 1.0-18.0 | 0-7.5 | 3-8 | - | 0-30 |
| Al_2O_3 | 101.9 | 40.4(30.0) | 1.52(1.70) | 850 | -30 | 11.4 | 4.95 | 9.2 | 580 | 0-20 |
| Cr ₂ O ₃ | 152.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0-5 |
| Sb ₂ O ₃ | 291.5 | - | 2.55 | 7700 | 75 | I | - | - | - | 0-5 |
| BeO | 25.0 | 7.8 | 1.595 | 890 | 45 | 10.9 | 4.6 | 13.8 | 390 | 0-30 |
| MgO | 40.3 | 12.5(13.5) | 1.61(1.57) | 1110 | 60 | 9.2 | 3.8 | 15.4 | 520 | 0-25 |
| CaO | 56.1 | 14.4 | 1.73 | 1480 | 130 | 11.15 | 4.95 | 17.4 | 510 | 0-25 |
| SrO | 103.6 | 18.0 | 1.77 | 1630 | 160 | 9.65 | 4.5 | 18.0 | 490 | 0-30 |
| BaO | 153.4 | 22.0 | 1.88 | 1890 | 200 | 6.25 | 1.75 | 20.5 | 470 | 0-40 |
| ZnO | 81.4 | 14.5 | 1.71 | 1650 | 50 | 6.0 | 2.90 | 14.4 | 450 | 0-20 |
| CdO | 128.4 | 17.0-18.2 | 1.805-1.925 | 2270-2930 | 115 | 5.7 | 2.75 | 17.2 | 430 | 0-20 |
| PbO | 223.2 | 21.0-23.5 | 2.15-2.35 | 5280-7400 | 130-190 | 4.3 | 1.45 | 22.0 | - | 0-50 |
| MnO | 70.9 | - | - | - | 105 | 6.1 | 2.6 | 13.8 | 390 | 0-25 |
| FeO | 71.8 | - | - | - | 55 | 5.2 | 1.9 | 16.0 | 490 | 0-20 |
| CoO | 74.9 | - | - | - | 50 | 8.5 | 3.65 | 15.2 | 430 | 0-20 |

Figure D4 Property factor of oxide in glass composition by A.A. Appen (Volf, 1988).

88

| Oxide | Formula weight | Volume Vi (cm ³ /mol) | Refractive Index η _i | Mean Dispersion δ _i | Thermal Expansion α _i (10 ⁻⁷) 20-400°C | Elastic Modulus E _i *(GPa) | Modulus of rigidity G _i *(GPa) | Dielectric Constant _{ɛi} ** | Surface Tension σ_i^{***} (dyn/cm) | Range Mol% |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|---------------|
| NiO | 74.7 | - | - | - | 50 | 12.9 | 5.0 | 13.4 | 400 | 0-15 |
| CuO | 79.6 | - | - | - | 30 | - | - | - | - | 0-10 |
| Li ₂ O | 29.9 | 11.0(11.9) | 1.695(1.655) | 1380(1300) | 270(270) | 8.0(10.5) | 3.0(4.0) | 14.0(15.0) | 295 | 0-30 |
| Na ₂ O | 62.0 | 20.2(20.6) | 1.59(1.575) | 1420(1400) | 395(410) | 5.95(4.7) | 1.75(1.5) | 17.6(17.6) | 295 | 0-25 |
| K ₂ O | 94.2 | 34.1*(33.5) | 1.575*(1.595) | 1300*(1320) | 465*(500) | 4.1(-1.0) | 1.1(-0.5) | 16.0(20.3) | - | 0-20 |
| Cs ₂ O | 281.8 | - | 1.7(1.74-1.8) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0-10 |
| P_2O_5 | 142.0 | 59.5 | - | - | 140 | - | - | - | - | 0-10 |
| UO _{2.7} | 280.8 | - | - | - | 20 | - | - | - | - | 0-10 |
| CaF ₂ | 78.1 | - | - | - | 180 | - | - | - | 420 | 0-15 |
| Na ₂ SiF ₆ | 188.1 | - | - | - | 340 | - | - | - | - | 0-8 |
| Na ₃ AlF ₆ | 210.0 | - | - | - | 480 | - | - | - | - | 0-8 |
| CdS | 144.5 | - | - | - | 200 | - | - | - | - | 0-5 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Blacket () of Al₂O₃ can be applied to the glasses containing no strong basic oxide (alkali, BaO and SrO).

Blacket () of MgO is abnormal value, and can be applied to only narrow region of Na₂O(K2O)-MgO-SiO₂ system.

Bracket () of R_2O can be applied to R_2O -SiO₂ system (R_2O <30mol%)

Symbol * of K₂O can be applied to Na₂O containing glass. For a practical glass: V=34.5, $\eta_i = 1.560$, $\delta_i = 1250$, $\alpha_i = 425$.

*: ×10-3 Kg/mm2, **: at 4.5×108Hz, ***: at 1300°C.

APPENDIX E

THE CALCULATION OF NORMALIZED

X-RAY INTENSITY



Figure E1 XRD pattern of $Li_2O.2SiO_2$ transparent glass-ceramics after $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+$ exchange at 550 °C for 4 hours.

 I_{Cris} = Observed X-ray intensity of Cristobalite in glass-ceramics.

 I_{L2S} = Observed X-ray intensity of strongest peak of $Li_2O.2SiO_2$.

| Ion Exchange Condition | Observ inte | ed X-ray ensity | Normalized X-ray intensity | | |
|---|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| | I _{L2S} | I _{Cris} | I _{L2S} | I _{Cris} | |
| Original Glass-ceramics | 170 | 0 | 100 | 0 | |
| $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+, 550^\circ C-1$ hour | 140 | 9 | 82 | 5.3 | |
| $Li^+ \leftrightarrow Na^+, 550^\circ C$ -4hour | 119 | 29 | 70 | 17.1 | |
| Li ⁺ ↔Na ⁺ ,550°C-9hour | 12 | 44 | 7 | 25.9 | |
| | | | | | |

Table E1 Observed X-ray intensity and normalized X-ray intensity of $Li_2O \cdot 2SiO_2$ and

Cristobalite in glass-ceramics before and after ion exchange.

APPENDIX F

X-RAY DIFFRACTION FILES

| Pattern : 00-027-1402 Radiation = 1.54059 | 8 | Q | uality | y : H | igh |
|--|---------|-----|--------|-------|-----|
| Si | d (Å) | Ι | h | k | 1 |
| Silicon | 3.13550 | 100 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Silicon, syn | 1.92010 | 55 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Lattice : Face-centered cubic S.G. : Fd-3m (227) | 1.63750 | 30 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| a = 5.43088 b = c = | 1.35770 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = Z =$ | 1.24590 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Temperature of data collection: Pattern taken at | 1.10860 | 12 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| 25(1) °C | 1.04520 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| Sample source or locality: This sample is NBS | 0.96000 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| Standard Reference Material No.640 | 0.91800 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| General comments: a0 uncorrected refraction. | 0.85070 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 0 |
| Additional pattern: T0 replace 00-005-0565 and 00- | 0.82820 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 |
| 026-1481 | | | | | |
| Color: Gray | | | | | |
| Data collecting flag: Ambient. | | | | | |
| Natl. Bur. Stand. (U.S.) Monogr. 25, volume 13, | | | | | |
| page 35(1976) CAS Number: 7440-21-3 | | | | | |
| Radiation : CuKa1 Filter : Monochromator crystal | | | | | |
| Lambda : 1.54060 d-sp : Diffractometer | | | | | |
| SS/FOM : F11=443(0.0019,13) | | | | | |
| Internal Standard : W | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Table F1 X-ray diffraction file of Silicon (JCPDS 027-1402).
| Pattern : 00-029-0828 Radiation = 1.54059 | Radiation = 1.540598 | | Quality : High | | | |
|---|----------------------|-----|----------------|---|---|--|
| Li ₂ SiO ₃ | Ι | h | k | 1 | | |
| | 4.69000 | 100 | 0 | 2 | 0 | |
| Lithium silicate | 3.30100 | 65 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Lattice : Bace-centered orthorhombic | 2.70800 | 65 | 1 | 3 | 0 | |
| S.G. : Ccm21 (36) | 2.70000 | 45 | 2 | 0 | 0 | |
| | 2.34200 | 19 | 1 | 3 | 1 | |
| a = 5.39750 b = 9.39740 c = 4.66150 | 2.33100 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 | |
| a/b = 0.57436 $c/b = 0.49604$ $Z = 4$ | 2.09100 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 1 | |
| Mol. Weight = 89.97 Volume[CD]= 236.44 | 2.08500 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | |
| | 1.77470 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 0 | |
| $D_x = 2.527$ | 1.76670 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 2 | |
| | 1.76380 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 2 | |
| Sample preparation: Mode by heating a 1:1 molar | 1.65630 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 1 | |
| mixture of Li2CO3 and silica gel at 860 °C for 5 | 1.65220 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 | |
| minutes, followed by grinding and reheating to | 1.56650 | 12 | 0 | 6 | 0 | |
| 1200 °C for several minutes. | 1.56050 | 15 | 3 | 3 | 0 | |
| | 1.47470 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | |
| Additional pattern: To replace 00-015-0519 | 1.41050 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | |
| | 1.40790 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | |
| Additional diffraction line(s): Plus 8 refrections to | 1.35470 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 0 | |
| 0.9337 | 1.34750 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 3 | |
| Structure: Isostructural with Na_2SiO_3 and Li_2GeO_3 . | 1.30010 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 2 | |
| | 1.29650 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 2 | |
| Additional pattern: See ICSD 4261 (PDF 01-070- | 1.25440 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 1 | |
| 1788) | 1.24950 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | |
| Calary Calastan | 1.17470 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 | |
| Color: Coloriess | 1.17100 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | |
| Data collecting flog: Ambient | 1.16810 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | |
| Data collecting flag. Ambient. | 1.13500 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 1 | |
| Natl Bur Stand (U.S.) Monage 25 volume 12 | 1.07700 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 0 | |
| Nati. Bul. Stand. (0.5.) Monogr. 25, Volume 15, | 1.07590 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 0 | |
| page 55(1970) CAS Number. 7440-21-5 | 1.07000 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | |
| Radiation : CuKa1 Filter : Monochromator crystal | 1.04880 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 2 | |
| Lambda : 1 54060 d-sn : Not given | 1.04520 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | |
| SS/FOM : F30=45(0,0107,62) | 1.04410 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 | |
| Internal Standard : $A \sigma$ | 1.02530 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 0 | |
| | 1.02120 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 3 | |
| | 1.01880 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 3 | |
| | 1.00130 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 1 | |

 Table F2 X-ray diffraction file of lithium silicate (JCPDS 029-0828)

| attern : 00-039-1425 Radiation = 1.540598 | | Q | Quality : High | | | |
|--|---------|-----|----------------|---|---|--|
| SiO_2 d (Å) | | | h | k | 1 | |
| Silicon Oxide | 4.03974 | 100 | 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| Cristobalite, syn | 3.51470 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | |
| Lattice : Tetragonal | 3.13592 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| S.G. : P41212 (92) | 2.84116 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 2 | |
| a = 4.9732 $c = 6.92360$ $Z = 4$ | 2.48740 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | |
| Mol. Weight = 60.08 Volume[CD]= 171.24 | 2.46750 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | |
| | 2.34170 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | |
| $D_x = 2.331$ | 2.11791 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | |
| | 2.01957 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | |
| Sample preparation: Cristobalite was prepared by | 1.92935 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 3 | |
| Trans Tech Company using Berkley 5 micron MIN- | 1.87147 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | |
| U-SIL(R). A two kilogram sample was heated at | 1.75907 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | |
| 1600 °C for 8 hours. The sample was then air | 1.73033 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | |
| quenched, treated with 6N HCl and then jet-milled. | 1.69221 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | |
| The $+325$ mesh fraction was then remove by | 1.63488 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | |
| sieving. | 1.61217 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | |
| Structure: The structure was determined by | 1.60131 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | |
| Peacor(1). | 1.57207 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | |
| Additional pattern: See ICSD 4261 (PDF 01-070- | 1.56745 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | |
| 1788) | 1.53356 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | |
| Color: Colorless | 1.49520 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | |
| Town waters of late calledians The town waters | 1.43165 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | |
| remperature of data collection: The temperature | 1.42102 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | |
| was ≈ 25 °C. | 1.39908 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | |
| Polymorphism: There are a number of others forms | 1.36560 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | |
| Additional pattern: To replace 00 011 0605 and | 1.35277 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | |
| Additional patient. To replace 00-011-0095 and | 1.34650 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | |
| Data collecting flag: Ambient | 1.33398 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | |
| Data contecting flag. Antolent. | 1.29976 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | |
| Wong Ng W. McMurdie H. Paretzkin B. | 1.28133 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | |
| Hubbard C Dragoo A NBS Gaithersburg MD | 1.23318 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | |
| USA ICDD Grant_in_Aid (1988) | 1.22375 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | |
| USA., ICDD Grant-In-Aid (1986). | 1.20599 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | |
| Radiation · CuKa1 Filter · Monochromator crystal | 1.18427 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | |
| Lambda · 1 54060 d-sn · Diffractometer | 1.17576 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | |
| SS/FOM · F30=83(0 0100 36) | 1.16384 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 4 | |
| Internal Standard : W FP | 1.15546 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | |
| | 1.11050 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | |

Table F3 X-ray diffraction file of cristobalite (JCPDS 039-1425)

| attern : 00-027-1402 Radiation = 1.540598 | | Q | Quality : High | | | |
|--|---------|-----|----------------|----|---|--|
| α -Li ₂ Si ₂ O ₅ | d (Å) | Ι | h | k | 1 | |
| | 7.22800 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | |
| Lithium Silicate | 5.42000 | 19 | 1 | 1 | 0 | |
| | 3.73700 | 66 | 1 | 3 | 0 | |
| Lattice : Base-centered momoclinic | 3.65500 | 48 | 0 | 4 | 0 | |
| S.G. : Cc (9) | 3.58100 | 100 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| a = 5.82200 $b = 14.6000$ $c = 4.77500$ | 2.93000 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 1 | |
| $a/b = 0.39877$ $c/b = 0.32705$ $\beta = 90.0$ | 2.90800 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | |
| Z = 4 | 2.70200 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 0 | |
| | 2.42900 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | |
| Color: White | 2.38800 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 2 | |
| Additional pattern: To replace 00-017-0447. | 2.35000 | 18 | 2 | 2 | 1 | |
| Sample preparation: Prepared at MRC-Mound Lab., | 2.29000 | 11 | 1 | 5 | 1 | |
| Miamisburg, Ohio, USA, by Kramer, D., via a | 2.05400 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 1 | |
| modified method by Glasser, F., Phy. Chem. | 2.00200 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 2 | |
| Glasses, 8 224 (1967) | 1.96290 | 14 | 1 | 7 | 0 | |
| Additional pattern: See ICSD 15414 (PDF 01-0/2- | 1.86260 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 0 | |
| 0102) Community Decempton form of | 1.84610 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 2 | |
| General comments: Room temperature form of | 1.83280 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 0 | |
| L12S12O5 | 1.80370 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 0 | |
| Data collecting flag. Amolent. | 1.79430 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | |
| Controll I Miami University Oxford Ohio USA | 1.78460 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | |
| Control of the Aid (1980) | 1.76960 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 2 | |
| ICDD Grant-III-Ald (1989) | 1.73380 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 1 | |
| Radiation : CuKal Filter : Monochromator crystal | 1.70460 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 2 | |
| Lambda : 1.54060 d-sn : Diffractometer | 1.65020 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | |
| SS/FOM : F11=443(0.0019.13) | 1.52820 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 1 | |
| Internal Standard · W | 1.52570 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 3 | |
| | 1.48390 | 5 | 1 | 9 | 1 | |
| | 1.47100 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 2 | |
| | 1.45990 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | |

Table F4 X-ray diffraction file of lithium silicate (JCPDS 027-1402)

| attern : 00-046-1045 Radiation = 1.540598 | | Q | Quality : High | | | |
|--|---------|-----|----------------|---|---|--|
| SiO ₂ | d (Å) | Ι | h | k | 1 | |
| Silicon Oxide | 4.25499 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| Quartz, syn | 3.34347 | 100 | 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| Lattice : Hexagonal | 2.45687 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 | |
| S.G. : P3221 (154) | 2.28149 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 2 | |
| a = 4.91344 $c = 5.40524$ $Z = 3$ | 2.23613 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Mol. Weight = 60.08 Volume[CD]= 113.01 | 2.12771 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | |
| | 1.97986 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | |
| $D_x=2.649$ $D_m=2.660$ $I/I_{cor}=3.41$ | 1.81796 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 2 | |
| | 1.80174 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | |
| | 1.67173 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | |
| Additional pattern: See ICSD 174 (PDF 01-085- | 1.65919 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | |
| 0335) | 1.60827 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | |
| Color: White | 1.54153 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 1 | |
| | 1.45289 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | |
| Temperature of data collection: Pattern taken at | 1.41841 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | |
| 23(1) °C. | 1.38210 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 2 | |
| General comments: Low temperature quartz | 1.37496 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 3 | |
| General comments: 20 determination based on | 1.37188 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 | |
| profile fit method. | 1.28791 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | |
| Additional pattern: To replace 00-033-1161. | 1.25595 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | |
| Data collecting flag: Ambient. | 1.22832 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | |
| | 1.19982 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | |
| Kern, A., Eysel, W., Mineralogisch-Petrograph. | 1.19779 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | |
| Inst., Univ. Heidelberg, Germany., ICDD Grant-in- | 1.18399 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | |
| A10 (1993) | 1.18017 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | |
| Dediction (CyVal Eilter Manachromotor emotel | 1.15298 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | |
| Lambda : 1 54060 d an : Diffractomator | 1.14065 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | |
| Lambda = 1.54000 d-sp . Dimactometer SS/EQM \cdot E20–82(0.0100.26) | 1.11455 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | |
| 55/10M. $150-85(0.0100,50)$ | 1.08155 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | |
| Internal Standard . Si | 1.06380 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | |
| | 1.04772 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | |
| | 1.04380 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | |
| | 1.03461 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | |
| | 1.01490 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | |
| | 0.98958 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | |
| | 0.98725 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | |
| | 0.97834 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | |
| | 0.97617 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | |

Table F5 X-ray diffraction file of quartz (JCPDS 046-1045).

APPENDIX G

CHARACTERIZATION DATA REPORT





Figure G1 Thermal expansion trace report of Li₂O-SiO₂ glass using Netsch 402EP at a heating rate of 5°C/min

100

NETZSCH-Gerätebau GmbH Thermal Analysis



Figure G2 Thermal expansion analysis trace of Li₂O-SiO₂ transparent glass-ceramics using Netsch 402EP at a heating rate of 5°C/min

Curve 1: DTA in DTA Mode File info: PT1388 Thu Aug 1 16:34:51 2002 Sample Weight: 13.370 mg LS3



Figure G3 Differential thermal analysis trace of Li₂O-SiO₂ glass using a Perkin-Elmer DTA-7 at a heating rate of 10°C/min.

Table G1 Density and volume report of Li_2O-SiO_2 glass-ceramics (500°C-15h,700°C

| Accupyc 1330 V2.04M | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Density and Volume Report | | | | | | | |
| Sample ID: 0001 Started: 30/01/06 17:19:13 Sample Weight: 2.5953g Completed: 30/01/06 17:39:45 | | | | | | | | |
| Number | Number of Purges: 30 Equilibration Rate: 0.0050 | | | | | | | |
| psig/min Cell Volume: 16.5551 cm ³ Chamber Insert: 10 cm ³ Expansion Volume: 75.0173 cm Calibration Factor: 1 000738 | | | | | 5.0173 cm ³ 000738 | | | |
| Run# | Volume cm ³ | Deviation cm ³ | Density g/cm ³ | Deviation g/cm ³ | Elapsed Time (h:m:s) | | | |
| 1 | 1.0904 | -0.0006 | 2.3801 | 0.0014 | 0:10:23 | | | |
| 2 | 1.0910 | -0.0001 | 01 2.3789 0.0002 0:11:25 | | | | | |
| 3 | 1.0907 | -0.0004 | 2.3795 0.0008 0:12:28 | | | | | |
| 4 | 1.0915 | 0.0005 | 0.0005 2.3777 -0.0010 0:13:34 | | | | | |
| 5 | 1.0905 | -0.0006 2.3800 0.0013 0:14:42 | | | | | | |
| 6 | 1.0909 | -0.0002 | 2.3790 | 0.0004 | 0:15:49 | | | |
| 7 | 1.0913 | 0.0003 | 2.3781 | -0.0006 | 0:16:56 | | | |
| 8 | 1.0916 | 0.0006 | 2.3774 | -0.0012 | 0:18:04 | | | |
| 9 | 1.0916 | 0.0005 | 2.3776 | -0.0011 | 0:19:16 | | | |
| 10 | 10 1.0911 0.0001 2.3785 -0.0002 0:20:24 | | | | | | | |
| Average Volume: 1.0911 cm ³ Standard Deviation: 0.0004 cm ³ | | | | | | | | |
| Average | Average Density: 2.3784 cm³Standard Deviation: 0.0010 g/cm³ | | | | | | | |

-10h) by He-gas substitution method using Accupyc (Micrometrics).

Table G2 Density and volume report of $\mathrm{Li}_2\mathrm{O}\text{-}\mathrm{SiO}_2$ glass by He-gas substitution

| r | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| Accupyc 1330 V2.04M | | | | | | | | |
| | Serial Number: 0 | | | | | | | |
| | | Density ar | id Volume Rep | ort | | | | |
| Sampla | | | | | | | | |
| Sample | ID. 0000 Waiahti 5 7256 | ~ | Starte | -1_{atad} , $20/01/00_{1}$ | 1.1-00.13 | | | |
| Sample | weight: 5.7350 | ng | Com | pieled: 30/01/0 | 0 11:24:48 | | | |
| Tempera | ature: 24.6 C | | | | | | | |
| Number | of Purges: 30 | 3 | Equil | ibration Rate: (| 0.0050 psig/min | | | |
| Cell Vo | lume: 16.5551 | çm ² | Expa | nsion Volume: | 75.0173 cm ³ | | | |
| Chambe | r Insert: 10 cm | 5 | Calib | ration Factor: (|).999495 | | | |
| Run# | Volume | Deviation | Density | Deviation | Elapsed | | | |
| Kull# | cm ³ | cm ³ | g/cm ³ | g/cm ³ | Time (h:m:s) | | | |
| 1 | 2.4750 | 0.0003 | 2.3174 | -0.0003 | 0:09:44 | | | |
| 2 | 2.4742 | -0.0005 | 2.3182 | 0.0005 | 0:10:41 | | | |
| 3 | 2.4743 | -0.0004 | 2.3180 | 0.0003 | 0:11:41 | | | |
| 4 | 2.4758 | 0.0011 | 2.3166 | -0.0011 | 0:12:37 | | | |
| 5 | 2.4761 | 0.0014 | 2.3164 | -0.0013 | 0:13:33 | | | |
| 6 | 2.4764 | 0.0017 | 2.3161 | -0.0016 | 0:14:34 | | | |
| 7 | 2.4736 | -0.0011 | 2.3188 | 0.0011 | 0:15:32 | | | |
| 8 | 2.4741 | -0.0005 | 2.3182 | 0.0005 | 0:16:28 | | | |
| 9 | 2.4729 | -0.0018 | 2.3193 | 0.0016 | 0:17:27 | | | |
| 10 | 2.4745 | -0.0002 | 2.3179 | 0.0002 | 0:18:25 | | | |
| Average Volume: 1.0911 cm ³ Standard Deviation: 0.0004 cm ³ | | | | | | | | |
| Average | Average Density: 2.3784 cm ³ Standard Deviation: 0.0010 g/cm ³ | | | | | | | |

method using Accupyc (Micrometrics).

BIOGRAPHY

Mr. Chokchai Yatongchai was born on April 5, 1978 in Nakhon Panom, Thailand. He earned Bachelor's Degree in Ceramic Engineering from Suranaree University of Technology (SUT) in 2001. After graduation, he worked for Bangkok Sengthai Refractory & Monolithic Co., Ltd in the position of Production Engineer for 3 years. He continued his Master's Degree in Ceramic Engineering at School of Ceramic Engineering, Institute of Engineering at Suranaree University of Technology in 2004. During his Master's Degree study, he presented a paper entitled "Strengthening and Amorphization of Li₂O·SiO₂ Transparent Glass-ceramics By Ion Exchange" in the 46th Fall Meeting on Glass and Photonics Materials, University of Shiga-Prefecture, Hikone-City, Japan. He published a paper entitled "Strengthening of Li₂O·2SiO₂ Transparent Glass-Ceramics By Ion Exchange" in Journal of the Ceramic Society of Japan, Vol.114, March 2006.